

Amendment No. 818

Senate Amendment to Senate Bill No. 368 First Reprint

(BDR 14-113)

Proposed by: Senator Cannizzaro**Amends:** Summary: No Title: No Preamble: No Joint Sponsorship: No Digest: No

ASSEMBLY ACTION		Initial and Date		SENATE ACTION		Initial and Date			
Adopted	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lost	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Adopted	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lost	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Concurred In	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Concurred In	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Receded	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Receded	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

EXPLANATION: Matter in (1) ***blue bold italics*** is new language in the original bill; (2) variations of **green bold underlining** is language proposed to be added in this amendment; (3) **red strikethrough** is deleted language in the original bill; (4) **purple double strikethrough** is language proposed to be deleted in this amendment; (5) **orange double underlining** is deleted language in the original bill proposed to be retained in this amendment.

BAW



Date: 5/19/2017

S.B. No. 368—Revises provisions relating to criminal procedure. (BDR 14-113)



* A S B 3 6 8 R 1 8 1 8 *

**SENATE BILL NO. 368—SENATORS FORD, ATKINSON,
SPEARMAN, CANCELA AND DENIS**

MARCH 20, 2017

JOINT SPONSORS: ASSEMBLYMEN NEAL, FRIERSON, THOMPSON, MONROE-MORENO,
MILLER; AND MCCURDY II

Referred to Committee on Judiciary

SUMMARY—Revises provisions relating to criminal procedure. (BDR 14-113)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No.
 Effect on the State: No.

~

EXPLANATION – Matter in ***bolded italics*** is new; matter between brackets **[omitted material]** is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to criminal procedure; establishing requirements concerning certain motions to suppress evidence; providing for the return and inadmissibility as evidence of property which is seized as a result of certain unlawful stops or seizures and subsequent arrests and searches; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Generally, the exclusionary rule requires courts to exclude evidence that law enforcement obtains in violation of the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution, which bars unreasonable searches and seizures. The United States Supreme Court and the Nevada Supreme Court recognized an exception to the exclusionary rule under the “attenuation doctrine,” holding that “when [a] constitutional violation is far enough removed from the acquisition of the evidence, the violation is sufficiently ‘attenuated’ [so] as to dissipate the ‘taint’ of the illegality and the evidence may be admitted.” (*Torres v. State*, 131 Nev. Adv. Op. 2, 341 P.3d 652 (2015), citing *Wong Sun v. United States*, 171 U.S. 471, 491 (1993)) However, the Nevada Supreme Court in *Torres* held that the discovery of a warrant of arrest does not purge the taint from an illegal seizure and that the attenuation doctrine does not apply under such circumstances. *See Torres*, 131 Nev. Adv. Op. 2, at 11, 341 P.3d at 658.

In 2016, the United States Supreme Court extended the attenuation doctrine to admit evidence seized in situations in which a law enforcement officer makes an unconstitutional investigatory stop, discovers during the stop that the person stopped is the subject of an outstanding arrest warrant, arrests the person and seizes evidence in a search conducted incident to the arrest. (*Utah v. Strieff*, 579 U.S. ___, 136 S.Ct. 2056 (2016)) Pursuant to the decision of the United States Supreme Court in *Strieff*, the judgment of the Nevada Supreme Court in *Torres* was vacated and the attenuation doctrine was extended to allow the admissibility of evidence seized under such circumstances. (*State v. Torres*, 136 S.Ct. 2505 (2016)) **Section 1.5** of this bill provides that the attenuation doctrine is not extended to permit the admissibility of evidence seized under such circumstances.

Section 1 of this bill requires that a motion to suppress evidence in any criminal proceeding in which the defendant is charged with a felony or gross misdemeanor: (1) be made in the district court having jurisdiction after any preliminary hearing; (2) generally be in

25 writing; and (3) be made in accordance with all applicable provisions of law and court rules
26 governing the procedure for filing such a motion.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN
SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

1 **Section 1.** Chapter 174 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto a new
2 section to read as follows:

3 *A motion to suppress evidence in any criminal proceeding in which the
4 defendant is charged with a felony or gross misdemeanor must be made in the
5 district court having jurisdiction after any preliminary hearing. Such a motion
6 must be:*

