

LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 6, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 418 / BDR 24 - 750

City/County: City of Henderson Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno Approved by: Tillery Williams , Management Analyst Comment: No anticipated fiscal impact to the City of Reno.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: NO fiscal impact to Carson City.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Churchill County**

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 24-750 does not appear to create any significant fiscal impact to Churchill County.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Douglas County**

Approved by: Dena Abeyta, Chief Deputy Clerk/Elections

Comment: Recounts are paid for by the person that requested the recount, therefore there will be no fiscal impact the the county.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance

Comment: Section 1 of this bill provides that a voter cannot be forced to reveal under oath how a person voted. Section 2 of this bill clarifies that voting records printed on paper after being recorded on a mechanical device are not subject to inspection by anyone except on a contested election. Section 3 of this bill eliminates the initial recount from just testing 5% or 3 precincts and provides that a recount must be completed on all the ballots in an election.

The County is currently recounting 100% of the ballots if a recount is requested. Recounts are paid for by the requesting party upfront.

No Fiscal Impact to Clark County.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Esmeralda County**

Approved by: LaCinda Elgan , Esm Co Clerk and Treasurer

Comment: because of the size of the our County, we already have to count all the ballots.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller

Comment: The amount of impact cannot be determined but due to additional requirements if a recount were necessary the costs would increase.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Mineral County**

Approved by: Christopher Nepper, Clerk-Treasurer

Comment: Mineral County would see an impact, not only getting board members to conduct the recount but also the hours it would take if all paper Ballots were to be counted by hand. I am unable to determine the actual cost as we have no idea how much time it would take to complete a full recount and with counting ballots by hand.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Nye County**

Approved by: Sandra L. Merlino, Nye County Clerk

Comment: The individual who requests a recount assumes the cost of for the recount unless the results change the outcome of the election and the the County would bear the cost. It could result in a \$1500 fiscal note. The fiscal note for a contested election cannot be determined.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez , Management Analyst

Comment: Estimate provided based on a countywide office of approximately 25,000 ballots*

- Five teams; Each team consists of 4 people = 20 people total
- 1,000 ballots per 8 hours per team (5,000 ballots per day for all teams)
- 5 days to complete
- \$15 per hour per person = \$120 per person per day
20 (people) x 5 (days) x \$120 (per day) = \$12,000

*estimate does not cover overtime or other unexpected expenses that may be incurred and are unknown at this time.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$24,000

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Eureka County, Elko County, Lincoln County, Lander County, Lyon County, Storey County, Pershing County, and White Pine County.