

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 8, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 46 / BDR 39 - 132

City/County: City of Henderson Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno Approved by: Tillery Williams , Management Analyst Comment: No anticipated fiscal impact to the City of Reno				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No significant fiscal impact to Carson City.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: If BDR 39-132 were to pass it does not appear to have a direct fiscal impact to Churchill County.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst. Director of Finance Comment: This bill is in regards to providing for the certification and regulation of providers of community-based living arrangement services; clarifying that providers of community-based living arrangement services, supported living arrangement services and temporary respite services are not subject to certain licensing and regulatory requirements.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Esmeralda County Approved by: LaCinda Elgan, Esmeralda County Clerk and Tre Comment: I don't believe that would have an impact on our County at this time.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Eureka County Approved by: Michael Mears, Assessor Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County Approved by: Lisa Gianoli, Lobbyist Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: The number of residents in the County that would qualify for the community-based living arrangement services, the cost of these services and the extent to which they may be passed onto the County is unknown. The outcome would be potential financial impact to the County in the form of increased expense.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Douglas County, Elko County, Lander County, Lyon County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.