LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 3, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses S.B. 435 / BDR 24 - 1151

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director

Comment: This would have an impact - programming and additional workers.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$83,600	\$8,600	\$17,200

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance

Comment: Fiscal impact not determined. The Election Department currently does not pay for any polling site. The Election Department would have to rent a large enough facility in a centrally located part of the community to accommodate for this bill. There would need to be additional staff hired to work this site. A number would need to be based upon a guesstimate of unregistered voters in the County. We would have no idea how to determine rental space costs or numbers of unregistered looking to vote.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Determined				

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 24-1151 will have a negative fiscal impact on Churchill County. This bill would require each County to change from paper poll books to electronic poll books, more specific is the "electronic signature". Churchill County is supportive of this and is currently looking into it. The fiscal impact would be in the initial purchase of electronic tables, signature pads and software which could cost in excess of \$10,000. For future years, there would be costs for software updates and tablet upkeep and/or replacements that could be over \$3,000 per year.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$30,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000

City/County: **Humboldt County**Approved by: Tami Spero, Clerk

Comment: There would be a fiscal impact with this bill due to the requirement to have electronic registers. We currently use paper so there would be the cost of the technology. The portion about allowing voters to vote in a certain polling site no matter what their precinct does not on the surface seem to have a cost BUT depending on the intent of the bill it may have a "waste" aspect to it. If the intent is to allow those voters assigned to mail-in precincts to vote in person after we have mailed out their ballots, then the original cost of production & mailing would be wasted.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Lincoln County

Approved by: Denice Brown, Adm Assistant

Comment: Has Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: BDR 1151 mandates vote centers and electronic rosters.

We are very much in favor of allowing vote centers to begin making their way onto the scene; however, the cost of implementing them in this way is significant for Washoe County. For a county of our size, it is not feasible for us to have more than one operational method for pollbooks/rosters on Election Day, so it would be necessary to convert the entire system at the once.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$350,000	\$25,000	\$25,000

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: The requirement to pass ordinance related to the selection of a polling place will require attorney time for drafting, legal advertising and public hearings all of which have costs associated with them. There are also additional postings required by the Clerk's Office and copies to the public. The technology components of this BDR, requirements to prepare the election board register and accept signatures electronically, will have serious cost consequences to the County. These would include hardware, software programming, networking and effective communications. There would also be training required. The adverse financial impact to the County would be most prominent in the first year and subsequent years would be less.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$53,300	\$6,850	\$13,700

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: The fiscal impact of this legislation cannot be determined at this time. It is unclear in the bill on whether costs associated with this program would be passed through from the county election department to the City or would the county take on responsibility of these costs.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Determined				

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: This bill supports the concept of Vote Centers, a location that any voter can come to on election day and cast a vote, and it supports the idea of voters signing the election board register, electronically. To allow for that electronic signature in conjunction with Vote Centers, the concept of Poll Books would have to be implemented, which would be a significant fiscal impact to the Clark County Election Department (CCED), which in return will be pushed out to the other municipalities. CCED estimates that the cost to implement poll books for all precincts in Clark County would cost 2.7 to 3 million dollars. Since we don't know exactly what the CCED would charge the City of Las Vegas for this service we are unable to determine exact costs.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.

Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities and counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Pershing County, Nye County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, and City of North Las Vegas.