

BDR 17-872

SB 413

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 9, 2015

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2014-15	Fiscal Year 2015-16	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 413 / BDR 17 - 872

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nickolas A. Providenti, Finance Director Comment: No major fiscal impact to Carson City.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: County Manager, County Manager Comment: BDR 17-872 would require the State and Local Governments to provide fiscal notes on bills and resolutions. Furthermore, the local government may be required to provide the analysis and supporting documentation used to determine the fiscal note. The fiscal impact would result from staff time to develop the fiscal notes and supporting materials. The additional fiscal impact above what the County currently practices is not likely to be significant. Estimated at \$25,000 or less per session.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Assistant Director of Finance Comment: No fiscal impact anticipated.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County Approved by: Sondra Schmidt, Comptroller Comment: This could have an impact on the Humboldt County's staff resources. It cannot be determined if it would use more or less of the staff's time to research and prepare BDRs.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Liane Lee , Government Affairs Manager

Comment: This BDR changes the procedures for the solicitation and submission of fiscal notes on legislative measures. The changes may have a fiscal impact but the impact cannot be so determined.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **White Pine County**

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Henderson**

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: No identifiable fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Las Vegas**

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Secretary

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Reno**

Approved by: Ryan High, Budget/Strat. Initiatives Mgr.

Comment: After initial review, there is no fiscal impact to the City of Reno.

Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Carson City School District Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Clark County School District Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Deputy CFO Comment: CCSD does not expect impact although several of the proposed requirements: 1) Sec 4 item 4 requiring additional information from a local government within 3 working days and 2) Sec 9 Item 4 request the local government to revise a fiscal note within 1 working day will pose undue time constraints and additional workload on existing staff. Additionally, Section 7 Item 2b, which proposes that if a local government indicates fiscal impact but doesn't provide a dollar amount must indicate the reasons for that conclusion. Local governments do not have access to information that is sometimes required to determine an actual dollar amount including statewide data, all circumstances under which the bill was drafted, and other relevant information that would enable the local government to attach a dollar amount to the fiscal note. If the local government had this information, a dollar value would have been provided				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Douglas County School District Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO, BUSINESS SERVICES Comment: It appears there will not be any fiscal impact, but may change the way we currently report local government fiscal notes.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District Approved by: Steve Hansen, Superintendent Comment: No fiscal impact regarding fiscal impact notes				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lyon County School District Approved by: Philip Cowee, Director of Finance Comment: The fiscal impacts of BDR 17-872 cannot be determined. Currently the process of responding to BDR's is very time consuming. Any change to this process should be evaluated on the resources of the districts.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District Approved by: Kerry Paniagua, Executive Secretary Comment: I believe this will require more staff time to read additional bills so as not to miss any that may have fiscal impact other than just the bills we already receive fiscal notes on.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Storey County School District Approved by: Robert Slaby, Superintendent Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Pershing County School District Approved by: Dan Fox, Superintendent Comment: There is a large variable of not knowing how many fiscal note requests will be made during a session, hence it's not known if additional help will need to be hired to meet the deadlines.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: Washoe County School District does not anticipate additional cost associated with fiscal note policy changes.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: White Pine County School District Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Approved by: Rich Hoggan, Chief Financial Officer Comment: This language in this bill essentially details current practice. There is not a considerable financial impact to preparing fiscal notes.				
Impact	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities, counties and school districts did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Pershing County, Nye County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Humboldt County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.