

**MINUTES OF THE
SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE**

**Seventy-ninth Session
April 26, 2017**

The Senate Committee on Finance was called to order by Chair Joyce Woodhouse at 8:05 a.m. on Wednesday, April 26, 2017, in Room 2134 of the Legislative Building, Carson City, Nevada. [Exhibit A](#) is the Agenda. [Exhibit B](#) is the Attendance Roster. All exhibits are available and on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Senator Joyce Woodhouse, Chair
Senator David R. Parks, Vice Chair
Senator Moises Denis
Senator Aaron D. Ford
Senator Pete Goicoechea
Senator Ben Kieckhefer
Senator Becky Harris

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mark Krmpotic, Senate Fiscal Analyst
Alex Haartz, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst
Jeff Ferguson, Senior Program Analyst
Lona Domenici, Committee Manager
Colby Nichols, Committee Secretary

OTHERS PRESENT:

Charlie Donohue, Administrator, Division of State Lands, Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources
Kevin Burns, Coordinator, Veterans Resource Center, Western Nevada College
Katherine Miller, Director, Department of Veterans Services
Jeffrey J. Frischmann, Deputy Administrator, Unemployment Insurance Program, Employment Security Division, Nevada Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation
Steven D. Hill, Executive Director, Nevada Governor's Office of Economic Development

Senate Committee on Finance
April 26, 2017
Page 2

CHAIR WOODHOUSE:

I call this meeting of the Senate Committee on Finance to order. We will begin today's meeting with some bill hearings. The Committee will first hear testimony on Senate Bill (S.B.) 512.

SENATE BILL 512 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions relating to fees for the use of certain state lands. (BDR 26-906)

CHARLIE DONOHUE (Administrator, Division of State Lands, Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources):

I have submitted for the Committee's review some written testimony introducing S.B. 512 ([Exhibit C](#)) from which I will now read. This bill is an agency-generated measure which I support. The reasons I do so are included on [Exhibit C](#).

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

Can you remind us what the navigable bodies of water are? Also, can you tell us what the purpose of the bill is? What is the problem that you are trying to solve?

MR. DONOHUE:

The answer to your first question is that the navigable bodies of water are Lake Tahoe, the Carson River, the Truckee River, Winnemucca Lake, Virgin River, Colorado River and Washoe Lake.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

Is it the presence of piers at these bodies of water that classifies them as navigable?

MR. DONOHUE:

Yes. As for your other questions, the problem that we are trying to solve is that we think the State would be better served if the fees were in regulation as opposed to statute. It would be better for us and give us an opportunity to review them on a regular basis.

These fees are mostly collected for piers and buoys. I would say that 96 percent of those are on Lake Tahoe.

Senate Committee on Finance
April 26, 2017
Page 3

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

Does your Division plan to increase those fees from what they are currently set at?

MR. DONOHUE:

The Division would work through the regulatory process to see where those fees need to be set.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

Do those fees currently cover the costs of the piers and buoys?

MR. DONOHUE:

My assessment is that they are not currently covering the costs of doing business.

SENATOR GOICOECHEA:

We have got a total of \$50,000 in fees generated in State lands. Is that total correct?

MR. DONOHUE:

That is not the total amount of fees collected. That figure is just for our navigable water fees. Generally, the amount of \$50,000 is for piers and buoys. We also collect revenue for things like easements and licenses; those fees would continue to be deposited into the General Fund.

SENATOR GOICOECHEA:

Does this bill only affect the navigable waters fees? Would those fees be going to Lake Tahoe?

MR. DONOHUE:

Once we went through the regulatory process and established what those fees would be, any fees in excess of \$50,000 collected for navigable waters would be deposited into one of our non-General Fund budget accounts.

The account it would go into is actually the original Tahoe Bond Account which was established in 1986. We still use that account for different purposes at Lake Tahoe.

MARK KRMPOTIC (Senate Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau):

Fiscal staff would point out a few items that pertain to this bill for the Committee's information. Staff had discussed the impact of the bill with Mr. Donohue. In fiscal year (FY) 2015-2016, the monies generated under *Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS) 322.120 totaled \$64,955.

Under S.B. 512, \$50,000 would continue to be deposited into the General Fund. If, for instance, a similar amount of money was collected in future fiscal years and this bill were passed, that remainder of \$14,955 would be transferred to the budget account Mr. Donohue mentioned. The \$50,000 would continue to go to the General Fund.

This bill would have a small fiscal impact in terms of the revenue that would go to the General Fund when compared to the current situation. However, it is Staff's understanding that those revenues fluctuate from year to year.

The other point Staff would note is that the monies go towards carrying out programs to preserve, protect, restore and enhance the natural environment of the Lake Tahoe Basin. The budget account the money would go to is not currently reviewed by the Legislature. However, it is Staff's understanding that the Tahoe Interagency Committee, which is housed primarily within the State Department of Conservation of Natural Resources, reviews projects for the Tahoe Basin for which this money could be used. The Tahoe Interagency Committee would make priorities and recommendations for the use of the money.

CHAIR WOODHOUSE:

Seeing no one who wishes to testify in opposition to or in neutral to this bill, I will close this portion of today's meeting. Let the Committee move on to testimony on S.B. 137.

SENATE BILL 137 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions governing certain plans, programs and reports relating to veterans. (BDR 37-64)

I will be temporarily turning the gavel and the chair of this meeting over to Senator Parks, as I will be reading from my prepared remarks ([Exhibit D](#)).

VICE CHAIR PARKS:

Thank you. You may introduce this bill to the Committee.

SENATOR JOYCE WOODHOUSE (Senatorial District No. 5):

Although I am not a veteran, I care a great deal about the health and welfare of our veterans, as I am sure we all do. This bill deals with the fact that many veterans do not identify themselves as such and thus cannot receive the services they deserve.

