

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 29, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 358 / BDR 14 - 48

City/County: **City of Henderson**

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: This legislation would have a fiscal impact to the City of Henderson in the loss of revenue of approximately \$225,000 per year. Some years this is more and some years it has been less. Forfeiture funds are used to combat the types of crimes in which the funds were seized such as cyber crime which the City is in need of increased training for officers.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	(\$225,000)	(\$225,000)	(\$225,000)	(\$450,000)

City/County: **City of Las Vegas**

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Reno**

Approved by: Tillery Williams , Management Analyst

Comment: This bill as written will have a negative fiscal impact on the Reno Police Department. State law currently allows law enforcement agencies to use lawfully seized property and funds to supplement their budgets, and RPD has used siezed funds to purchase equipment, pay for training and supplies, and fund community programs in the past. Also, RPD has converted seized vehicles for agency use and has even deployed them as undercover vehicles. If this bill were to be passed as written, all of these expenses that RPD uses lawfully seized property to cover would have to be purchased with general fund dollars. On average, RPD has roughly \$100,000 per yer in the account designated for forfeited property and spends around \$50,000 per year from this account.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Sparks**

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: Compliance with the provisions of this bill would add several new and additional layers to the forfeiture process, that it is likely that it would not be worthwhile to pursue. Thus, the City would likely lose an estimated \$40,000 of revenue annually compared to the current forfeiture process.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	(\$40,000)	(\$40,000)	(\$80,000)

City/County: **Carson City**

Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO

Comment: This statement will have a negative impact on Carson City, as instead of using those funds to better our police force, we will now have to give them to the State Permanent School Fund, which the Current 179.1187 prohibits. Each year we have a variable amount of forfeitures/seizures, FY16 \$4,735, FY15 \$50,690, so it is impossible to predict the impact in future years. However, we used some of the FY15 money to purchase a much needed vehicle for our Special Enforcement Team and were planning on purchasing another much needed vehicle, so this would definitely have a negative impact on Carson. We are still catching up on deferred maintenance from the recession, some of our fleet is over 20 years old!

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Churchill County**

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 14-48 does not appear to create any significant fiscal impact on Churchill County.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance

Comment: By court order, proceeds from seizures, forfeiture and disposition of property must only be used to pay outstanding recorded liens on the forfeited property or any reasonable expenses, except for personnel costs, and the remaining funds be deposited in the State Permanent School Fund. This bill also requires law enforcement agency to report additional information in the annual report relating to the seizure, forfeiture and disposition of property.

This bill has no impact on Clark County operations as the State Treasurer will be responsible of disposing all forfeited property.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Esmeralda County**

Approved by: Kenneth N. Elgan, Sheriff

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Management Analyst

Comment: The average over the last 4 years has been \$44,000, and while that amount can vary we would not expect any large changes going forward.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$44,000	\$44,000	\$44,000	\$88,000

City/County: **White Pine County**

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Douglas County, Elko County, Humboldt County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.