

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT**  
**FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 29, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 284 / BDR 3 - 967**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 3-967 is not anticipated to create a significant fiscal impact to Churchill County, however, if certain crimes related to domestic violence order violations are no longer considered a misdemeanor, but rather a gross or Class C Felony - this will either prove to be a stronger deterrent which will in turn reduce offender-related costs or it may create a fiscal impact in regard to charging those offenders with a felony.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance Comment: This proposed bill increases an extended order and a temporary order to expire from 1 year to 3 years. This bill has no fiscal impact on Clark County operations.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Esmeralda County</b> Approved by: Robert E. Glennen, District Attorney Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b> Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez , Management Analyst Comment: It will have a fiscal impact, but it should not be substantial. The District Attorney and Public Defender offices will now have to prosecute some cases that previously would have gone to the city attorney's offices.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>White Pine County</b> Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Las Vegas</b> Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Reno</b> Approved by: Tillery Williams , Management Analyst Comment: No anticipated fiscal impact to the City of Reno.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Sparks</b> Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following cities/counties did not provide a response:** Douglas County, Elko County, Eureka County, Humboldt County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Pershing County, Nye County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Henderson, City of North Las Vegas, and City of Mesquite.