This measure will remove that impediment. This bill relates to the collection of data from veterans and military sexual trauma (MST).

Section 1 of the bill requires State agencies and regulatory bodies to include certain questions on the forms used to collect data from a veteran that is submitted to the Interagency Council on Veterans Affairs (ICVA). Current law requires the ICVA to submit an annual report by February 15 of each year to the Legislature or the Legislative Commission depending on whether a legislative session is occurring or not.

This bill requires the following questions to be included. The first is: "Have you ever served in active duty in armed forces of the United States and separated from such service under conditions other than dishonorable?"

The second is: "Have you ever been assigned to duty for a minimum of six continuous years in the National Guard or a reserve component of the armed forces of the United States and separated from such service under conditions other than dishonorable?"

The third is: "Have you ever served the Commissioned Corps of the U.S. Public Health Service or the Commissioned Corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration of the United States in the capacity of a commissioned officer while on active duty in defense of the United States and separated from such service under conditions other than dishonorable?"

Section 2 of the bill amends chapter 622 of the NRS to require regulatory bodies to ask the same three questions if it collects information regarding whether an applicant for a license is a veteran, and to include that information in the annual report to the ICVA that is currently required relevant to licenses applied for, issued to or renewed by veterans.

Section 3 of the bill relates to MST. The Legislature enacted S.B. No. 268 of the 78th Session to create, in the State's General Fund, an account to assist veterans who have suffered MST and prescribe uses of the money in that account. It requires the director and deputy director of the Department of Veterans Services to develop plans and programs to assist veterans who have suffered MST while on active duty or during military training.

The ICVA was required to include in its report to the 79th Session of the Legislature information provided by the director concerning those plans and programs.

Section 3 of S.B. 137 simply removes the sunset date of June 30, 2017, that was put in place by a provision of S.B. No. 268 of the 78th Session. It will continue the requirement to develop those plans and programs to assist veterans who have suffered MST and to maintain the account, while eliminating the requirement to transfer any remaining balance in that account on June 30, 2017.

I would like to acknowledge that, of the 14 fiscal notes that we received from agencies, only 3 showed any fiscal impact. The first of those three was the Division of Human Resource Management from the Department of Administration, which submitted a note showing an impact of \$18,406. The second was from the Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation (DETR), whose note initially showed an impact \$94,300. The third was from the Department of Wildlife, whose note indicated an impact of \$16,000.

Each of these three agencies showed a fiscal impact in the first year of the 2017-2019 biennium to adjust to the new data collection requirements. Therefore, the Committee will find in the first reprint of S.B. 137 that we have extended the deadline for two years so that agencies that this measure will affect will have a window within which they can use up any hard copies of forms prior to reprinting and so that those who have their forms and applications online have time to make changes to those systems.

I have met with two of those three agencies that placed fiscal notes on this measure. I hope you will hear from them today. Due to their federal funding status, DETR cannot remove their entire fiscal note. They have reduced it, however. The reduction brings the fiscal impact down to \$49,500 in the first fiscal year and \$44,800 in the second fiscal year of the 2017-2019 biennium.

I have also provided the Committee a letter ([Exhibit E](#)) from Director Tony Wasley of the Department of Wildlife that removes their fiscal note once we had amended the bill to extend that two-year window for meeting the new requirements. I have not heard from the Division of Human Resource Management.

This concludes my presentation for this bill. Unfortunately, we have found that many veterans, especially women veterans but including men as well, who have served, but not in combat, often do not consider themselves veterans. When they see the original language on forms and applications that asks about their veteran status, they mark "no."

But they are veterans. They have served us. They deserve the services and the support we have available for them. In an effort to make sure our veterans actually do receive these resources and the support they need and deserve, this measure will remove that impediment.

VICE CHAIR PARKS:

I would like to make an observation. In some discussions I have had with persons who had served, I found that when they see that word "veterans," they think of many years of service and retirement from service. They do not include themselves in that category if they had served one tour of duty. I think that is a factor in why they may not self-identify as veterans.

I will now open the floor to those who would like to testify in support of this bill.

KEVIN BURNS (Coordinator, Veterans Resource Center, Western Nevada College):
I am the chair of the United Veterans Legislative Council which represents the majority of veterans. The Council is housed within the major veterans' organizations within the State.

I would like to reiterate the testimony ([Exhibit F](#)) I provided another Committee earlier ([Exhibit F](#) was Exhibit D in the meeting held on March 8, 2017, of the Senate Committee on Government Affairs).

This is an important bill. Senator Woodhouse elucidated the reasons why very well. There are members of my generation who were "cold warriors," as we

say, who served in the 1970s and 1980s who do not consider themselves veterans.

Unfortunately, as Senator Woodhouse pointed out, these people are not getting benefits available to them. Maybe even more importantly, we are not capturing that data. That is one of the reasons why, when people ask us how many veterans reside in this State, we kind of smile and say "somewhere between 225,000 veterans and 300,000 veterans."

This bill will go a long way in assisting us in capturing that data. Additionally, the section 3 change in this bill that eliminates the sunset date for the Fund for Assisting Victims of MST is important to us as well. Unfortunately, although the Department of Defense is trying, MST has not gone away. It is important to us to be able to give Director Katherine Miller of the Department of Veterans Services the funds to be able help those members as they return to us in the community.

VICE CHAIR PARKS:

Thank you for that. Seeing no other commenters seeking to support this bill and none who wish to speak in opposition to it, I will now open the floor for those who wish to testify in neutral to this bill.

KATHERINE MILLER (Director, Department of Veterans Services):

As stated by Senator Woodhouse, there is no fiscal impact in any part of this bill to my Department. I wanted to let the Committee know that both the Nevada Veterans' Services Commission and the Nevada Women Veterans Advisory Committee are strongly in support of this bill.

