Amendment No. 699

Senate Ar		(BDR 7-71)				
Proposed by: Senate Committee on Judiciary						
Amends:	Summary: No	Title: Yes Preamble	: No Joint S _I	onsorship: No	Digest: Yes	

ASSEMBLY	ACT	TION	Initial and Date	SENATE ACTIO	ON Initial and Date
Adopted		Lost		Adopted	Lost
Concurred In		Not		Concurred In	Not
Receded		Not		Receded	Not

EXPLANATION: Matter in (1) *blue bold italics* is new language in the original bill; (2) variations of <u>green bold underlining</u> is language proposed to be added in this amendment; (3) <u>red-strikethrough</u> is deleted language in the original bill; (4) <u>purple double strikethrough</u> is language proposed to be deleted in this amendment; (5) <u>orange double underlining</u> is deleted language in the original bill proposed to be retained in this amendment.

VG/NCA : Date: 5/16/2017

S.B. No. 203—Revises provisions relating to domestic corporations. (BDR 7-71)

20

SENATE BILL NO. 203-COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

FEBRUARY 22, 2017

Referred to Committee on Judiciary

SUMMARY—Revises provisions relating to domestic corporations. (BDR 7-71)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No.

Effect on the State: No.

EXPLANATION – Matter in **bolded italics** is new; matter between brackets [omitted material] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to business associations; expressing the intent of the Legislature concerning the law of domestic corporations; requiring attorneys to verify that they have read certain relevant statutes before filing a complaint for certain causes of action relating to domestic corporations; revising the presumption against negligence for the actions of corporate directors and officers; clarifying the factors that may be considered by corporate directors and officers in the exercise of their respective powers; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Under existing law, with certain exceptions, a director or officer of a domestic corporation is presumed not to be individually liable to the corporation or its stockholders or creditors for damages unless: (1) an act or failure to act of the director or officer was a breach of his or her fiduciary duties; and (2) such breach involved intentional misconduct, fraud or a knowing violation of law. (NRS 78.138)

[Section 1 of this bill provides that evidence of simple negligence is insufficient to rebut this presumption.] Section 4 [additionally] of this bill specifies that [a rebuttal of this presumption is insufficient] to establish liability on the part of a corporate director or officer and instead] requires: (1) a rebuttal of this presumption; and (2) a breach of a fiduciary duty accompanied by intentional misconduct, [actual] fraud or a knowing violation of law. Sections 4 and 5 of this bill clarify the factors that a director or officer of a domestic corporation is entitled to consider in exercising his or her respective powers in certain circumstances, including, without limitation, resisting a change or potential change in the control of a corporation.

Section 2 of this bill expresses the intent of the Legislature regarding the law of domestic corporations, including that the laws of other jurisdictions must not supplant or modify Nevada law. (Section 3 of this bill requires a plaintiff to verify that he or she has read certain statutes (NRS 78.138, 78.139) pertaining to the duties and powers of corporate directors and efficient before filing a complaint that alleges a breach of a fiduciary duty or seeks to enforce a right of a shareholder against a domestic corporation.]

