

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 3, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2014-15</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2015-16</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 454 / BDR 14 - 559**

City/County: <b>City of Henderson</b> Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No identifiable fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Las Vegas</b> Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary Comment: Municipal Court will potentially have some additional costs in conjunction with the requirement for a uniform pre-trial risk assessment tool, however without knowing what the tool would be, it is hard to calculate what those exact costs will be to the City of Las Vegas.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Reno</b> Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr. Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Sparks</b> Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: This would have a fiscal impact to Carson City. We are estimating this would require us to hire a full time Accounting Technician to assist with the requirements of the bill. We estimate this would cost \$60,000 per year.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$60,000	\$63,000	\$135,650

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 14-559 would have minimal to no fiscal impact to Churchill County. Most of the bill is favorable to the County.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: No fiscal impact.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b> Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>White Pine County</b> Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: There is a complete reversal of cash flow. This will cost more in processing as the money, which starts in the County, must be sent to the State so it can be returned to the County. This will decrease investment income and increase processing costs. Additionally, there will be communication requirements with the State Controller's Office, certain to be in an electronic format, related to collecting fines, administrative assessments and fees. This will most likely require software programming and security upgrades. The County has made serious efforts to increase collections and they have provided increased revenues. The County will now be required to turn the collection fees over to the State and wait to receive the money due. The result of this will be lost revenues, increased expense and decreased efficiency ending in adverse financial impact to the County in an amount that cannot be determined.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following cities and counties did not provide a response:** Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Humboldt County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Lyon County, Nye County, Storey County, Pershing County, and City of North Las Vegas.