LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 27, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses A.B. 177 / BDR 3 - 210

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO

Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 3-210 does not appear to have any significant fiscal impact to Churchill

County.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance

Comment: This proposed bill authorizes the court if the adverse party fails to appear at a hearing, to grant an extension of time and set a new date for a hearing. In addition, if so ordered by the court, this bill authorizes service of the application for an extended order and the notice of hearings by publication.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Esmeralda County

Approved by: Juanita Colvin, Justice Court

Comment: could have possible impact but it would be minimal

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller

Comment: There may be fiscal impact for publication costs, but it is not mandatory for the court to order publication. Also, the bill is not clear who would pay for the publication costs, but filing and other fees are waived for TPO's, so the court could also be burdened with the publication costs. There is no way to determine how many cases would fall in to the scenario authorizing publication.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Management Analyst

Comment: Bill could require the Sheriff's Office to post EPO information in a newspaper for 4 weeks. To post a multiple-page (EPO) ad in the Reno Gazette Journal for 4 weeks = \$2167 WCSO has roughly 100 unserved orders per year that would require posting in a local newspaper for four weeks. Besides the privacy concerns and liability of posting a person's information there will be additional staff hours required to comply with posting 100 orders per year but these factors cannot be calculated at this time. Known potential fiscal impact: 100 Unserved protection orders times cost to post in a local paper 100x\$2167 = \$216,000 annually \$216,000

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$216,000	\$216,000	\$432,000

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: This extends court proceedings and potentially requires additional publication. The exact impact cannot be reasonably estimated but there is the potential for increased expense to the County depending on the court orders issued.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.