7 *1. Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (a) of subsection 2 of NRS
8 174.125 or any other provision of law, in writing; and*

9 *2. Made in accordance with all applicable provisions of law and court rules
10 governing the procedure for filing such a motion.*

11 **Sec. 1.5.** NRS 179.085 is hereby amended to read as follows:

12 179.085 1. A person aggrieved by an unlawful search and seizure or the
13 deprivation of property may move the court having jurisdiction where the property
14 was seized for the return of the property on the ground that:

15 (a) The property was illegally seized without warrant;

16 (b) The warrant is insufficient on its face;

17 (c) There was not probable cause for believing the existence of the grounds on
18 which the warrant was issued;

19 (d) The warrant was illegally executed; or

20 (e) Retention of the property by law enforcement is not reasonable under the
21 totality of the circumstances.

22 → The judge shall receive evidence on any issue of fact necessary to the decision of
23 the motion.

24 2. If the motion is granted on a ground set forth in paragraph (a), (b), (c) or
25 (d) of subsection 1, the property must be restored and it must not be admissible
26 evidence at any hearing or trial.

27 3. If the motion is granted on the ground set forth in paragraph (e) of
28 subsection 1, the property must be restored, but the court may impose reasonable
29 conditions to protect access to the property and its use in later proceedings.

30 4. *If a peace officer:*

31 (i) *Makes an unlawful stop or seizure of a person;*

32 (ii) *Discovers that there is an outstanding warrant for the arrest of the
33 person;*

34 (iii) *Arrests the person pursuant to the outstanding warrant of arrest;*

35 (iv) *Conducts a search pursuant to that arrest; and*

36 (v) *Seizes property which is discovered during that search,*

37 → *a person aggrieved by the seizure or the deprivation of the property may move
38 the court having jurisdiction where the property was seized for the return of the
39 property on the ground that the property was seized as the result of an unlawful
40 stop or seizure. The judge shall receive evidence on any issue of fact necessary to
41 the decision of the motion. If the motion is granted, the property must be restored
42 and it must not be admissible evidence at any hearing or trial. For the purposes
43 of this subsection, the discovery of an outstanding warrant of arrest shall be
44 deemed not to purge the taint of an unlawful stop or seizure and not to attenuate
45 the connection between the unlawful stop or seizure and the seizure of property*

1 *during a search incident to an arrest pursuant to the outstanding warrant of
2 arrest.*

3 5. A motion to suppress evidence on any ground set forth in paragraphs (a) to
4 (d), inclusive, of subsection 1 ~~[may also] or pursuant to subsection 4 must~~ be made
5 in ~~the court where the trial is to be had. The motion must be made before trial or
6 hearing unless opportunity therefor did not exist or the defendant was not aware of
7 the grounds for the motion, but the court in its discretion may entertain the motion
8 at the trial or hearing.~~

9 ~~5. accordance with NRS 174.125 and section 1 of this act.~~

10 6. If a motion pursuant to this section is filed when no criminal proceeding is
11 pending, the motion must be treated as a civil complaint seeking equitable relief.

12 Sec. 2. NRS 189.120 is hereby amended to read as follows:

13 189.120 1. ~~If the~~ *In a case in which a defendant is charged only with any
14 misdemeanor offense and not any offense that is a gross misdemeanor or felony,*
15 the State may appeal to the district court from an order of a justice court granting
16 the motion of ~~to~~ *the* defendant to suppress evidence.

17 2. Such an appeal ~~shall~~ *must* be taken:

18 (a) Within 2 days after the rendition of such an order during a trial ~~or~~ *for*
19 ~~preliminary examination.~~

20 (b) Within 5 days after the rendition of such an order before a trial ~~or~~ *for*
21 ~~preliminary examination.~~

22 3. Upon perfecting such an appeal:

23 (a) After the commencement of a trial ~~or preliminary examination,~~ further
24 proceedings in the trial ~~shall~~ *must* be stayed pending the final determination of the
25 appeal.

26 (b) Before trial ~~or preliminary examination,~~ the time limitation within which
27 a defendant ~~shall~~ *must* be brought to trial ~~shall~~ *must* be extended for the period
28 necessary for the final determination of the appeal.

29 ~~See 2.~~ Sec. 3. This act becomes effective upon passage and approval.