

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT**  
**FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 30, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 401 / BDR 58 - 86**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance Comment: This bill allows employees of the Nevada Transportation Authority (NTA) or the Taxicab Authority to issue citations which would be heard in municipal court rather than a hearing before either of those authorities. These citations include violations for parking outside of a taxi zone, non-taxies using taxi zones, operations within the airport, etc. This would shift the responsibility of funding adjudication of citations from the above authorities to the county and other local governments without providing any funding for this work, unless the courts can keep the fines imposed. The fines range from \$80 for the first offense to \$500 for the third and fourth offenses. The number of citations either of the above authorities issue is unknown and thus the effect on the work load is unknown. The NTA's website contains no summary statistics; however, the Authority's agenda items list about 150 citations being heard per month. The county spends about \$1.2 million annually adjudicating other traffic citations.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 58-86 does not appear to create a fiscal impact to Churchill County.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Douglas County</b> Approved by: Paul T. Howell, Undersheriff Comment: We have limited, to no Taxi authority personnel working in Douglas County so I cannot determine how this may impact our workload.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Esmeralda County</b> Approved by: Kelly Jo Eagan, Administrative Asst Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Humboldt County</b> Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b> Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Government Affairs Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following counties did not provide a response:** Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Lyon County, Pershing County, Nye County, Storey County, and White Pine County.