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

- **Section 1.** Chapter 78 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto the provisions set forth as sections 2 and 3 of this act.
 - Sec. 2. The Legislature hereby finds and declares that:
- 1. It is important to the economy of this State, and to domestic corporations, fand their directors for and officers, and their stockholders, employees, fand creditors for the laws governing domestic corporations to be clear and comprehensible. [without the need for undue or inappropriate reliance on judicial decisions.]
- 2. The laws of this State [must] govern the incorporation and internal affairs of a domestic corporation and the rights, privileges, powers, duties and liabilities, if any, of its directors, officers and stockholders.
- 4. [Except in the limited circumstances set forth in NRS 78.139, an exercise of the respective powers of directors or officers of a domestic corporation, including, without limitation, in circumstances involving a change or potential change in control of a corporation, is not subject to a heightened standard of review.
- 5. The standards promulgated by the Supreme Court of Delaware in Unocal Corporation v. Mesa Petroleum Co., 493 A.2d 946 (Del. 1985), and Revlon, Inc. v. MacAndrews & Forbes Holdings, Inc., 506 A.2d 173 (Del. 1986), and their progeny have been, and are hereby, rejected by the Legislature.
- 6.] The directors and officers of a domestic corporation, in exercising their duties under NRS 78.138 and 78.139, may be informed by the laws and judicial decisions of other jurisdictions and the practices observed by business entities in any such jurisdiction, but the failure or refusal of a director or officer to consider, or to conform the exercise of his or her powers to, the laws, judicial decisions or practices of another jurisdiction does not constitute or indicate a breach of a fiduciary duty.
- Sec. 3. [1. In an action involving or relating to a domestic corporation that is subject to the provisions of NRS 41.520 or alleges a breach of a fiduciary duty by a director or officer of a domestic corporation, the complaint must be verified by oath and must aver that each plaintiff named in the action has read the provisions of NRS 78.138 and 78.139 and section 2 of this act in their entirety.
- 2. A court shall give each plaintiff leave to amend the complaint to comply with the requirements of this section, and a dismissal for failure to comply with this section must not operate as an adjudication upon the merits.
- 3. The period in which any defendant must file an answer or other responsive pleading with the court commences only upon compliance with this section by all plaintiffs named in the action.] (Deleted by amendment.)
 - **Sec. 4.** NRS 78.138 is hereby amended to read as follows:
- 78.138 1. [Directors] The fiduciary duties of directors and officers [shall] are to exercise their respective powers in good faith and with a view to the interests of the corporation.

17

18

19

27

28

29

30

37

51

52

44

- In **[performing]** exercising their respective **[duties,]** powers, directors and officers may, and are entitled to, rely on information, opinions, reports, books of account or statements, including financial statements and other financial data, that are prepared or presented by:
- (a) One or more directors, officers or employees of the corporation reasonably believed to be reliable and competent in the matters prepared or presented;
- (b) Counsel, public accountants, financial advisers, valuation advisers, investment bankers or other persons as to matters reasonably believed to be within the preparer's or presenter's professional or expert competence; or
- (c) A committee on which the director or officer relying thereon does not serve, established in accordance with NRS 78.125, as to matters within the committee's designated authority and matters on which the committee is reasonably believed to merit confidence,
- ⇒ but a director or officer is not entitled to rely on such information, opinions, reports, books of account or statements if the director or officer has knowledge concerning the matter in question that would cause reliance thereon to be unwarranted.
- [Directors] Except as otherwise provided in subsection 1 of NRS 78.139, directors and officers in deciding upon matters of business, are presumed to act in good faith, on an informed basis and with a view to the interests of the corporation. Simple negligenee, alone, is insufficient to rebut this presumption. As provided in subsection 6, rebuttal of this presumption, alone, is also insufficient to establish the individual liability of al A director or officer is not individually <u>liable</u> for damages as a result of an act or failure to act in his or her capacity as a director or officer [...] except under circumstances described in subsection 7.
- 4. Directors and officers, in exercising their respective powers with a view to the interests of the corporation, may: [consider: , and are entitled, but not required to:|
- (a) Consider all relevant facts, circumstances, contingencies constituencies, including, without limitation:
- (1) The interests of the corporation's employees, suppliers, creditors and or customers;

- (b) (2) The economy of the State [and] or Nation; [(e)] (3) The interests of the community [and] or of society; [and]
- (d) (4) The long-term [as well as] or short-term interests of the corporation fand its, including the possibility that these interests may be best served by the continued independence of the corporation; or
- (5) The long-term or short-term interests of the corporation's stockholders, including the possibility that these interests may be best served by the continued independence of the corporation.
- (b) Consider or assign weight to the interests of any particular person or group, or to any other relevant facts, circumstances, contingencies or constituencies.
- <u>Directors and officers are not required to consider</u>, as a dominant factor, the effect of a proposed corporate action upon any particular group or constituency having an interest in the corporation. [as a dominant factor.]
- 6. (b) Consider or assign weight to the interests of any particular person or group, or to any other relevant facts, circumstances, contingencies or
- —5.] The provisions of subsections 4 and 5 [subsection 4] do not create or authorize any causes feause of action against the corporation or its directors or officers.

