LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Date Prepared: March 11, 2017

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses S.B. 144 / BDR 24 - 300

City/County: Churchill County

Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager

Comment: BDR 24-300 will have a fiscal impact to Churchill County. The impact is estimated to be at least \$4,000+ per election year. This amount does not include programming changes, which are difficult to determine the cost of, and will further increase the fiscal

impact.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,000

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance

Comment: Several Bills have language similar to this bill. (24-19, 24-22, 24-184)Section 2 of the bill will allow Clerks the ability to establish polling places in which a voter can vote the day of the election. Section 7 requires the Secretary of State to create and maintain certain application software for use on mobile devices. Sections 8-13 establish procedure in which a voter registration agency can transmit voter registration information electronically. Section 14 allows persons 16 years and older but less than 18 the ability to preregister. Sections 62, 63, 86, 89 require the Clerks send sample ballots electronically. Section 93,94 extend deadline for a voter to use federal postcard application to register to vote and request military overseas ballot.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$76,870	\$276,870	\$313,740

City/County: Douglas County

Approved by: Kathy Lewis, Douglas County Clerk/Treasurer

Comment: The unknown cost is the cost to enhance our voter registrations system to track and send sample ballots to appropriate persons under 18 years old. The other unknown cost is the cost to mandate all voter registration agencies offer electronic registration.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$25,206	\$25,206	\$25,206

City/County: Esmeralda County

Approved by: LaCinda Elgan, Esm Co Clerk and Treas.

Comment: This would require an additional employee to handle the extra work involved.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller

Comment: This bill will have a fiscal impact but I don't forsee that it will be significant. It would

be ongoing due to the publication requirements.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Manage to Analyst

Comment: Nominal amount for notices sent to those who have preregistered estimated at about \$1,000 annually and one-time cost to reprint registration applications to include updated language on the apps at approximately \$14,000. Other costs would be within the scope of current operation

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$15,000	\$1,000	\$2,000

City/County: White Pine County

Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director

Comment: The requirement for the County Clerk to utilize poll books, securely transmit data and changes to polling places on election day will increase costs for equipment, software, data conversion, secure data transmission, software and hardware support agreements and additional staff time. The result will be an adverse impact to the County in the form of increased costs as outlined above.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$38,800	\$8,300	\$16,600

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: No significant fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Michelle Thackston, Administrative Assistant

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Tillery Williams, Management Analyst

Comment: No anticipated fiscal impact to the City of Reno.

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Financial Services Director

Comment: No Impact

Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Elko County, Eureka County, Lincoln County, Lander County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, Boulder City, City of Elko, City of Mesquite, and City of North Las Vegas.