LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 2, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses S.B. 59 / BDR 40 - 386

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: This bill could potentially have a fiscal impact to the City of Henderson depending on the interpretation of how often a police officer would need to enter data into a statewide database. Often times drug overdoses are handled by paramedics as the first responders and the Coroner's Office as the investigative office. At times police will respond to these calls, but not in all circumstances. If police departments will be required to respond to additional calls that they are not responding to currently and obtain search warrants for those calls then the cost could be substantial.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Determined				

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Tillery Williams, Management Analyst

Comment: This would require training and software and possibly a new employee to access the database and there would be costs associated with that. The bill would have an impact but costs can't be determined at this time.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO

Comment: No significant fiscal impact to Carson City.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: The Bill addresses prescription drug violations reporting. This is a step in the right direction.

There will be additional reporting requirements but this should have a minimal fiscal impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst. Director of Finance

Comment: Requires law enforcement officers to report various information regarding the potential violation of prescribed controlled substances and protects them from any criminal or civil liability related to the transmission of such information. While there'd be additional cost for staff in collecting and sending additional information to the State Board of Pharmacy and the Investigative Division of DPS, it's unknown as to how many instances would occur annually.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Determined				

City/County: Elko County

Approved by: Cash A. Minor, Assistant County Manager/CFO

Comment: Would have minimal impact to law enforcement operations

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Douglas County

Approved by: Paul T. Howell, Undersheriff

Comment: The requirements of reports being completed and the uploading of this information to the database will increase workload but I do not believe there will be an actual fiscal impact. If the increased workload does prove more than can be estimated as this time there could be an impact in additional personnel costs, therefore I feel most comfortable stating I cannot determine impact at this time.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Esmeralda County

Approved by: Kelly Jo Eagan, Administrative Asst

Comment: no response from Sheriff

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Lincoln County

Approved by: Denice Brown, Administrative Assistant

Comment: No known fiscal impacts

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Pershing County

Approved by: Karen Wesner, Admin. Assist. Comment: Fiscal impact unknown at this time.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Lisa Gianoli, Lobbyist

Comment: Cannot Be Determined The bill SB59 makes requirements of "law enforcement officers" regarding prescription medication violations of law. Many of these types of cases are not handled by a law enforcement officer but by a civilian report taker. This bill would require that a deputy sheriff take the report and comply with the reporting provisions.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: Requires the County to train officers, additional reporting by officers and a system for uploading information to a database which has restricted access. This would have adverse impact to the County in the form of additional unreimbursed costs. A reasonable estimate cannot be made at this time.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Eureka County, Lander County, Humboldt County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Storey County, and Nye County.