JEFFREY J. FRISCHMANN (Deputy Administrator, Unemployment Insurance Program, Employment Security Division, Nevada Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation):

We have a fiscal note submitted for this bill. The fiscal note is a result of us receiving our funding through U.S. Department of Labor. We are very limited in the sense that we can only use federal grant money for unemployment insurance purposes and administration. We have met with Senator Woodhouse and have worked to split the cost of this measure over two fiscal years.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

Which budget account would you be paying this out of?

MR. FRISCHMANN:

We are not able to pay for this out of the unemployment insurance funding. We would be requesting some General Fund money to cover this cost.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

I understand. Which budget account would be receiving those monies?

MR. FRISCHMANN:

I would have to return to the Committee to answer that exactly. I do not have that information at hand right now.

VICE CHAIR PARKS:

I see that you have multiyear requests for funding for this. Would that extend beyond the 2017-2019 biennium?

MR. FRISCHMANN:

No. This would be a one-time cost. Essentially, what we will have to do is some programming to our telephone system in order to capture the information required by this measure. Also, we will have to change our online system for claimants to adjust to the new requirements.

SENATOR WOODHOUSE:

I think this is such an important measure for us—to provide the resources and the support that we need for our veterans. The DETR office, because of their funding situation, requires us to find the small amount of funding available and put it in a General Fund account for them.

Also, I will make the commitment to reach out again to the third agency that I have not heard from regarding their fiscal note. I will follow up with the Committee once that happens.

I want to make sure that we do what we can for our veterans and that our processes and procedures do not stop them from identifying as veterans.

VICE CHAIR PARKS:

That will conclude this portion of today's meeting. We will take a brief recess to return the gavel and the chairing of this meeting to Senator Woodhouse and to allow Fiscal Division staff and the Governor's Office of Economic Development (GOED) time to prepare for the budget closing portion of today's meeting. The Committee stands in recess as of 8:30 a.m.

CHAIR WOODHOUSE:

I call the Committee back to order as of 9:17 a.m. We will now consider the budget closings for GOED on today's agenda.

JEFF FERGUSON (Senior Program Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau):

Fiscal staff has provided the Committee Closing List #4 ([Exhibit G](#)) for the budget accounts on today's agenda. We will begin with budget account (B/A) 101-1526. This is the main account for GOED. The information for this budget can be found on pages 3 through 7 of [Exhibit G](#).

GOED - Governor's Office of Economic Dev — Budget Page GOED-5 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-1526

There are two major closing issues within this budget. The first relates to the Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) program. The details regarding this issue can be found on pages 4, 5 and 6 of [Exhibit G](#).

The Governor recommends General Fund appropriations of \$330,000 for each fiscal year of the 2017-2019 biennium for the UAV program. This would allow GOED to continue to support the UAV industry and operate the Nevada UAV test site through the Nevada Institute of Autonomous Systems (NIAS). The NIAS is a nonprofit organization that is tasked with the responsibility to lead and promote Nevada's UAV industry.

I would note that the Legislature, during the 78th Session, approved a General Fund appropriation of \$600,000 for FY 2015-2016 and \$692,000 for FY 2016-2017 for the UAV program. That amount for FY 2016-2017 was appropriated to the Interim Finance Committee (IFC) contingency account, with GOED directed to present a plan utilizing the funding before it could be released.

However, GOED has not come before IFC to request those funds. They have indicated that they will not do so in FY 2016-2017. Those funds will remain with the IFC contingency account.

When the UAV program was initially discussed during the 77th Session, GOED anticipated it would become financially self-sufficient around the end of FY 2014-2015. However, GOED indicates that neither Nevada, nor any other Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) designated test site, has realized the anticipated benefits from the growth of the UAV industry, due in large part to the FAA's involvement in the regulation-development process allowing UAVs to fly in the National airspace.

Things have improved recently, with GOED indicating that approximately 800 Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS) flights were conducted in the State in FY 2015-2016. However, in follow-up discussions with Fiscal staff, the Agency indicated that, with the release of FAA Part 107 last summer, it anticipates the number of flights to drop to about 200 flights for FY 2016-2017.

The FAA Part 107 provides rules for nonhobbyist small UAS operations and for UAS weighing less than 55 pounds that no longer have to comply with FAA airworthiness standards or obtain aircraft certification. As a result, Part 107 has had a negative impact on UAS flight activity in Nevada. However, GOED indicated that the NIAS has since focused its attention on aircraft manufacturers and operators that are flying UAS that are greater than 55 pounds, which in turn have longer flight times. Accordingly, GOED indicates that while the total number of UAS flights in the State will decrease over the biennium, they will have longer flight missions which will result in a net increase in the number of flight hours.

The GOED indicated that NIAS' role has not changed. However, it did indicate during the budget hearing that there are two major influences coming up in the UAV industry. The first is the need to support the growth of Beyond Visual Line of Sight (BVLOS) capabilities. The second is an industry push for the FAA to license flights of large UAVs, which is currently restricted to special circumstances only. Both of these have been identified by enterprise users as the benchmarks by which positive return on investment would be realized by this technology in the future.

The GOED indicated that these funds were needed for the 2017-2019 biennium to ensure Nevada can continue its leadership role in the UAV industry. The Committee had requested some financial accounting that showed revenues and expenditures for NIAS by fiscal year, including projections demonstrating the need for State funding. The Committee has received that information presented in a table on page 6 of [Exhibit G](#).

Staff would note that, as represented in that table, NIAS has relied on cumulative surplus funds to compensate for expenses that are typically higher than revenues in a given fiscal year. Regarding the upcoming biennium, without the requested General Fund appropriations, NIAS would not have sufficient revenue to meet anticipated expenditures.

