

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT**  
**FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 3, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2014-15</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2015-16</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 434 / BDR 24 - 1150**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: No impact.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 24-1150 is not anticipated to have a fiscal impact to Churchill County.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: No fiscal impact.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Humboldt County</b> Approved by: Tami Spero, Clerk Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Lincoln County</b> Approved by: Denice Brown, Adm Assistant Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **White Pine County**

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: This will require additional staff time in the Clerk's Office to verify petition signatures. As the number of petitions that may be filed and the number of signature required to be verified is unknown, a reasonable estimate of additional cost to the County cannot be made at this time. However, it will result in adverse financial impact to the County in the form of increased expense.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: BDR 24-1150 makes changes to the way petitions are submitted and circulated. The only fiscal impact it would have on our department would be the need to verify 2,000 preliminary signatures for every statewide petition submitted. Essentially, that means verifying two sets of signatures for every petition. The cost involved would be man hours.

Additional petition verification - cost per petition is \$2500. Usually receive a minimum of two per year.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$10,000

**The following counties did not provide a response:** Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.