

LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 30, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 378 / BDR 14 - 559**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 14-559 appears to allow medical marijuana card holders the right to carry a weapon concealed. Although Churchill County does not see a direct fiscal impact, passage of this bill clearly changes existing law which is designed to protect all citizens from the irrational actions of those under the influence.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance Comment: Section 14 of the bill requires the sealing of records to a person who has been convicted of possession of a controlled substance. This bill also authorizes a person who is assigned to a treatment program and/or while on the property of an assisted living facility or a facility for hospice care, hospital or school to continue to engage in the medical use of marijuana. This bill has no impact on Clark County operations.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Douglas County</b> Approved by: Paul T. Howell, Undersheriff Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Esmeralda County</b> Approved by: Kenneth N. Elgan, Sheriff Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Humboldt County</b> Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b> Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Management Analyst Comment: The saliva requirements in the bill create an unfunded mandate for the Washoe County Sheriff's Office Forensic toxicology laboratory. The Crime Lab does not currently test saliva for drugs. Added cost for the Crime Lab to implement testing for drugs in saliva: Approximately \$1,000,000. Added annual cost for the Crime Lab to maintain drug testing in saliva after implementation: Approximately \$150,000(if positions are added). The bill would create an unfunded mandate for Nevada's Police Agencies and Nevada's Courts, if WCSO-FSD did not implement drug testing in saliva. Added cost to Nevada's Police Agencies to send saliva samples to an outside laboratory for drug testing: Approximately \$100-\$400 per sample collected. Added cost to Nevada's Courts if saliva is tested for drugs by an outside laboratory: Approximately \$3,000-\$4,000 per case. (In future the costs will increase exponentially.)				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$1,150,000	\$1,150,000	\$2,300,000

City/County: <b>City of Henderson</b> Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: The fiscal impact for this legislation cannot be calculated at this time. It cannot be determined how many individuals convicted of misdemeanors and gross misdemeanors would choose to seal their records and the city is currently backlogged on doing current sealing. Also, it cannot be determined the cost of performing the saliva tests for controlled substances, it cannot be determined the volume of these occurrences, the cost of the technology needed or maintenance of the technology needed.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Las Vegas**

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant

Comment: The City of Las Vegas cannot currently estimate the fiscal impact from this bill. The Department of Public Safety reports that there would be an impact in relation to the supplies needed as well as the training needed for officers to make sure the City is in compliance.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Reno**

Approved by: Tillery Williams , Management Analyst

Comment: Fiscal impact to the City of Reno cannot be determined at this time.

The bill, as written, takes away odor of marijuana for probable cause for an officer to do a valid search if the person is a valid medical marijuana card holder. This language will cause problems. If police at the time of a stop do not know a person is a medical marijuana card holder, detect an odor of marijuana, and then conduct a search and later finds out that the person has a valid card then possibly some, if not all, evidence discovered, for any type of crime, from that search may not be admissible in court. This places almost an unrealistic burden on the police to have to figure out if the person is a medical card holder before they can do their job. Additionally, this may cost the prosecution cases and expose police to civil suits.

A major issue with this bill is the addition of a saliva test that officers will be required to give if they suspect someone to be driving under the influence of marijuana. The function of this test appears to be the same as a PBT that officers give when they suspect someone driving under the influence of alcohol. From a prosecution point, this will need to be vetted through the court system and get case rulings to determine the impact it will have. From a PD stand point, police will need to wait to see what the Committee on Testing will approve as an appropriate device to conduct a saliva test and PD will need to then purchase the device and be trained on how to use it and maintain it. This will have a financial impact on RPD.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Sparks</b> Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Carson City School District</b> Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Clark County School District</b> Approved by: Rodney Foutz, Budget Coordinator III Comment: Does not appear to have a material impact on CCSD.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Douglas County School District</b> Approved by: TWhite, Superintendent Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Lincoln County School District</b> Approved by: Pam Teel, Superintendent Comment: unclear of impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District <b>Nye County School District</b> Approved by: Kelly Wood, Executive Secretary Comment: No fiscal impact for Nye County School District.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Pershing County School District</b> Approved by: Russell D. Fecht, Superintendent Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>White Pine School District</b> Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Washoe County School District</b> Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: Washoe County School District cannot determine the impact this legislation would have on school operations.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following cities/counties/school districts did not provide a response:** Elko County, Eureka County, Lincoln County, Lander County, Mineral County, Lyon County, Pershing County, Nye County, White Pine County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Churchill County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Humboldt County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, Lyon County School District, Mineral County School District, and Storey County School District.