## LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 4, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

**Explanation** 

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

## Local Government Responses S.B. 372 / BDR 40 - 657

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director

Comment: No major fiscal impact to Carson City.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 40-657 will not have a fiscal impact on Churchill County.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance

Comment: No fiscal impact on Clark County anticipated.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County** 

Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager

Comment: Section 32 of the bill which increases the number of medical marijuana dispensaries allocated to each County will impact Washoe County. The bill proposes to allocate 1 dispensary for each 20,000 people of a County's population. Given a 2014 estimated Washoe County population of 436,000, this equates to 21+ dispenseries. The current NRS limitation is 10. This means that potentially 11 more dispenseries could apply for County business licenses, and other appropriate permits (building,health, air quality, fire). Our assumption is that all 11 will apply next fiscal year(15-16) assuming the bill is effective on July 1, 2015.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	(\$26,180)	\$3,025	\$3,025

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: The continued expansion of the allowance of medical marijuana will contribute to increased levels of crimes committed, the need to prosecute those crimes and to adjudicate them. This will result in increased costs for salaries and benefits related to law enforcement, the District Attorney's Office and the courts. Additionally, there may be need to amend the existing ordinance passed by the County related to medical marijuana establishments. This will result in additional legal and administrative time. All of these things will result in adverse financial impact to the County. However, a realistic estimate cannot be determined at this time.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Boulder City

Approved by: Brok Armantrout, Community Development Director

Comment: The sale and cultivation of marijuana is not permitted within Boulder City. This bill has no impact on our regulatory efforts.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No identifiable fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: Should the City allow additional dispensaries we would need to conduct application reviews (\$5000 per application) and hire additional staff to review, inspect and police the locations. There would be police costs, business licensing processing, auditing and inspection costs associated with the passage of this bill and the potential expansion of Medical Marijuana Establishments. The cost over the next three years would depend on the number of locations actually allocated to the City of Las Vegas. According to our population it would generate approximately 100 additional dispensaries for Southern Nevada for the approximately 8000 Medical Marijuana card holders. As no dispensary has opened, there is no local data on compounding effects to neighborhood services, policing etc., so the full costs to the community cannot be determined.

The City can comment on the specific staffing costs that will be required should the City open an additional 30 dispensaries, as anticipated by this bill. This year the application process cost \$240,000 in staff resources for 11 dispensaries. Thirty dispensaries would triple this number and the city would also require approximately \$800,000 in ongoing staff costs for three senior inspectors, an analyst, and a supervisor to address both current and additional Medical Marijuana Establishment inspection and processing requirements. License fees would need to be set to cover a doubling of current Medical Marijuana Establishment staff.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.

Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno as a result of this legislation.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities and counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, and City of North Las Vegas.