Does the Committee wish to approve the Governor's recommendation to provide General Fund appropriations of \$330,000 in each year of the 2017-2019 biennium for the UAV program to allow GOED to continue to support the UAV industry and operate the Nevada UAV test site through the NIAS?

SENATOR KIECKHEFER MOVED TO APPROVE THE GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATION TO PROVIDE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$330,000 IN EACH YEAR OF THE 2017-2019 BIENNIUM FOR B/A 101-1526 FOR THE UAV PROGRAM.

SENATOR GOICOECHEA SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

* * * * *

MR. FERGUSON:

The next major closing issue is a budget amendment. Details regarding this can be found on page 6 of [Exhibit G](#). This was not discussed during the budget hearing. Fiscal staff received a budget amendment subsequent to the budget hearing which would add General Fund appropriations of \$247,695 in FY 2017-2018 and \$247,565 in FY 2018-2019 for personnel costs.

The GOED and the Governor's Finance Office (GFO) indicate that these funds are necessary due to an error in the *Executive Budget* that inadvertently did not

fund the salaries of two existing positions for either year of the 2017-2019 biennium. This would allow GOED to fund all existing positions and would bring the total budget salary cost to a level that is approximately equal to the amount approved by the Legislature of the 78th Session.

Based on information provided by GOED and the GFO, this budget amendment appears reasonable in order to fully fund existing salary costs. Staff recommends the approval of Budget Amendment A171941526.

SENATOR PARKS MOVED TO APPROVE BUDGET AMENDMENT A171941526 FOR B/A 101-1526, WHICH INCLUDES ADDITIONAL GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$247,695 IN FY 2017-2018 AND \$247,565 IN FY 2018-2019, TO CORRECT AN ERROR IN THE *EXECUTIVE BUDGET*, THEREBY ALLOWING THE AGENCY TO FULLY FUND ALL EXISTING POSITIONS.

SENATOR FORD SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

* * * * *

MR. FERGUSON:

There are three other closing items in this budget listed on page 7 of [Exhibit G](#). These all appear reasonable. Staff would note that the second closing item for decision unit E-233 appears reasonable assuming the Legislature of the 79th Session approves the Governor's recommendation to continue to fund the Workforce Innovations for a New Nevada (WINN) budget.

E-233 Efficient and Responsive State Government — Page GOED-9

This decision unit would eliminate the Train Employees Now program, which would be replaced by WINN. Staff recommends the approval of closing items 1 and 3 pertaining to decision units E-225 and E-710, respectively.

E-225 Efficient and Responsive State Government — Page GOED-8
E-710 Equipment Replacement — Page GOED-9

Staff would also recommend the approval of closing item 2, E-233, if the Committee wishes to approve the Governor's recommendation to continue to fund WINN. Staff requests authority to make technical adjustments to this budget as necessary.

SENATOR FORD MOVED TO APPROVE OTHER CLOSING ITEMS 1, 2 AND 3 FOR B/A 101-1526 AS RECOMMENDED BY THE GOVERNOR, WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR GOICOECHEA SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

MR. FERGUSON:

The next budget up for discussion is B/A 101-1527 for the Nevada Film Office.

GOED - Nevada Film Office — Budget Page GOED-12 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-1527

This budget is covered by pages 9 and 10 of [Exhibit G](#). Fiscal staff is responsible for developing recommendations for this budget. The Committee has not previously reviewed this budget.

The mission of the Nevada Film Office is to proactively promote, pursue and facilitate the production of motion pictures and all other forms of media projects utilizing Nevada locations, vendors, services, crew, personnel and performance talent. The Office is funded primarily from a transfer of room tax revenue from the Commission on Tourism and fees charged for sales and associated advertising related to a production directory created by the Office.

There are no major closing issues with this budget. There are two other closing items which can be found on page 10 of [Exhibit G](#). The first is E-225 for the cloud computing pilot project.

E-225 Efficient and Responsive State Government — Page GOED-14

The second is E-710 for replacement equipment.

E-710 Equipment Replacement — Page GOED-14

Staff recommends approval of these closing items as recommended by the Governor and requests authority to make technical adjustments as necessary.

SENATOR FORD MOVED TO APPROVE OTHER CLOSING ITEMS 1 AND 2 FOR B/A 101-1527 AS RECOMMENDED BY THE GOVERNOR WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR DENIS SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

MR. FERGUSON:

The next budget is B/A 101-1528 for Rural Community Development. This budget is on pages 11 and 12 of [Exhibit G](#).

GOED - Rural Community Development — Budget Page GOED-16 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-1528

Similar to the Nevada Film Office budget, this budget has not been heard by the Committee. Fiscal staff is responsible for developing the closing recommendations.

The Rural Community Development program administers the State's Community Development Block Grant program on behalf of small cities and rural counties. It is mainly funded with federal block grants received from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and is supplemented by State General Fund support for required matching funds. The amount of the General Fund matching funds is \$353,736 over the 2017-2019 biennium.

There are no major closing issues for this budget. There are two other closing items which are listed on page 12 of [Exhibit G](#). The first is decision unit E-225 for the cloud computing pilot project.

E-225 Efficient and Responsive State Government — Page GOED-18

The second is decision unit E-710 for some replacement equipment.

E-710 Equipment Replacement — Page GOED-18

Staff recommends the approval of these other closing items as recommended by the Governor, and requests the authority to make technical adjustments to this budget as necessary.

SENATOR GOICOECHEA MOVED TO APPROVE OTHER CLOSING ITEMS 1 AND 2 FOR B/A 101-1528 AS RECOMMENDED BY THE GOVERNOR WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR DENIS SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

MR. FERGUSON:

The next budget account for discussion today is B/A 101-4867 for the Procurement Outreach Program. The information for this budget can be found on pages 13 and 14 of [Exhibit G](#).

GOED - Procurement Outreach Program — Budget Page GOED-20 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-4867

This is another budget for which Fiscal staff is responsible for developing closing recommendations. The Committee has not previously reviewed this budget.