1 **16.** Except as otherwise provided in NRS 35.230, 90.660, 91.250, 23456789 452.200, 452.270, 668.045 and 694A.030, or unless the articles of incorporation or an amendment thereto, in each case filed on or after October 1, 2003, provide for greater individual liability, a director or officer is not individually liable to the corporation or its stockholders or creditors for any damages as a result of any act or failure to act in his or her capacity as a director or officer unless [it]:

(a) The **[court]** trier of fact determines that the presumption established by subsection 3 has been rebutted; and

(b) It is proven that:

(1) The director's or officer's act or failure to act constituted a breach of his or her fiduciary duties as a director or officer; and

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

51

- (2) Such breach [of those duties] involved intentional misconduct, [actual] fraud or a knowing violation of law.
- [7.] 8. This section applies to all cases, circumstances and matters unless otherwise provided in the articles of incorporation, or an amendment thereto, including, without limitation, any change or potential change in control of the corporation.

Sec. 5. NRS 78.139 is hereby amended to read as follows:

- 78.139 1. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 2 or the articles of incorporation, directors and officers, in connection with a change or potential change in control of the corporation, have:
- (a) The duties imposed upon them by subsection 1 of NRS 78.138;
 (b) The benefit of the presumptions established by subsection 78.138; and
- (c) The prerogative to undertake and act upon consideration pursuant to subsections 2, 4 and 5 of NRS 78.138.
- 2. If directors or officers take action to resist a change or potential change in control of a corporation, which action impedes the exercise of the right of stockholders to vote for or remove directors:
- (a) The directors must have reasonable grounds to believe that a threat to corporate policy and effectiveness exists; and
- (b) The action taken which impedes the exercise of the stockholders' rights must be reasonable in relation to that threat.
- → If those facts are found, the directors and officers have the benefit of the presumption established by subsection 3 of NRS 78.138.
 - The provisions of subsection $\frac{2}{1}$ do not apply to:
- (a) Actions that only affect the time of the exercise of stockholders' voting rights; or
- (b) The adoption or signing of plans, arrangements or instruments that deny rights, privileges, power or authority to a holder of a specified number or fraction of shares or fraction of voting power.
- The provisions of subsections 1 and 2 [and 3] do not permit directors or officers to abrogate any right conferred by [statute] the laws of this State or the articles of incorporation.

Directors|

4. Execpt as otherwise provided in Without limiting the provisions of NRS 78.138, a director may resist a change or potential change in control of the corporation if the board of directors [by a majority vote of a quorum determine] determines that the change or potential change is opposed to or not in the best interest of the corporation +

13

14

15

or

25

26 27

28 29

36

37 38

39

53

(a) Upon upon consideration of the interests of the corporation's stockholders or any of the matters set forth in any relevant facts, circumstances, contingencies or constituencies pursuant to subsection 4 of NRS 78.138 ; or

(b) Because, including, without limitation, the amount or nature of the indebtedness and other obligations to which the corporation or any successor to the property of either may become subject, in connection with the change or potential change, provides reasonable grounds to believe that, within a reasonable time:

(1) (a) The assets of the corporation or any successor would be or become less than its liabilities;

(b) The corporation or any successor would be or become insolvent;

(c) Any voluntary or involuntary proceeding concerning the corporation or any successor would be commenced by any person pursuant to the federal bankruptcy laws.

5. The provisions of subsection 4 do not ereate or authorize any cause of action against the corporation or its directors or officers.

Sec. 6. [NRS 78.752 is hereby amended to read as follows:

78.752 1. A corporation may purchase and maintain insurance or make other financial arrangements on behalf of any person who is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation, or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise for any liability asserted against the person and liability and expenses incurred by the person in his or her capacity as a director, officer, employee or agent, or arising out of his or her status as such, whether or not the corporation has the authority to indemnify such a person against such liability and expenses.

2. The other financial arrangements made by the corporation pursuant subsection 1 may include the following:

(a) The creation of a trust fund.
(b) The establishment of a program of self insurance.
(c) The securing of its obligation of indemnification by granting interest or other lien on any assets of the corporation.

(d) The establishment of a letter of credit, guaranty or surety.

