

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT**  
**FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 8, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 487 / BDR 32 - 818**

City/County: **Carson City**

Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO

Comment: This will have a negative financial impact of over \$300,000 across all City Departments for inspection, equipment, judicial and enforcement. We are hoping that this revenue bill offsets all of these costs. However, it is impossible to estimate the impact.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$320,000	\$320,000	\$640,000

City/County: **Churchill County**

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: If BDR 32-818 were pass, and if Churchill County were to allow for the operation of a marijuana facility, there could be a potential positive fiscal impact, although that cannot be determined at this time.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance

Comment: This Bill deals with State excise taxes on marijuana and the distribution thereof, but unlike SB 508 / BDR 32-976, this Bill proposes that some of the excise taxes received will be returned to the County of origin – including 100% to unincorporated, and 70% collected within an incorporated, area. The Bill stipulates the use of the monies received by a County treatment programs or a specialty court program or a portion (no more than 30%) on law enforcement. The County will receive revenues for specialty court programs / law enforcement that will decrease the burden on the General Fund to support DUI programs through decreased LVMPD funding. Amounts cannot be determined at this time.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Esmeralda County**

Approved by: Kelly Jo Eagan, Administrative Asst

Comment: Esmeralda County has no medical facility, in regards to the County having a Advisory Committee it would not be feasible.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller

Comment: Currently Humboldt County has not adopted a policy to allow for Marijuana sales in the County, therefore at this time there would not be any new revenue. But if we were to adopt to allow for these sales then it would create additional revenue but that amount would not be able to be determined as we do not know what the sales would be.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Mineral County**

Approved by: Christopher Hegg, Mineral County Commissioner

Comment: The imposition of generating marijuana sales in our County should not be then limited by State requirements to obtain half of the tax revenue otherwise owed the County and give it to the State! The decision for sales and use and if there are sales locations are given the County entity in most oversight and thus this bill removes appropriate use of marijuana funding for what it was described and promised to help with and delivers those funds, instead, to State use.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez , Management Analyst

Comment: This bill would enact a 10% excise tax on marijuana sales, with 50% of the revenue generated going to local governments. This would have a positive fiscal impact, but the amount is difficult to estimate without more information as to the potential number of sales establishments and projected annual sales.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following counties did not provide a response:** Douglas County, Elko County, Eureka County, Lincoln County, Lander County, Lyon County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, and White Pine County.