The Procurement Outreach Program, which is also known as the Procurement Technical Assistance Center (PTAC), assists Nevada businesses in obtaining federal government contracts by alerting them to procurement opportunities and providing training and technical assistance to make them competitive in federal procurement processes.

The PTAC is funded primarily with federal funds from the U.S. Department of Defense and through General Funds. The General Funds are required to meet minimum match requirements. This amounts to General Fund support of \$251,658 over the 2017-2019 biennium.

There are no major closing issues. There are three other closing items which are listed on page 14 of [Exhibit G](#). The first is decision unit E-225 for the cloud computing pilot project.

E-225 Efficient and Responsive State Government — Page GOED-22

The second is decision unit E-710 for some replacement equipment.

E-710 Equipment Replacement — Page GOED-22

Staff would make a technical adjustment to this decision unit to remove \$330 from the amount budgeted in FY 2017-2018.

The third other closing item is for decision unit E-720 for some new equipment.

E-720 New Equipment — Page GOED-23

Staff recommends approval of other closing items 1 and 3 as recommended by the Governor, and the approval of other closing item 2 with the technical adjustment previously noted. Staff requests authority to make other technical adjustments to this budget as necessary.

SENATOR DENIS MOVED TO APPROVE OTHER CLOSING ITEMS 1 AND 3 AS RECOMMENDED BY THE GOVERNOR, AND TO APPROVE OTHER CLOSING ITEM 2 WITH THE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENT TO REMOVE \$330 FROM THE BUDGET FOR FY 2017-2018, WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE OTHER TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS TO B/A 101-4867 AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR PARKS SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

MR. FERGUSON:

The next budget for today is B/A 101-1529 for the Nevada Catalyst Fund. Information regarding this budget can be found on pages 15 and 16 of [Exhibit G](#).

GOED - Nevada Catalyst Fund — Budget Page GOED-25 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-1529

There are no major closing issues with this budget. Fiscal staff would note that, under the other closing items for this budget found on page 16 of [Exhibit G](#), through the passage of S.B. No. 507 of the 78th Session, the Catalyst Account does not require additional General Fund support for the 2017-2019 biennium or for the future beyond that.

This is because that bill authorized the Executive Director of GOED to issue transferable tax credits instead of grants as originally established for the Catalyst Account.

The Catalyst Account is a continuing fund without reversion. It will continue to allocate the balance of the funds in the Catalyst Account going forward as the funds are available and until they are all expended.

Fiscal staff recommends closing the Catalyst Account with technical adjustments made by Fiscal staff to include up-to-date projections on anticipated grant awards and associated reserve levels. These adjustments project the Catalyst Account would not have expended all available funding by the end of the 2017-2019 biennium as suggested in the *Executive Budget*, but will balance forward approximately \$1.9 million to the 2019-2021 biennium. Fiscal staff requests authority to make technical adjustments to this budget as necessary.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

How do we account for the transferable tax credits that are issued by GOED during the Interim?

MR. FERGUSON:

Those are accounted for on the revenue side, if I am not mistaken. They are not reflected in GOED's budget. They come off the revenues that are received by the State.

MR. KRMPOTIC:

The forecast developed by the Economic Forum accounted for, on a projected basis, \$1 million in Catalyst Account tax credits in FY 2017-2018 and about \$3 million in FY 2018-2019.

As the fiscal year progresses, Fiscal staff receives information from GOED as to the tax credits that have been issued and taken, either against gaming percentage fees, modified business tax or insurance premium tax. We account for that information on an actual basis at the end of the year.

The Committee may see a difference between what was projected and the actual values of the tax credits. We also track if tax credits are carried forward from one fiscal year to another. We account for those in projections of revenues when we look at them to prepare for Economic Forum meetings.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

Is there an indication that there will be more tax credits accounted for than originally anticipated based on the issuance of tax credits over the Interim by the GOED Board of Economic Development?

MR. KRMPOTIC:

Yes. There would be additional tax credits issued over the biennium. In this budget account, there is the continual expenditure of appropriations, that were originally provided last Session, of several million dollars in General Fund appropriations.

Once the funding in this budget is totally expended, I do not believe this will be a request in the future. I believe the intent is to convert the Catalyst Account entirely to a tax credit program.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

From the tax credit perspective, is there anything that requires GOED to issue credits that are only deductible in future biennia, or can they issue credits for the biennium that they are currently in?

STEVEN D. HILL (Executive Director, Nevada Governor's Office, Economic Development):

We are allocated a certain portion in Catalyst Fund transferable tax credits over a five-year rolling period. Those amounts have been reduced in special sessions to help offset transferable tax credit potential for cases such as Faraday Futures.

If they are not awarded and redeemed in a fiscal year, they revert to the General Fund. For example, in this fiscal year, we informed Fiscal staff that \$645,000 of the \$1 million that was remaining and available in transferable tax credits in the Catalyst Fund will be reverted. That number gradually rises as we move into a new fiscal year. In FY 2017-2018, the fifth year is then added.

All of the agreements that we have reached with companies are multiyear. This is to make sure that those jobs are not only created, but they stay in place.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

My concern was that you would be able to issue tax credits that were unexpected and would have a substantial negative impact on revenues for the existing biennium after we have closed the budget. I wanted to understand the dynamics of how these credits are issued. Thank you for that summary.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER MOVED TO CLOSE B/A 101-1529 WITH THE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENT MADE BY STAFF TO INCLUDE UP-TO-DATE PROJECTIONS ON ANTICIPATED GRANT AWARDS AND ASSOCIATED RESERVE LEVELS THAT WOULD BALANCE FORWARD APPROXIMATELY \$1.9 MILLION TO THE 2019-2021 BIENNIUM, WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE OTHER TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR DENIS SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

MR. FERGUSON:

The next budget under discussion is B/A 101-1521 for the State Small Business Credit Initiative (SSBCI). The information regarding this can be found on pages 17, 18 and 19 of [Exhibit G](#).

