

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 27, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**A.B. 135 / BDR 43 - 598**

City/County: <b>City of Henderson</b> Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Las Vegas</b> Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant Comment: Without information on the exact number of cases this bill may result in it is difficult to estimate an exact fiscal impact, although there most certainly will be one. The above numbers represent a rough estimate based on the requirement of a blood test which will require an increase in the number of search warrants which our judges must review. While there is no hard cost for a judge's time, high amounts could result in the need for the use of Alternate Judges from time to time.  Personnel - \$8,650 Explanation - Alternate Judge Use for Search Warrant coverage \$2,400 Failure to Appear Warrants issued= 50 @ \$125 each \$6,250  Non Personnel \$6,000 Explanation – Increased jail days on warrant arrest @ 3days/ea \$100/day for 20 warrant arrests \$6,000  Total \$14,650  Additionally, the search warrant process involves internal manpower to create and track those filings as they do not immediately result in case filings. This is about 15 minutes per file, however unless these costs resulted in overtime, the costs would be absorbed internally through process improvement.  A result on a blood test is generally not available for roughly 6 months' time frame, resulting in a significant delay in case resolution. Long delays result in a higher incidence of failures to appear, which result in a greater number of warrants being issued by the court. Since the exact number of cases this would apply to are unknown at this time, it is difficult to ascertain a reasonable estimate of costs that will be incurred.  An ancillary cost would be the resulting jail days (average of 3) for each individual who does get a warrant issued and is subsequently arrested on that warrant which is estimated above.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$14,650	\$14,650	\$14,650	\$14,650

City/County: <b>City of Reno</b> Approved by: Tillery Williams , Management Analyst Comment: No anticipated fiscal impact to the City of Reno.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Sparks</b> Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 43-598 relates to unlawful blood levels for marijuana enforcement, and eliminates the urine test option. Warrant requirements for DUI, may create some associated fiscal impact, however, it is not anticipated to be significant.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Douglas County</b> Approved by: Paul T. Howell, Undersheriff Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst. Director of Finance

Comment: Removes the usage of urine sampling for marijuana in determining operating a vehicle under the influence (can only use blood). Costs differences between urine and blood collection and testing will not apply as LVMPD doesn't currently utilize urine sampling for DUI arrests.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Esmeralda County**

Approved by: Matthew Kirkland, Sergeant

Comment: No Impact

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Management Analyst

Comment: The saliva requirements in the bill create an unfunded mandate for the Washoe County Sheriff's Office Forensic toxicology laboratory. The Crime Lab does not currently test saliva for drugs. Added cost for the Crime Lab to implement testing for drugs in saliva: Approximately \$1,000,000. Added annual cost for the Crime Lab to maintain drug testing in saliva after implementation: Approximately \$150,000(if positions are added). The bill would create an unfunded mandate for Nevada's Police Agencies and Nevada's Courts, if WCSO-FSD did not implement drug testing in saliva. Added cost to Nevada's Police Agencies to send saliva samples to an outside laboratory for drug testing: Approximately \$100-\$400 per sample collected. Added cost to Nevada's Courts if saliva is tested for drugs by an outside laboratory: Approximately \$3,000-\$4,000 per case. (In future the costs will increase exponentially.)

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$1,150,000	\$1,150,000	\$1,150,000

City/County: **White Pine County**

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: Will require additional training for officers and would increase costs related to the required blood tests. Although this would have adverse impact in the form of increased expense to the County a realistic estimate cannot be made at this time.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following cities/counties did not provide a response:** Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, Elko County, Eureka County, Humboldt County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Pershing County, Nye County, and Storey County.