LOCAL GOVERNMENT **FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 23, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title **Deputy Fiscal Analyst**

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses A.B. 280 / BDR 27 - 1060

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO

Comment: There is a negative fiscal impact which is impossible to determine at this time.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 27-1060 does not appear to have any significant fiscal impact to Churchill

County.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Douglas County**

Approved by: Carl Ruschmeyer, Public Work Director

Comment: May result in additional effort to bid and award a public work contract, but the

financial impact is undetermined.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance

Comment: Bill will grant preference to businesses who reside or mostly reside in the State of

Nevada for state purchasing contracts.

No fiscal impact to Clark County.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Esmeralda County

Approved by: Kelly Jo Eagan, Administrative Asst

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Government Affairs

Comment: For public bid projects this proposal related to NRS 338 increases the bidder preference from 5% to 10%. This has an impact to the management of projects where bidders claim the preference increasing the chance that staff will need to monitor drivers licenses or ID cards, and vehicle registrations. A 10% bidder preference will lower the pool of bidders on larger scale projects to just those in the State of Nevada. An estimated cost would be \$5,000 increase per project.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: The impact would be potentially higher prices by providing bids to be evaluated based upon a price that is lower than the actual bid. The same is true if contractors are given preferential treatment for having employees in-state. This eliminates competitive markets and potentially forces higher prices. The amount of increase and adverse impact to the County cannot be reasonably estimated.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: The fiscal impact for this legislation cannot be calculated because the amount of projects varies from year to year and whether a preference is the determining factor on who the contract goes to is an unknown for future projects.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant

Comment: Based on the last 3 years of contract bid awards the City of Las Vegas would see

an average of a \$25,000 increase in award amounts per year.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Tillery Williams, Management Analyst

Comment: The change from a preference of 5% to 10% in the proposed bill for a contractor, applicant to serve as a construction manager at risk, or design-build team that wishes to receive a preference in bidding for a public work contract could have a fiscal impact to the City of Reno regarding those projects awarded on the basis of preference. When preference does come into play, an agency could pay up to 10% more for the project in order to award to a contractor that has preference.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: The expectation is that this will have a fiscal impact with the effect of increasing construction costs, but it's impossible to determine to what extent.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Carson City School District

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: Most of our services are local, but not sure how this would impact costs for us.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Douglas County School District**

Approved by: Twhite, Superintendent

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Clark County School District

Approved by: Dillon Kay, Assistant Budget Director

Comment: Does not appear to have a material impact on CCSD.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District

Approved by: Pam Teel, Superintendent

Comment: Unsure of impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lyon County School District

Approved by: Shawn Heusser, Director of Finance

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Pershing County School District**

Approved by: Russell D. Fecht, Superintendent

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District

Approved by: Kelly Wood, Executive Secretary

Comment: Nye County School District is unable to determine the fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District

Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs

Comment: Washoe County School District cannot predict the impact this would have in future years. However, in the past 2 years, there have been three instances where this change in preference would have increased the cost of the project. Additionally it would have maintained the pattern of taking from a local and giving it to another local company.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$147,502	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: White Pine School District

Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO

Comment: Impact cannot be determined

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Determined				

The following cities/counties/school districts did not provide a response: Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lyon County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Pershing County, Nye County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Eureka County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, and Storey County School District.