

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 1, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**A.B. 213 / BDR 57 - 288**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No significant fiscal impact to Carson City.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance Comment: This bill revises provisions relating to dental care. Various insurers would be prohibited from requiring a dentist to accept a fee that is set by or subject to the approval of the insurer unless the fee is for a covered service. In order to be deemed a covered service, the rate of reimbursement must equal the reasonable rate for the dental care. Insurers will also be required to inform plan members that a dental provider may charge their usual and customary rate for services not covered by the insurer. The dental provider will be prohibited from charging a patient more than their usual and customary rate for these services. No Fiscal Impact anticipated to Clark County.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: It appears that BDR 57-288 was put forth by the Dental industry, and states that insurance companies cannot dictate to dentists what they will be allowed to charge for a non-covered service. The dentist would then be allowed to charge their usual and customary amount for those non-covered services. Churchill County does not see that BDR 57-288 will have any significant fiscal impact.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Esmeralda County</b> Approved by: Karen Scott, Auditor/Recorder Comment: Fees would be passed onto employees seeking dental care if insurer does not cover procedure.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Humboldt County</b> Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Lincoln County</b> Approved by: Denice Brown, Administrative Assistant Comment: No known fiscal impact to the County				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b> Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez , Management Analyst Comment: Any impact of this BDR would be to the member, not the County Health Insurance Plan.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>White Pine County</b> Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Henderson</b> Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No significant fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Las Vegas</b> Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Reno</b> Approved by: Tillery Williams, Management Analyst Comment: No anticipated fiscal impact to the City of Reno.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Sparks</b> Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following cities/counties did not provide a response:** Douglas County, Elko County, Eureka County, Lander County, Mineral County, Lyon County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, and City of North Las Vegas.