

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 3, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2014-15</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2015-16</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
SB463 / BDR 34 - 411

School District: <b>Carson City School District</b> Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services Comment: We would expect our licensing fees to increase on most software programs students, teachers, principals, and district personnel use.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000

School District: <b>Clark County School District</b> Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO Comment: CCSD expects impact in the following ways: 1. Once time cost to develop the training related to school service providers and security of data concerning pupils, however, training could be delivered through existing mechanisms for little or no additional cost 2. Vendors will be required to comply with numerous, potentially onerous mandates to provide reports, guarantees of privacy, etc. This will lead to increased costs for CCSD at a minimum that cannot be accurately determined. Vendors will simply pass the additional cost onto CCSD and potentially some vendors may choose to decline to provide services to CCSD.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$35,000	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Douglas County School District</b> Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES Comment: Costs will be incurred for the annual training of certificated staff (teachers, administrators) and applicable classified staff, tracking of the training information, tracking and providing of disclosure information to applicable school staff, and active monitoring of compliance with this act. There will be some verification of service providers' security procedures, but this is within the normal business operating scope. However, there is not sufficient information to determine or calculate what PD requirements this bill indicates must be created or conducted, and therefore cannot provide a meaningful or substantial submittal on behalf of the school district with regards to fiscal impact.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Lincoln County School District**

Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent

Comment: The cost for Lincoln to have the school service providers comply with the directives in the proposed bill is the ongoing cost of at least 1 FTE or 70,000. Additionally the cost for PD to provide for and have all staff complete is extra days which costs approximately 55,000. Those cost will be on-going.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$125,000	\$100,000	\$100,000

School District: **Lyon County School District**

Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance

Comment: BDR 34-411 would have fiscal impacts that can't be determined. The professional development prescribed within the BDR is currently the practice in our district. The district would need to evaluate all current agreements and cancel certain programs if they cannot agree to the requirement. Changing vendors or programs would have a fiscal change either negative or positive.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Nye County School District**

Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary

Comment: 2015-16, 2016-17 & future biennia minimal cost for each. Clearly data collection is essential to running a school district & implementing any school based interventions. This bill provides for the security needed to protect student information. Section 6 provides for clear & concise legal action that can be taken concerning the misuse of this data.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Pershing County School District**

Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent

Comment: The providers would increase the cost of these protection and access measures, but a definitive estimate was not available.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Storey County School District</b> Approved by: Robert Slaby, Superintendent Comment: Cost of implementing program?				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>White Pine County School District</b> Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: Section 8 requires teachers and other licensed personnel employed by a school district or charter school to annually complete professional development regarding school service providers and the security of data concerning pupils. The cost of the professional development will depend on the detailed plans developed to preserve confidentiality. The impact should be nominal however.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$10,000

**The following school districts did not provide a response:** Churchill County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Elko County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, and Washoe County School District.