

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 20, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**A.B. 103 / BDR 34 - 691**

School District: <b>Carson City School District</b> Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Churchill County School District</b> Approved by: Phyllis Dowd, Director of Business Services Comment: The District does not anticipate costs related to this BDR.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Clark County School District</b> Approved by: Dillon Kay, Assistant Budget Director Comment: This would benefit CCSD. CCSD would not lose six plus schools next year and possible every year. CCSD would not have to worry about the idea of still maintaining the six schools as they would stay under the umbrella of CCSD or worry about the intangible cost to the teachers, students, and parents at the affect schools.  CCSD could anticipate on keeping \$28 million in DSA revenue based on keeping six schools with a potential enrollment of 850 students per school and the 2016-2017 fiscal year DSA rate of \$5,574.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$28,000,000	\$28,000,000	\$28,000,000

School District: <b>Douglas County School District</b> Approved by: twhite, superintendent Comment: This bill has no impact on the Douglas County School District.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Humboldt County School District</b> Approved by: David Jensen, Superintendent Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Lincoln County School District</b> Approved by: Pam Teel, Superintendent Comment: potential revenue back if we had the DSA count from the school that would have been removed to an achievement district.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Lyon County School District</b> Approved by: Shawn Heusser, Director of Finance Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Nye County School District</b> Approved by: Kelly Wood, Executive Secretary Comment: Nye County School District will have no fiscal impact with this BDR.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Pershing County School District</b> Approved by: Russell D. Fecht, Superintendent Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Washoe County School District**

Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs

Comment: With no schools in the Achievement School District, we do not anticipate the repeal to have a financial impact on the Washoe County School District.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **White Pine School District**

Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO

Comment: Repealing the Achievement School District is great news especially for smaller school districts. White Pine is currently struggling financially because of the expansion of charter school enrollment. If one of the existing schools were to become a charter school, the District would lose a significant number of students and revenue as a result. This would erode funding to such a level that the District would no longer be able to offer core curriculum to the remaining students. The district currently does not have an achievement school so this would not have an immediate impact. However, if the elementary school in Ely were to have become an achievement school, the District would lose approximately 31% of its students and approximately \$3.4 million or 30% of its annual revenue. This kind of loss would have devastated consequences. Repealing this Achievement School District will mitigate this risk.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following school districts did not provide a response:** Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, and Storey County School District.