## LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 10, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

| Items of Revenue or<br>Expense, or Both | Fiscal Year<br>2016-17 | Fiscal Year<br>2017-18 | Fiscal Year<br>2018-19 | Effect on Future<br>Biennia |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
|   |                        |                        |                        |                             |
| Total                                   | 0                      | 0                      | 0                      | 0                           |

**Explanation** 

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

## Local Government Responses A.B. 470 / BDR 14 - 1062

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant

Comment: This bill creates a significant unfunded mandate. It mandates that certain defendants receive a pre-prosecution program which includes the supervision of a probation officer. To the extent that a court (such as LV Municipal Court) does not have probation officers currently staffed, this will require sufficient staffing of probation officers and support staff to run such a program. While it is difficult to estimate the number of defendants for which a program would have to be in place, traditional probation supervisory levels are roughly 1 probation officer to every 100 low level supervision defendants. Our current defendant load is roughly 20,000 per year of which it would be estimated between 5-15% would fit the parameters set forth in the proposal. This would place as many as 2,000 defendants a year in a program. Even if the program were only 6 months long, this would be an active caseload of roughly 1,000 at any one time, which would require 10 probation officers plus 1 supervisor. The above numbers are only an estimate.

| Impact     | FY 2016-17  | FY 2017-18  | FY 2018-19  | Future Biennia |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| Has Impact | \$1,042,350 | \$1,042,350 | \$1,042,350 | \$2,084,700    |

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Kate Thomas, Assistant City Manager

Comment: No Impact

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: As it is written, it appears this would have more impact on the District Court than the Municipal Courts, however, the language is vague enough that a true fiscal impact determination is unable to be made at this time.

| Impact                  | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Cannot Be<br>Determined | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO

Comment: There will certainly be a negative impact to Carson City, though it is impossible to

determine the amount of the impact at this time.

| Impact     | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Has Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: If BDR 14-1062 were to pass there would be a fiscal impact to Churchill County for the development of another specialty court, the necessary personal, court time and space, clerk time in court and developing documentation, etc. The fiscal impacts related to this BDR are difficult to determine at this time.

| Impact                  | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Cannot Be<br>Determined | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance

Comment: This bill creates preprosecution diversion program for certain offenders. The court must determine whether these offenders are eligible to participate in the program. The current diversion program per NRS 209.4291 is currently maintained by the Department of Corrections and is fully funded by the State.

No Fiscal impact to Clark County.

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: Esmeralda County

Approved by: Robert E. Glennen III, Esmeralda District Attorney

Comment: May increase time and budget of Court and District Attorney.

| Impact     | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Has Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Management Analyst

Comment: This will change the workload for the District Attorney's office as well as our Justice Courts, but to what degree financially cannot be determined at this time.

| Impact     | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Has Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Henderson, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Douglas County, Elko County, Eureka County, Humboldt County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, and White Pine County.