

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 28, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 146 / BDR 58 - 15**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: If BDR 58-15 were to pass any applicable utility companies would be required to submit their plans to the PUC to increase their supply of electricity or decrease the demands made on their system by their customers. The requirements for compliance with submittal of this plan may cost the utility companies considerably. This BDR allows for all prudent and reasonable expenditures made to develop the utility's plan, including environmental, engineering and other studies to be recovered from the rates charged to the utility's customers. Being that Churchill County is a consumer/customer of some of the utility companies this BDR would apply to, it is reasonable to assume our rates for service would increase. However, it is not possible for the County to determine what the cost to the utility companies would be to develop this plan, and what the resulting increases passed on to the customers would be.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance Comment: This Bill attempts to require electric utilities to file a distributed resource plan with the PUC relating to demands on its system for providing electricity to citizens; and the responsibilities of the PUYC regarding such Plan. No Fiscal Impact to Clark County.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Douglas County</b> Approved by: Carl Ruschmeyer, Public Works Director Comment: The proposed bill has no immediate financial impact on the operations of the County's facilities. However, the potential long-term financial impacts that may result from implementation of a distributed resource plan (i.e. tariffs) are undetermined.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Esmeralda County</b> Approved by: Kelly Jo Eagan, Administrative Asst Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Humboldt County</b> Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>White Pine County</b> Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: Additional requirements on public utilities may translate to increased costs which are passed onto the consumers. This may result in increased costs to the County but an estimate of the impact is not possible.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b> Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez , Management Analyst Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following cities/counties did not provide a response:** Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Pershing County, Nye County, and Storey County.