LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 28, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses A.B. 185 / BDR 5 - 287

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO

Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 5-287 would create a negative fiscal impact to Churchill County, however, it

is not anticipated the impact will be more than our current budgetary resources allow.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance

Comment: This is a bill that would require all juveniles who are waiting adult certification to be housed in a juvenile detention center. DJJS believes that this bill will cause the juvenile detention center's population to increase drastically. 2015 Clark County certified 75 youth and 2016 Clark County certified 80 youth.

This will require certified youth waiting for placement to spend months in juvenile detention awaiting placement. If this bill passes DJJS Juvenile Detention will be over its maximum capacity of 192. In 2016 the DJJS youth population was at 168 during the year. The bill would add an additional 80 youth placing us well over our maximum capacity. Requiring 4 additional housing units, each DJJS housing unit holds 24 youth. Fiscal impact to Clark County shown above.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$4,000,000	\$10,774,859	\$10,664,351	\$21,328,702

City/County: Esmeralda County

Approved by: LaCinda Elgan, Esm Co Clerk and Treasurer

Comment: I have no opinion on this.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: The County has no juvenile holding and all juveniles must be transferred to another jurisdiction. However, there is no indication of what constitutes good cause for confining a juvenile in an adult facility. So this may or may not save the County money by reducing the juvenile transports that must take place.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Management Analyst

Comment: Depending on the number of referred cases, this bill would impact detention costs, which would otherwise be the responsibility of adult county jail and dept. of corrections.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Humboldt County, Eureka County, Lincoln County, Lander County, Mineral County, Lyon County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.