

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 1, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 204 / BDR 11 - 743

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No fiscal impact to carson city.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: BDR 11-743 does not appear to create a fiscal impact to Churchill County.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance Comment: This bill would require marriage licenses and certificate of marriages to include the name to be used by each spouse after the marriage. Currently the Names on the person(s) ID is used on the marriage license and certificate. In order comply with the changes, initially the Clerks off would have to work with their existing systems vendor and find out if the system could support the additional name fields. The estimate for system enhancement to accommodate the change is \$100k, it is unknown if they could meet the July 1, 2017 implementation date. Additionally in order to maintain the same standard of service the clerks office estimates that the additional requirements would take 2-5 minutes per customer and based on current volume of marriage certificates/license they anticipate the need for 2 additional office specialist (Salary/Benefits \$56,486 per position).				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$100,000	\$112,972	\$112,972	\$225,944

City/County: Douglas County Approved by: Kathy Lewis, Douglas County Clerk/Treasurer Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Esmeralda County**

Approved by: LaCinda Elgan, Esm Co Clerk and Treas.

Comment: This could be a costly fix to marriage licensing program, however, it might make it easier to use maiden names as a middle name instead of the hyphenated version you see being used more often.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller

Comment: This bill would have minimal impact related to programming costs but I do not see anything that would result in long term significant impact.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez , Management Analyst

Comment: There will be nominal costs (less than \$1,000) involved in making the necessary changes to our marriage license forms and programming. We are reaching out to Assemblywoman Tolles to discuss possible amendments to the bill.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	\$0

City/County: **White Pine County**

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lyon County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.