GOED - Nevada SSBCI Program — Budget Page GOED-27 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-1521

There is one major closing issue with this budget, which is listed on page 18 of [Exhibit F](#). It is related to decision unit E-125 within this budget.

E-125 Sustainable and Growing Economy — Page GOED-29

The Governor recommends the transfer of the Battle Born Venture Program and associated federal funds totaling \$2 million in FY 2017-2018 and \$1 million in FY 2018-2019 to the Nevada Battle Born Growth Escalator, Incorporated (NBBGE).

The NBBGE is a nonprofit corporation created specifically to operate the Battle Born program. The NBBGE was created in July, 2016 under authority that was provided through Assembly Bill (A.B.) No. 17 of the 78th Session. This bill authorized the Executive Director of GOED to cause the formation of a nonprofit entity for certain economic development purposes specific to this program.

The Agency indicated this recommendation is needed because the Nevada Constitution prohibits the State from holding equity in businesses. Accordingly, because such a scenario is inherent in a venture capital loan program such as Battle Born, a nonprofit entity is needed to act as custodian of the program and allow it to continue into perpetuity.

While the program is transferred to NBBGE, GOED indicates that it would have administrative oversight and responsibility with assisting NBBGE as well as the continuation of support for the remaining SSBCI programs. The GOED also

indicated that once the recommended funds are transferred to the nonprofit, GOED would continue to have direct oversight of the remaining first generation funds, but the nonprofit would be responsible for second, and later, generation funds.

The first generation funds are the original federal funds with the second, and subsequent, generation funds comprised of monies that were loaned out in the first generation and then repaid. The GOED indicated that the federal government is aware of and has approved the plan to transfer the Battle Born program to NBBGE.

The Committee had a concern during the budget hearing whether the nonprofit would be subject to the Open Meeting Law. The GOED responded that it believed the NBBGE would not be subject to this law but would examine the issue further. Further discussion has revealed that the NBBGE is a 501(c)(6) nonprofit corporation and is its own separate legal entity. As such, under State and federal statutes, it is not subject to the law.

However, GOED indicates the following agreements have been made to provide transparency. The first: two Legislative appointees are on the NBBGE Board. The second: GOED's Executive Director serves as the Chairman of the Board. The third: the NBBGE is required to submit an annual report to the Legislature.

Does the Committee wish to approve the Governor's recommendation to transfer the Battle Born Venture Program and associated federal funds totaling \$2 million in FY 2017-2018 and \$1 million in FY 2018-2019, to NBBGE, the nonprofit corporation created specifically to operate this program?

SENATOR KIECKHEFER MOVED TO APPROVE THE GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATION TO TRANSFER THE BATTLE BORN VENTURE PROGRAM, AND ASSOCIATED FEDERAL FUNDS, TO THE NBBGE.

SENATOR PARKS SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

* * * * *

MR. FERGUSON:

There is one other closing item for this budget, which is listed on page 19 of [Exhibit G](#). This is related to decision unit E-126.

E-126 Sustainable and Growing Economy — Page GOED-29

Fiscal staff recommends the approval of this closing item as recommended by the Governor, and requests the authority to make technical adjustments as necessary.

SENATOR FORD MOVED TO APPROVE OTHER CLOSING ITEM 1 AND TO GRANT FISCAL STAFF AUTHORITY TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS TO B/A 101-1521 AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR GOICOECHEA SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

MR. FERGUSON:

The next budget account for discussion is B/A 101-1531, for WINN. The information regarding this account is found on pages 21 through 24 of [Exhibit G](#).

GOED - WINN — Budget Page GOED-31 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-1531

This account was established through the approval of A.B. No. 1 of the 29th Special Session in December 2015. The major closing issue in this account is listed on page 22 of [Exhibit G](#).

The Governor recommends General Fund appropriations of \$8 million over the 2017-2019 biennium: \$3.5 million in FY 2017-2018 and \$4.5 million in FY 2018-2019. These funds would support the WINN account. These amounts would be in addition to the \$2.5 million General Fund appropriation that was received by the WINN account upon its inception in 2016.

During the budget hearing, there were questions about how the funds would be spent. The Committee asked the Agency to provide greater detail. The table at the bottom of page 22 of [Exhibit G](#) provides details on how it anticipates utilizing the initial \$2.5 million as well as the funds from the Governor's recommendation for the additional appropriation of \$8 million over the 2017-2019 biennium.

With respect to the WINN account's activities associated with that initial \$2.5 million appropriation, staff would note that as of April 22, 2017, the WINN program has expended \$319,027 to fund the College of Southern Nevada's capital expenses related to the acquisition of equipment and materials necessary to conduct advanced manufacturing training related to the Faraday Future plant.

In addition, GOED indicated that the GOED Board of Directors approved a grant to Truckee Meadows Community College for up to \$175,000 to provide scholarships for approximately 145 persons to complete basic and intermediate manufacturing training as part of the Panasonic Preferred Pathway program.

As of April, 2017, unencumbered funds remaining in the WINN budget total approximately \$2 million. Fiscal staff would note that actual expenditures in the WINN account for the 2015-2017 biennium, which is \$319,027 in expended funds and \$175,000 in encumbered funds, are significantly less than the information provided by the Agency in the aforementioned table. The table reflects \$500,000 anticipated to spent in FY 2015-2016, and \$2.25 million in FY 2016-2017.

During the budget hearing, the Committee inquired about how GOED would measure the effectiveness of the WINN account programs. In response, the agency indicated that it measure the effectiveness of the programs through quarterly reports submitted by recipients of WINN funds. The bulleted list on the middle of page 23 of [Exhibit G](#) lists the information contained on those reports.

