

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 28, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 259 / BDR 43 - 606**

City/County: <b>City of Henderson</b> Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No significant fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Las Vegas</b> Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Sparks</b> Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Reno</b> Approved by: Tillery Williams , Management Analyst Comment: No anticipated fiscal impact to the City of Reno.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Churchill County**

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 43-606 does not appear to have any significant fiscal impact to Churchill County. The Court currently orders the interlock device, which is installed at the defendant's own expense. This BDR appears to allow for a waiver of that fee, which could be construed as the County being responsible under the indigent defense budget to pay the provider of the interlock device on behalf of the defendant if the Court deems the defendant unable to pay themselves. If this is the intent, there would be a slight fiscal impact, where this situation may apply.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst. Director of Finance

Comment: This bill attempts to order the installation of a vehicle ignition interlock device for everyone convicted of a DUI / controlled substance offense except in case of a hardship (as determined by Court) for a period anywhere from 6-36 months after conviction. There is no mention of in such a case of hardship that Clark County would have to pay for the device. Thus no Fiscal impact.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Douglas County**

Approved by: Paul T. Howell, Undersheriff

Comment: No Impact

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Esmeralda County**

Approved by: LaCinda Elgan, Clerk

Comment: No Impact

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Humboldt County</b> Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller Comment: The fiscal impact of this bill will be on the DUI offenders. There will probably be additional court time spent on review and compliance hearings.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b> Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Management Analyst Comment: These cases will need to be tracked longer as result of the conditions if convicted for DUI under .18. The fiscal impact is unknown at this time.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>White Pine County</b> Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: May result in additional work by courts and Sheriff deputies which will increase costs for the County. An exact estimate is not available but are believed to be minimal in nature.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following cities/counties did not provide a response:** Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.