The financial arrangement made pursuant to this subsection may provide protection for a person adjudged by a court of competent jurisdiction, after exhaustion of all appeals therefrom, to be liable for intentional misconduct, actual fraud or a knowing violation of law, except with respect to the advancement of expenses or indomnification ordered by a court.

3. Any insurance or other financial arrangement made on behalf of a person pursuant to this section may be provided by the corporation or any other person approved by the board of directors, even if all or part of the other person's stock or other securities is owned by the corporation.

4. In the absence of fraud:

(a) The decision of the board of directors as to the propriety of the terms and conditions of any insurance or other financial arrangement made pursuant to this section and the choice of the person to provide the insurance or other financial arrangement is conclusive; and

(b) The insurance or other financial arrangement:

(1) Is not void or voidable; and

(2) Does not subject any director approving it to personal liability for

+ even if a director approving the insurance or other financial arrangement is beneficiary of the insurance or other financial arrangement.

- 5. A corporation or its subsidiary which provides self insurance for itself or for another affiliated corporation pursuant to this section is not subject to the provisions of title 57 of NRS. (Deleted by amendment.)
 - Sec. 7. NRS 41.520 is hereby amended to read as follows:
- 41.520 ¹. As used in this section "corporation" includes an unincorporated association, and "board of directors" includes the managing body of an unincorporated association.
- 2. In an action brought to enforce a secondary right on the part of one or more shareholders in a corporation or association, incorporated or unincorporated, because the corporation or association refuses to enforce rights which may properly be asserted by it, the complaint must [bel]
- (a) Be verified by eath and must aver that the plaintiff was a shareholder at the time of the transaction of which the plaintiff complains or that the plaintiff's share thereafter devolved on the plaintiff by operation of law [. The complaint must also set];
- (b) Set forth with particularity the efforts of the plaintiff to secure from the board of directors or trustees and, if necessary, from the shareholders such action as the plaintiff desires, and the reasons for the plaintiff's failure to obtain such action or the reasons for not making such effort [.]; and
 - (c) Comply with the provisions of section 3 of this act.
- 3. In any such action, at any time within 30 days after service of summons upon the corporation or any defendant who is an officer or director of the corporation, or held such office at the time of the acts complained of, the corporation or such defendant may move the court for an order, upon notice and hearing, requiring the plaintiff to furnish security as hereinafter provided. Such motion must be based upon one or more of the following grounds:
- (a) That there is no reasonable possibility that the prosecution of the cause of action alleged in the complaint against the moving party will benefit the corporation or its security holders.
- (b) That the moving party, if other than the corporation, did not participate in the transaction complained of in any capacity.
- The court on application of the corporation or any defendant may, for good cause shown, extend the 30 day period for an additional period or periods not exceeding 60 days.
- 4. At the hearing upon such motion, the court shall consider such evidence, written or oral, by witnesses or affidavit, as may be material:
 - (a) To the ground or grounds upon which the motion is based; or
- (b) To a determination of the probable reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, of the corporation and the moving party which will be incurred in the defense of the action. If the court determines, after hearing the evidence adduced by the parties at the hearing, that the moving party has established a probability in support of any of the grounds upon which the motion is based, the court shall fix the nature and amount of security to be furnished by the plaintiff for reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, which may be incurred by the moving party and the corporation in connection with such action, including expenses which the corporation may incur by reason of any obligation which it may have to indemnify its officers or directors pursuant to NRS 78.7502 or otherwise. A determination by the court that security either must or must not be furnished or must be furnished as to one or more defendants and not as to others shall not be deemed a determination of any one or more issues in the action or of the merits thereof. The corporation and the moving party have recourse to the security in such amount as the court determines upon the termination of the action. The amount of the security may thereafter from time to time be increased or decreased in the

discretion of the court upon showing that the security provided has or may become inadequate or is excessive. If the court, upon any such motion, makes a determination that security must be furnished by the plaintiff as to any one or more defendants, the action must be dismissed as to such defendant or defendants, unless the security required by the court is furnished within such reasonable time as may be fixed by the court.

be fixed by the court.

5. If any such motion is filed, no pleadings need be filed by the corporation or any other defendants, and the prosecution of the action must be stayed, until 10 days after the motion has been disposed of.] (Deleted by amendment.)