Does the Committee wish to approve the Governor's recommendation to provide General Fund appropriations of \$3.5 million in FY 2017-2018 and \$4.5 million in FY 2018-2019 in support of the WINN account?

If the Committee wishes not do so, it could approve another amount the Committee believes would be appropriate.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

There is approximately \$2 million in unexpended appropriations from the original special session legislation. Does that revert or not?

MR. FERGUSON:

With the Chair's approval, I would discuss the second portion of this issue as found on pages 23 and 24 of [Exhibit G](#) to give the Committee the full picture about the reversion process. Then, we could return to this recommendation.

CHAIR WOODHOUSE:

Please go ahead.

MR. FERGUSON:

As set forth in A.B. No. 1 of the 29th Special Session and codified into statute, any uncommitted remaining balance in the WINN account at the end of an odd-numbered fiscal year must be reverted to the General Fund.

In other words, it allows the Agency to have those funds through the entire biennium, but at the end of the biennium, unspent funds are reverted to the General Fund. Accordingly, it would appear that the WINN account would be required to revert some of the original \$2.5 million General Fund appropriation back to the General Fund at the conclusion of FY 2016-2017.

However, the Governor is recommending back language for the 2017-2019 Appropriations Act that would require any unspent appropriations in the WINN account at the end of FY 2016-2017 to not revert to the General Fund. They would be balanced forward.

In addition, the language would require any unspent appropriations in the WINN account at the end of FY 2017-2018 and at the end of FY 2018-2019 to be carried forward as well. If this provision is incorporated into the Appropriations Act, in addition to the recommended \$8 million appropriation, the WINN account would be increased by any remaining funds from that initial \$2.5 million appropriation provided in FY 2015-2016.

While there is \$2 million remaining now, there is time in this fiscal year where some of that money, or potentially all of it, could be awarded. During the budget hearing, the Committee expressed a concern about adding such a provision because it could tie up General Funds that may not be utilized in a

timely manner. Legislative funds appropriated to WINN account could be lost if they were balanced forward instead of reverted.

Subsequent to that budget hearing, Fiscal staff asked the Agency to cite its major concerns with reverting unused WINN account funds at the end of every odd-numbered fiscal year, as is currently established in statute. The GOED responded that it has no concerns at this time with reverting these funds and yields to the Committee's discretion.

Accordingly, based on that response, Fiscal staff would not recommend approval of the recommendation in the *Executive Budget* to include back language in the Appropriations Act that would require unspent WINN funds to be carried forward.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

I generally prefer reversion over the balance forward method. Then we can appropriate it if necessary. I want assurance that if we do bring the money back to the General Fund instead allowing them to carry forward, that we will have enough resources in the budget request to fund the training that is necessary for Faraday Future and other activities within this budget.

If the \$8 million that is to be appropriated per this budget request is sufficient for that, then I would ask that the money be reverted. I just need it to be clear that the \$8 million is adequate to meet the needs for workforce training.

MR. FERGUSON:

The agency has indicated that the \$8 million is adequate at this point. There have been delays in certain projects, such as the Faraday Future project, but based on information provided to Fiscal, they have plans for that \$8 million. If any of it is unused by the end of FY 2018-2019, that it would revert back to General Fund.

In the subsequent biennia, they could request additional funding to recoup the monies that were reverted, if it was encumbered in some way.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

It looks like they may not be reverting a lot, based on the table on page 22 of [Exhibit G](#).

MR. HILL:

Senator Kieckhefer is correct. We anticipate, over the next two months, encumbering a good portion of the outstanding balance of this fiscal year. We do feel that the \$8 million in the next biennium is an appropriate amount. There are a number of workforce development opportunities that we think would benefit Nevadans.

SENATOR DENIS:

Has the activity in this account up until now been mostly just getting this program going? How many people have been trained through this account?

MR. HILL:

There was some time needed in order to put the program together. We anticipated that most of the funding for the 2015-2017 biennium would be expended for Faraday Future. As their project has slowed, we have looked for alternative uses for that money.

We have started the training in northern Nevada with Panasonic and with the Hamilton Company. We are in the process of adding a number of other advanced manufacturing companies in northern Nevada to that project, which will include Tesla. This will be an opportunity for Nevadans to fill those jobs.

We have identified companies and trainers, including the College of Southern Nevada, as candidates for the cybersecurity program in southern Nevada. That will be presented to our Board in May 2017. We are working on the life science programs that will also be in southern Nevada. Those will utilize the College of Southern Nevada and potentially the University of Nevada, Las Vegas as well.

The WINN program was originally structured for Faraday Future training, with some other programs eventually in the works as well. Those priorities have now flipped, but we still have that commitment to Faraday Future.

SENATOR DENIS:

How many people have been trained already?

MR. HILL:

I think we have about 140 people in training currently. That is for a program that was just started in February. We have 250 slots that we are recruiting for.

In June or July, we are looking to begin training classes related to cybersecurity. Those would be classes of about 50 people each.

SENATOR DENIS:
How long does the training last?

MR. HILL:
It depends on the particular program. We offer a broad cross-section of training. In the advanced manufacturing program for the Tesla Gigafactory, a person can go from no experience to a serious opportunity for a job in about two weeks if they pursue the training full-time. It is about a six week program usually. We set that program up in modules. The first module is six weeks. The second module would take another six weeks, which would bump up their pay by about \$3 dollars an hour and get them on a career path.

For the Faraday Future training, we offer a more extensive training. It would probably take about three or four months of full-time effort, or a year if they did not have the opportunity to go through on a full-time basis.

SENATOR DENIS:
During the next biennium, how many people do you anticipate will get trained?

MR. HILL:
We would anticipate that, during the 2017-2019 biennium, there will be several thousand participants across all of the WINN programs.

