

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 1, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 345 / BDR 14 - 745

City/County: City of Henderson Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: The fiscal impact for this legislation cannot be calculated because it is impossible to determine how many requests the City may get to provide this service.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant Comment: The exact fiscal impact can't be determined, however, more staff will have to be hired/devoted to the tasks associated with this bill. The amount of impact is unknown and difficult to estimate and is dependent on the volume of requests.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno Approved by: Tillery Williams , Management Analyst Comment: No anticipated fiscal impact to the City of Reno.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No Fiscal Impact to Carson City.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Churchill County**

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: If this Bill passes it may cause work in the Courts related to motions being filed and hearings held in regard to setting aside a conviction that relates to marijuana. Financial impact may be experienced with returning of fees, fines that are already paid and the necessity to hire additional staff if there is a big influx of these case types that overloads the Court, the District Attorney's office and the public defenders.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance

Comment: This bill authorizes the court to grant a motion to vacate judgements of convictions relating to marijuana.

No fiscal impact on Clark County.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Esmeralda County**

Approved by: Robert E. Glennen III, District Attorney Esmeralda

Comment: Will require marginal added court proceedings adding to court and DA budget.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Management Analyst

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Douglas County, Elko County, Humboldt County, Eureka County, Lincoln County, Lander County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, and White Pine County.