

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 23, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 106 / BDR 27 - 295

City/County: City of Henderson Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: The fiscal impact of this legislation cannot be determined.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant Comment: Without additional clarification, this bill has the potential to affect all of our contracts. In general it is unknown about the Labor Commissioners ability to respond to the “employer” (business) community’s requests for certification which could impact the City’s ability to award contracts in a timely manner. In addition, there is concern as it relates to the termination of existing contracts mid-project (particularly public works construction projects) if the “employer” was unable to renew their certificate. Particularly on our public works construction projects, but not limited to those contracts, the City would incur costs to terminate the existing contract, revise the plans to reflect the remaining scope of work, secure the project site, and rebid the project. These costs and project delays to the community could be significant depending on the number of terminations. Finally, the City would bear the cost of this new requirement because the “employer” would pass on the costs associated with this new requirement via the bids submitted to the City.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: The expectation is that this bill would likely result in significantly increased cost proposals regarding pertinent bids for public projects. However, it is not possible to determine the extent of the cost impact.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Reno**

Approved by: Tillery Williams, Management Analyst

Comment: Minimal impact to the City of Reno depending on timing of certificate development. There are potential impacts resulting from Section 12 should interruption of City construction projects occur. If a contract for a City construction project is terminated as a result of this legislation, rebidding or restarting the work could lead to increased construction costs (materials, labor, etc.) or other issues.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Carson City**

Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO

Comment: This bill will have an impact to Carson City, but the amount is unknown at this time.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Churchill County**

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: If BDR 27-295 were to pass, it does not appear to have any significant fiscal impacts to Churchill County.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst. Director of Finance

Comment: Bill require employers to comply with antidiscrimination provisions if they are attempting to ascertain a governmental contract. Inclusion of terms must be in the contract. Clark County already has antidiscrimination language in our contracts, thus no fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Douglas County**

Approved by: Carl Ruschmeyer, Public Works Director

Comment: The proposed bill may require amending the County's contract documents to include provisions for the proposed legislation. Otherwise, the proposed bill has no identified fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Esmeralda County Approved by: Kelly Jo Eagan, Administrative Asst. Comment: To the best of my knowledge there should not be an impact to the County funds.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller Comment: This is hard to determine, besides requesting that a business we are contracting with has this certificate, the time spent doing that internally would not be that much more than the current process to ensure compliance with contract requirements.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County Approved by: Kathy Hill , Comptroller Comment: Has the possibility of increasing costs through administration and vendor selection. If the lowest responsive bidder is not compliant, then the next vendor that is compliant would be selected at a possible higher				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: Continued increase in government regulations will result in increased costs to the consumer or local government. This will also cost more for the Labor Commissioner to track and enforce. The outcome of this proposal is increased expenses in an amount that cannot be accurately determined at this time.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Carson City School District Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Churchill County School District**

Approved by: Phyllys Dowd, Director of Business Services

Comment: The District already supports equal pay within the district with employees. The difficulties are related to outside contracts upholding the same. This would require more staff time to ensure compliance and may change the costs of projects. The amount is undetermined at this time.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Clark County School District**

Approved by: Dillon Kay, Assistant Budget Director

Comment: If the BDR were to pass, CCSD would experience the following:

1. Purchasing would have to modify their supplier application form.
2. Each firm in the ERP system would need to re-apply. ERP reports would need to be generated, applications tracked, suppliers deactivated, others re-activated, other some will attempt to renegotiate the Term & Conditions, others will be delinquent in responding. Conservatively 2,000 labor hours will be required to perform the process and system adjustments.
3. Going forward mechanisms for monitoring compliance and status would required a full time employee.
4. The process to commission new suppliers will take longer, causing a delay on supplies for a student.
5. Likely reduce available supplier.

The estimated annual cost of this bill would be \$75,000.00

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000

School District: **Douglas County School District**

Approved by: twhite, Superintendent

Comment: This bill has no real impact to the Douglas County School District.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District Approved by: Pam Teel, Superintendent Comment: Could have impact if contractor fees were raised to cover the required certification costs and requirement costs of new language for smaller contractors.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lyon County School District Approved by: Shawn Heusser, Director of Finance Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District Approved by: Kelly Wood, Executive Secretary Comment: Nye County School District will have a fiscal impact with this bill but we until we know the additional over-site we won't be able to determine the cost.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Pershing County School District Approved by: Russell D. Fecht, Superintendent Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: The cost is refusing to enter into to a contract with a low bidder who does not have the certificate and now having to award to the second low bidder. The cost is the difference between the two bids and that cannot be determined.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: White Pine School District Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties/school districts did not provide a response: Boulder City, City of Elko, City of North Las Vegas, City of Mesquite, Eureka County, Elko County, Lander County, Lyon County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, and Storey County School District.