

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 26, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 293 / BDR 24 - 875

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: A presidential preference primary election could result in significant fiscal impacts to Churchill County. However, it appears that section 29 of BDR 24-875 indicates that the State would cover the cost of the election, therefore reimbursing any costs incurred by the County. If this is the intent, there would be a significant burden to the State, and often times this results in the State being forced to mandate the counties to pay for other services.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance Comment: Bill will implement a presidential primary that will be held separately from the County's current primary. As written the State will pay for the election per Section 29 of this Bill. The cost of running a countywide election is approximately \$1,000,000. As the State is reimbursing Clark County for cost, there is no direct fiscal impact to Clark County.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Douglas County Approved by: Dena Ayta, Chief Deputy Clerk/Elections Comment: We would incur the costs of the Presidential Preference Primary, February 2020 (FY19/20) and same day registration registration will require a top-down statewide voter registration system and that cost is unknown.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$46,200	\$6,200	\$60,250

City/County: Esmeralda County Approved by: LaCinda Elgan , Esm Co Clerk and Treasurer Comment: This would add the cost of having an additional election. That could cost our county around \$3,000.00 dollars.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Jamie Rordriguez, Government Affairs

Comment: Bill states this cost is a charge against the State, but does not specify which costs would be reimbursed. An estimated cost to run a presidential preference primary under this bill is \$228,733 per presidential election cycle if requested (every 4 years).

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **White Pine County**

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: This requires the County to potentially hold a new election every four years. This would result in adverse impact to the County in the form of increased expense in the estimated amount of \$24,100. This is a large amount for our small County.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$24,100

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Carson City, Elko County, Eureka County, Humboldt County, Lander County, Lyon County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Pershing County, Nye County, and Storey County.