LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES   Date Prepared: March 29, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation   (Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name   Michael Nakamoto

Title   Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 306 / BDR 16 - 298

City/County: **City of Henderson**

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: No fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **City of Las Vegas**

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **City of Reno**

Approved by: Tillery Williams , Management Analyst

Comment: The City of Reno currently doesn't operate a jail. The City has an agreement with Washoe County where they house the City's arrestees. This BDR currently has no impact on the City of Reno, but that could change if the City did decide to build and operate a new jail in the future.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **City of Sparks**

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Carson City**

Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO

Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Churchill County**

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: While BDR 16-298 does not appear to have an immediate, direct fiscal impact to Churchill County, it sets a precedent when it comes to security in a detention facility. The county is concerned that if this bill were to pass, there will be an eventual "push-down" to local jails in the near future, which would create a fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Douglas County**

Approved by: Paul T. Howell, Undersheriff

Comment: The introductory language indicates state prison facilities, but the bill content gives me the understanding this can or will apply to local jails. We have priced a wireless tablet based education system for our county jail and I have used that quote as the basis for my fiscal note.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	$30,000	$2,500	$2,500	$5,000

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance

Comment: Bill would allow inmate to utilize telecommunications devices for visits and correspondence between themselves and appropriate friends, relatives, and others as determined by jail staff.

No measurable operating impact to Clark County.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Esmeralda County**

Approved by: Kenneth N. Elgan, Sheriff

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **Washoe County**
Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Government Affairs
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **White Pine County**
Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director
Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Elko County, Eureka County, Humboldt County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.