## LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 21, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

| Items of Revenue or<br>Expense, or Both | Fiscal Year<br>2016-17 | Fiscal Year<br>2017-18 | Fiscal Year<br>2018-19 | Effect on Future<br>Biennia |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
|   |                        |                        |                        |                             |
| Total                                   | 0                      | 0                      | 0                      | 0                           |

**Explanation** 

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

## Local Government Responses S.B. 198 / BDR S - 494

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO

Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR S-494 does not appear to have a significant impact on Churchill County.

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst. Director of Finance

Comment: Under NRS 349.078 existing law limits the issuance or sale of bonds more than 6 years after an election that is required to authorize their issuance. In 2007 & 2013 the legislature made exceptions to this 6-year limitation. This bill makes a further exception for another 6 years.

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: Esmeralda County

Approved by: Karen Scott, Auditor/Recorder

Comment: No Impact

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: **Humboldt County** 

Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller

Comment: No Impact

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: **Pershing County** 

Approved by: Karen Wesner, Admin. Assist.

Comment: No Impact

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Lisa Gianoli, Lobbyist

Comment: No direct impact on Washoe County at this time. However, if the State were to extend the deadline and issue bonds, there could be a possible opportunity for the County to compete for funding depending on the type of projects the State is willing to fund.

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: The County places a very high value on protecting and preserving its natural resources. The extent to which this may impact the County would be based on how the debt is repaid and if repayment would reduce the revenues received or require new assessments to be passed onto the County in order that the State may repay the indebtedness. This information cannot be ascertained at this time and therefore a determination cannot be made as to fiscal impact on the County.

| Impact                  | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Cannot Be<br>Determined | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: City of Elko

Approved by: Curtis Calder, City Manager

Comment: BDR S-494 will have no impact on the City of Elko.

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: No fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant

Comment: If the extension is not supported, there is a potential loss of funding allocations in the amount of \$70 million related to projects at the Las Vegas Springs Preserve and Las

Vegas Wash.

| Impact     | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Has Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Tillery Williams, Management Analyst

Comment: No anticipated fiscal impact to the City of Reno.

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: No Impact

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

School District: Carson City School District

Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: No Impact

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

School District: Churchill County School District

Approved by: Phyllys Dowd, Director of Business Services

Comment: No costs anticipated.

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

School District: **Douglas County School District** 

Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO

Comment: No Impact

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

School District: Clark County School District

Approved by: Dillon Kay, Assistant Budget Director

Comment: Does not appear to have a material impact on the District.

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

School District: Humboldt County School District

Approved by: David Jensen, Superintendent

Comment: Insufficient understanding of the issue to determine the potential of fiscal impact

on the district.

| Impact                  | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Cannot Be<br>Determined | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

School District: Lincoln County School District

Approved by: Pam Teel, Superintendent

Comment: Depends on the amount district will have to contribute

| Impact                  | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Cannot Be<br>Determined | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

School District: Lyon County School District

Approved by: Shawn Heusser, Director of Finance, LCSD

Comment: No Impact

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

School District: **Pershing County School District** 

Approved by: Russell D. Fecht, Superintendent

Comment: No Impact

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

School District: Nye County School District

Approved by: Kelly Wood, Executive Secretary

Comment: Nye County School District will have no fiscal impact.

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

School District: Washoe County School District

Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs

Comment: No Impact

| Impact    | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| No Impact | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |

School District: White Pine School District

Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO

Comment: If the funds are used in a manner that preserves natural resources without restricting development, the bonds may be beneficial. In mining based economies, preservation and economic development are sometimes in conflict. If preservation locks land so it can no longer be developed, this may adversely affect economic development that would affect future revenue.

| Impact     | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | Future Biennia |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Cannot Be  | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0            |
| Determined |            |            |            |                |

The following cities/counties/school districts did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Mesquite, Nevada League of Cities, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, and Storey County School District.