

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE**

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 2, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2016-17</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2017-18</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2018-19</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**A.B. 78 / BDR 34 - 343**

School District: <b>Carson City School District</b> Approved by: Andrew J Feuling, Director of Fiscal Services Comment: On the surface, there are no costs except time to have a meeting. The long-term costs are uncertain.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Churchill County School District</b> Approved by: Phyllys Dowd, Director of Business Services Comment: The proposed language states the Charter to “consult” with local school district, but has no clean requirement consultation to benefit the students. If the District believes the Charter would be a detriment to the student’s education, there is no ability for the District to bring that concern forward.  Charter schools have a significant impact on funding for school districts. With the DSA funding following the student, it is an immediate financial burden when a student transfers to a charter. The 2015 legislative session removed the hold harmless provision for less than a 5% drop of enrollment was very detrimental to the district's budget. We are unable to layoff teachers to offset the drop of enrollment after May 1st of the prior school year, so we are locked into expenses we cannot reduce and then are hit with the decrease in funding. For Churchill County School District, a 4% drop would be nearly \$800,000 or 6% of the total DSA funding. The district contingency account is capped at 3% of expenditures (per NRS 354.608), which would be \$727,000. Where does the remaining difference come from? Services to our student are reduced, which is not the answer!				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Clark County School District</b> Approved by: Dillon Kay, Assistant Budget Director Comment: This bill draft may have little or no fiscal impact to CCSD beyond the consultation and review process which may be required.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**School District: Douglas County School District**

Approved by: HOLLY LUNA, CFO

Comment: Costs associated with this BDR will focus on the evaluation of any proposed charter school impact on the potential population growth, demographic changes in the area, and the academic needs of the students in the area. Since assistance is primarily being provided to State Charter School Authority, with the exception of any internal district need to develop a district sponsored charter school, costs to conduct the studies would include: personnel costs (administrative and clerical) associated with generating data related potential population growth and documentation of demographic changes in the area, and the gathering and reporting of the academic needs of the students in the area (or targeted population). In addition, it may be necessary to assist with the gathering and reporting of any community/population support for the proposed charter school. At this point the costs associated with these requests/requirements cannot be determined given the newness of the proposal. There are no ongoing related costs to the gathering and processing of this request, though there would be the potential reduction in the number of students attending the local public schools if they chose to attend any new state or local charter.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**School District: Humboldt County School District**

Approved by: David Jensen, Superintendent

Comment: The ability to work in collaboration with the proposed charter school with the local board of trustees is a positive step forward in ensuring the needs of students in a community are met. Ensuring the open lines of communication in considering the location of a charter school will ensure the best student options are developed.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**School District: Lincoln County School District**

Approved by: Pam Teel, Superintendent

Comment: Unsure of expenses depending on time required for administration to consult with Charter Authority.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Lyon County School District</b> Approved by: Shawn Heusser, Director of Finance, LCSD Comment: Has Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$4,000

School District: <b>Nye County School District</b> Approved by: Kelly Wood, Executive Secretary Comment: No fiscal impact for Nye County School District				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Pershing County School District</b> Approved by: Russell D. Fecht, Superintendent Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Washoe County School District</b> Approved by: Lindsay E. Anderson, Director of Government Affairs Comment: No Impact				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>White Pine School District</b> Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO Comment: Bill language is specific to charter schools. This may ultimately impact the District but it there isn't enough information to base a reasonable estimate.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**The following school district did not provide a response:** Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, and Storey County School District.