

LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 17, 2017

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2016-17	Fiscal Year 2017-18	Fiscal Year 2018-19	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
S.B. 237 / BDR 38 - 469

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Nancy Paulson, CFO Comment: No fiscal impact to Carson City.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Eleanor Lockwood, County Manager Comment: Bill 38-469 appears to add language to comport with changes that have already been implemented by DCFS when it comes to the submission of reports to the Court. This may impact Washoe and Clark if they are not utilizing the State DCFS, but Churchill County is, and therefore, we do not see a fiscal impact to our County from BDR 38-469, unless it were to require Churchill County to have a county home in our community to house children until such time as a safety plan may be developed, rather than using foster care as is done currently.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: David Dobrzynski, Asst Director of Finance Comment: This bill requires a court to determine at a hearing on protective custody whether there is a plan to address the safety of a child in his or her home that satisfies certain requirements.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Esmeralda County Approved by: Robert E. Glennen, District Attorney Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County Approved by: Jamie Rodriguez, Management Analyst Comment: No Impact				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: White Pine County Approved by: Elizabeth Frances, Finance Director Comment: This will increase the costs for Child Protective Services which directly impacts the State but then is passed onto the County through a State Assessment. Therefore, this will have adverse impact on the County in the form of increased expense. What it will cost to investigate in-home safety plans that are sufficient, feasible and sustainable is unknown. Therefore, a realistic estimate of the cost cannot be made at this time.				
Impact	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Douglas County, Elko County, Humboldt County, Eureka County, Lincoln County, Lander County, Lyon County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, and Storey County.