

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: January 29, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 63 / BDR 20 - 324**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: If the Bill passes it does not appear this will have a direct fiscal impact on Churchill County. However, we do not receive sufficient gas tax revenues to maintain our existing inventory of roads maintained by the County				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst. Director of Finance Comment: Adds a new section to NRS 244 that establishes the responsibility of the County Commissioners to maintain and repair county roads within the boundaries of a town or pay the town board for the maintenance and repairs. Current NRS 244.278 has similar language for general improvement districts. No fiscal impact to Clark County as this is current policy.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Elko County</b> Approved by: Cash A. Minor, Assistant County Manager/CFO Comment: Has Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$36,000

City/County: <b>Esmeralda County</b> Approved by: Ed Rannells, Road Supervisor Comment: no impact to Esmeralda County				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Eureka County</b> Approved by: Michael Mears, Assessor Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Humboldt County</b> Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b> Approved by: Lisa Gianoli, Lobbyist Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>White Pine County</b> Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: The County currently maintains all County roads including those within the boundaries the towns. The revenues that would be paid to the towns based on the percentage of road miles would be inadequate for them to maintain their roads and they would fall into disrepair. The County would end up going into a repair mode instead of a maintenance mode in order to correct the situation. Therefore, as long as the County continues to have the option to maintain the roads for the towns as opposed to paying the towns, the County would continue to maintain the roads and there would be no impact to the County.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following counties did not provide a response:** Douglas County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.