

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 14, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 89 / BDR 31 - 65**

School District: <b>Carson City School District</b> Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services Comment: Fantastic idea.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Churchill County School District</b> Approved by: Phyllis Dowd, Director of Business Services Comment: This language has merit as it would allow for additional state aid during financial difficulties. We do wonder why only every second year funds would be used to build the balance. As a district, we true up our revenues every year and we think that should be the same at the State level for unused funds.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Clark County School District</b> Approved by: Dillon Kay, Assistant Budget Director Comment: Support! Does not appear to have a material impact on the District. Establishing a rainy day fund would support the State with future Distributive School Account payments.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Douglas County School District**

Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO

Comment: There have been many discussions over the years about setting up a Stabilization Fund. During the worst economic crisis this School District had ever been through, the State had to reduce the DSA substantially multiple times over the course of 3 years.

Had there been a Stabilization Fund in place, we would have fared better and would not have had to cut critical programs and infrastructure or major equipment replacement schedules. For instance, the District had been purchasing 4 to 5 buses every year, and those purchases were reduced to zero for 4 years. We have only recently begun the repurchase program but at a lower level of 3 purchases annually. This means the District has now fallen behind in our replacement schedule, and as a result, has had significant negative impact on our parts purchases and buses which should have been replaced are frequently breaking down. This is just one of many examples where the cuts to the DSA due to insufficient State support negatively impacted our District and where a Stabilization Fund would have provided critical support to continuation of important programs.

Due to limited information and no current expectation of need for the Stabilization Fund given current economic conditions, I cannot provide any insight as to the fiscal impact if this BDR were to become enrolled legislation.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Humboldt County School District**

Approved by: David Jensen, Superintendent

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Lincoln County School District**

Approved by: Pam Teel, Superintendent

Comment: Depends of DSA amount

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Lyon County School District</b> Approved by: Shawn Heusser, Director of Finance, LCSD Comment: This will obviously provide a benefit to school districts, but without knowing how much is returned it is difficult to estimate the impact.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Nye County School District</b> Approved by: Kelly Wood, Executive Secretary Comment: No fiscal impact to Nye County School District				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Pershing County School District</b> Approved by: Russell D. Fecht, Superintendent Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Washoe County School District</b> Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>White Pine School District</b> Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: Great concepts to reduce the fiscal impacts caused from economic downturns and create a perpetual funding source for the Account for Programs for Innovation and the Prevention of Remediation. Unfortunately the impacts are not measurable at this time. Currently the District receives approximately \$5.6 million or roughly half of its General Fund revenue from the State. Any mechanism that can stabilize State revenue will help mitigate the risk of sharp decreases to educational programs and services caused by economic recessions.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following school districts did not provide a response:** Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, and Storey County School District.