

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 29, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 315 / BDR 40 - 989**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: Very little or no fiscal impact to Carson City.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 40-989 does not appear to create a fiscal impact to Churchill County.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance Comment: This bill draft requires the State Environmental Commission to study and make recommendations concerning certain agreements; limiting the amount that a franchisee may charge certain persons for the disposal of construction and demolition waste; prohibiting the governing body of a municipality from displacing or limiting competition in the collection, transportation and disposal of commercial recyclable material; requiring a county whose population is 100,000 or more to divert a certain percentage of solid waste from landfills; revising the statutory goal for recycling solid waste; requiring the board of county commissioners of each county in this State to report certain information to the Commission and the Legislature. Fiscal Impact is unable to be determined at this time – This bill could set maximum rates that a franchise must not charge more than for construction and demolition disposal, which could be less than current charges that would result in lower franchise fees, but it is not possible to determine if that would have any significant fiscal impact on the County.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Esmeralda County**

Approved by: Edwin B Rannells , Supervisor

Comment: Esmeralda County's population is considerably less than 100,000 which means, if I understand the language correctly, that Esmeralda County would not be required to comply with the recycling requirements. This is a good thing as Esmeralda County is too far a distance to freight recyclables to urban areas and would not be economically feasible. As for the other portions of the BDR it is impossible to determine financial effect because there are no known landfills within the county, other than the Landfill operated by Esmeralda County and some private mine Landfill. To my knowledge there is only one entity providing pick-up service and depositing trash in the Goldfield Landfill. So if this BDR would require some kind of posting of rates, then I feel that this would be an unnecessary expense

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller

Comment: Humboldt County currently owns but does not operate the landfill. We solicit bids in the proper manner. Currently two local haulers bring waste to the Landfill and everyone is charged the same rate. We do not feel that this bill will fiscally impact us other than maybe some additional reporting, which is difficult to determine at this time.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Pershing County**

Approved by: Karen Wesner, Admin. Assist.

Comment: Changes to waste disposal franchises and recycling for local governments: Yes, there may be a fiscal impact to local government. In order to meet the increase goal of recycling under Section 15, the county may need to pay staff and purchase additional equipment and space.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez , Management Analyst

Comment: Has potential impact due to fines and penalties that may be levied against the County. These impacts cannot be derived.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following counties did not provide a response:** Douglas County, Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lyon County, Lincoln County, Nye County, Mineral County, Storey County, and White Pine County.