

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: June 14, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 551 / BDR S - 1242**

City/County: **Churchill County**

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: The current subsidy amount for retirees is \$451.15. The proposed subsidy amounts for the next two plan years are \$445.03 for FY 2018 (a reduction of 1.36%) and \$451.23 for FY 2019 (an increase from FY 2018 of 1.39%, but only an increase of .018% over the current year).

The reduction for FY 2018 would save the county \$1,142 for the year. The following year (FY 2019) would be a nominal increase over FY 2017 of \$15.32 for the full year. This is a nominal impact

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance

Comment: This bill establishes for the 2017-2019 biennium the subsidies to be paid to the Public Employees' Benefits Program for insurance for certain active and retired public officers and employees. This bill is effective July 1, 2017. ?Fiscal impact is not material

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Elko County**

Approved by: Cash A. Minor, Assistant County Manager/CFO

Comment: Has Impact

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$25,000	\$26,000	\$51,000

City/County: **Esmeralda County**

Approved by: Karen Scott, Auditor/Recorder

Comment: HRA contributions are the same as current year and already factored in our FY18 budgets.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller

Comment: There would be a fiscal impact to Humboldt County, but it is very difficult to calculate what that expense would be without doing a full audit to determine how many months of service the retiree had with Humboldt County to calculate with the increase cost would be.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Carson City School District**

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: I believe this will have a negative impact, but there is no way to assess the impact without much more information. It would be nice to actually have a supplemental document with this to at least give some examples.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Douglas County School District**

Approved by: sestess, Director of BUsiness Services

Comment: The impact to DCSD is minimal and difficult to calculate.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Lincoln County School District**

Approved by: Pam, Teel

Comment: we do not see impact

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Las Vegas</b> Approved by: Michelle Thackston , Administrative Assistant Comment: There is a fiscal impact to the city however, it cannot be determined as rates have not been defined. This is a standard legislative assessment bill . The City of Las Vegas has no rate control and that is always a fiscal concern .				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Reno</b> Approved by: Amber Drlik, Management Analyst Comment: The City of Reno would be impacted due to an increase in retiree healthcare costs and an inability to mitigate these costs through plan options. The total impact cannot be determined at this time.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Sparks</b> Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: New rates change the amount of subsidy required to be paid by the City, but we are unable to determine the full fiscal impact until we see how the rates impact each individual covered (since there are many variables).				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following cities/counties/school districts did not provide a response:** Carson City, Douglas County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Lyon County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, Washoe County, White Pine County, Churchill County School District, Clark County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, Lyon County School District, Nye County School District, Pershing County School District, Storey County School District, Washoe County School District, White Pine County School District, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Henderson, City of Mesquite, and City of North Las Vegas.