

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 3, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 436 / BDR 24 - 1146

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: No fiscal impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 24-1146 will have a fiscal impact on Churchill County, but it is difficult to determine at this time. An absentee ballot that is mailed and postmarked on or before the day of the election and received by the county clerk no later than seven days after the day of the election, must be counted on the eighth day. All of our election certification board would have to return for a second time to read the absentees in a public place and some election staff would potentially incur overtime.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: No fiscal impact.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County Approved by: Tami Spero, Clerk Comment: I am actually unsure of the fiscal impact of this one. I am not sure how they will direct us to deal with the additional 7 days on counting the ballots. If I would need to maintain a counting board for each of those days then there would be a cost for that. I don't see anything else that would have significant fiscal impact.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Lincoln County Approved by: Denice Brown, Adm Assistant Comment: Has Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0

City/County: Washoe County Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager Comment: BDR 1146 places additional burden on an inactive voter, on persons conducting voter registration drives and increases the penalties for crimes related to registering to vote. None of these issues would produce a direct fiscal impact. The remaining topic in this bill extends the deadline for voters to return their absentee ballots. This additional time would cause us to keep the temporary employees who process absentee ballots an additional week. At this time, there appears to be no other fiscal impact. ,				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$4,000	\$8,000	\$6,000

City/County: White Pine County Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: This extends the time allowed to return ballots by seven days extending the election time frame. This will most likely have negligible impact on the County. The BDR also states the Secretary of State must prescribe regulation that provides a method for online registration. Without knowledge of the regulations and how communication for online registrations will occur between the County and State, a reasonable estimate of the impact cannot be determined. It may involve software programming, security upgrades and other technology related items. Most likely the County will experience increased expense to some degree.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Henderson Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No identifiable fiscal impact on the City of Henderson.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr. Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities and counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Esmeralda County, Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, and City of North Las Vegas.