

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 7, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 93 / BDR 24 - 268

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Elko Approved by: Curtis Calder, City Manager Comment: BDR 24-268 will have no fiscal impact on the City of Elko.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Henderson Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: This legislation could potentially have a fiscal impact to the City of Henderson. However, the costs would only be incurred if City Council were to decide to conduct an election via mail. Therefore the costs would be a local government decision. This legislation gives municipal governments more options in conducting a local election.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant Comment: Right now the exact fiscal impact is unknown. If Clark County provides this service, the City of Las Vegas has been told that the County would require additional personnel, equipment and infrastructure to support our large number of registered voters. These additional costs could be pushed down to the Cities. Additionally, the City would have increased printing and postage costs. Those figures cannot be determined until a negotiated price is identified with the vendors involved. If the County refuses to provide this service, the City of Las Vegas would need additional personnel. The type of personnel and cost is unknown at this time but it would be substantial.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Reno**

Approved by: Tillery Williams , Management Analyst

Comment: No anticipated fiscal impact to the City of Reno.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Sparks**

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities did not provide a response: Boulder City and City of North Las Vegas.