

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 6, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 387 / BDR 3 - 839

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: There is a financial impact to Carson City, though it is impossible to estimate at this time.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 3-839 would create a fiscal impact to Churchill County. It appears this bill does not allow the courts to charge storage fees for weapons that are stored by Court Order, but does allow the Sheriff to charge fees. With that being said, the County would still be responsible for any fees for storage, and would have to count on the ability of the individual to be able to reimburse those fees. Churchill County does not currently have room to store all the firearms related to the plethora of restraining orders, regardless of the fee option. Furthermore, the definition of "high-risk offender" is vague and seems to apply to just about anyone who carries or posses a firearm, which opens up the option for law enforcement to label someone as "high-risk" in order to disarm them - which may be in direct conflict with a citizen's 2nd Amendment rights. Policy requirements and logistics would take a good deal of development effort and training of law enforcement (fiscal impact to Churchill County). Policies would have to deal with the storage, fees, returning firearms, but would also have to address how to adequately prepare and inform staff on application of this bill without unintentionally abusing the powers it provides. New forms and procedures would need to be established in Justice Court, District Court, and the Sheriff's Office. There would be a significant fiscal impact to Churchill County, which cannot be determined, but is likely in the tens of thousands of dollars in impact.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Finance Director Comment: This bill authorizes a court to issue certain orders for protection against an identified high-risk offender. This bill also prohibits high risk offenders from owning or possessing a firearm and/or ammunitions. The County currently has judges available 24/7 for issuances.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Esmeralda County Approved by: Robert E. Glennen III, Esmeralda District Attorney Comment: Places added burdens on Courts, Sheriffs and District Attorneys.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Management Analyst Comment: District Courts will have a fiscal impact due to the process for issuing TPOs and EPOs. There could be a fiscal impact due to increased staffing needs. At this time, the fiscal impact cannot be determined. Sheriff will have a substantial fiscal impact related to current storage limits and may need to purchase infrastructure for storage of guns and ammunition. Will result in additional staff time for both execution of the high risk search warrants and extended calls in the field for emergency proceedings while waiting for court decision on granting the protection orders. Depending on frequency and time spent may well result in need to hire additional staff for both deputies needed and support staff for processing.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Humboldt County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, and White Pine County.