SENATOR DENIS:
Are there certain programs that have not been developed yet?

MR. HILL:
Yes. These programs are not just workforce training; they are pathways to jobs. We are finding companies with actual needs that will support the students after they graduate. It is an ongoing effort.

The curriculum for these programs is tailored to the specific company that is partnered with the program. For advanced manufacturing, there is a core set of programs, but the programs also differ slightly depending on whether the program is for Panasonic, for Tesla or for the Hamilton Company. The programs are shaped by the companies that help participate.

SENATOR DENIS:

What percentage of this money is going towards developing new programs versus maintaining the existing ones?

MR. HILL:

A significant portion is for the new programs. We anticipate that the Panasonic Pathway has the capacity to train about 1,000 people over the timeframe requested by Panasonic. The training cost is about \$1,100 per person. It is a pretty efficient program considering it is providing 1,000 Nevadans with jobs.

Obviously, the amount of money requested for this goes beyond that cost. There will be modifications to the advanced manufacturing program.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

Are we still struggling to fill classes for the Panasonic program?

MR. HILL:

They are trying to hire so many people so quickly at the Gigafactory. We are having a solid, real response to this program. We filled our first class. We have another 239 applicants for the next wave. There has been a real response, but the need is also very great. Trying to keep up with that need is difficult, but this is not due to a lack of interest in the programs.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER:

We make a pretty modest investment in this program. For people who are struggling, who are looking for a ladder upwards, three months of training can walk them into a \$35,000 a year job, with growth opportunities. I think this is excellent work on the State's behalf to help our citizens.

SENATOR GOICOECHEA:

The three phases of the Faraday Future project represent 25 percent of the amount we are discussing today, roughly. You had mentioned that this project has slowed down. The real concern I have regarding whether or not we revert those funds is that we do not have a feel for where the Faraday Future project is headed.

MR. HILL:

We do feel confident that, if all or a portion of that funding is not utilized for Faraday Future, there are a number of alternatives for those funds. We think the need is great. We can be responsive to changes.

Frankly, we have all made a commitment to train these workers for Faraday Future. We wanted to allocate the funding in the event that it is needed. If it is not needed, we will be able to shift that funding to other important needs.

SENATOR KIECKHEFER MOVED TO APPROVE THE GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATION TO PROVIDE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$3.5 MILLION IN FY 2017-2018 AND \$4.5 MILLION IN FY 2018-2019 IN SUPPORT OF THE WINN ACCOUNT, B/A 101-1531, AND TO NOT APPROVE THE RECOMMENDATION IN THE *EXECUTIVE BUDGET* TO INCLUDE BACK LANGUAGE IN THE APPROPRIATIONS ACT THAT WOULD REQUIRE UNSPENT APPROPRIATIONS IN B/A 101-1531 BE CARRIED FORWARD TO THE SUBSEQUENT FISCAL YEAR INSTEAD OF REVERTING TO THE GENERAL FUND, AND REQUIRING THAT ANY UNEXPENDED FUNDS FROM END OF THE CURRENT BIENNIUM BE REVERTED TO THE GENERAL FUND.

SENATOR GOICOECHEA SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

MR. FERGUSON:

The final budget for today is B/A 101-1533 for the Nevada Knowledge Fund. The pertinent information for this budget can be found on pages 25 through 28 of [Exhibit G](#).

The only major closing issue here would be the continuation of \$10 million in appropriations in the base budget of this account. This would continue funding at the same level of the previous two biennia. The Agency did release the annual progress report for the Knowledge Account in October 2016. That report detailed the individual grant recipients to date and each recipient's goals and associated progress.

The Knowledge Account has provided grants to ten projects within the Nevada System of Higher Education. Total Knowledge Account funding budgeted for these ten projects is \$19.8 million, with \$16.4 million of that anticipated to be expended through the 2015-2017 biennium. Fiscal staff has listed these projects on pages 26 and 27 of [Exhibit G](#).

During the budget hearing, the Agency indicated that there were 11 metrics that were used to measure return on investment for Knowledge Account projects. Those metrics are listed in [Exhibit G](#), beginning on the bottom of page 27 and continuing through page 28. The GOED indicated that the first six of these metrics are usually given the highest priority.

With respect to how GOED anticipates utilizing the \$10 million in General Fund appropriations recommended for the upcoming biennium, GOED indicated that it anticipates funding projects similar to those currently receiving Knowledge Account funding. However, it is unknown at this point exactly which projects will be funded or how much will be awarded.

It was indicated that the new projects would be selected to broaden the areas of technologies with respect to economic development as well as continue to remain within the mandate of the Knowledge Account. Estimates for 2017-2019 biennium existing Knowledge Account projects are included as a bulleted list on the bottom of page 28 of [Exhibit G](#).

Does the Committee wish to approve the Governor's recommendation to continue General Fund appropriations of \$5 million in each fiscal year of the 2017-2019 biennium for the Knowledge Account, which is included in the base budget?

SENATOR HARRIS:

I want to make a disclosure. During my Masters of Laws in Gaming Law and Regulation at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, I participated in a class at

the International Gaming Institute that may result in new gaming intellectual property. That has yet to be determined. For this reason, I would prefer to abstain from voting on this budget account to avoid any potential conflict of interest.

SENATOR FORD MOVED TO APPROVE THE GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATION TO CONTINUE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$5 MILLION IN EACH YEAR OF THE 2017-2019 BIENNIUM FOR THE KNOWLEDGE ACCOUNT, B/A 101-1533.

SENATOR DENIS SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR HARRIS ABSTAINED FROM THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

MR. FERGUSON:

There are no other closing items. Fiscal staff requests the authority to make technical adjustments to this budget as necessary.

SENATOR FORD MOVED TO GRANT FISCAL STAFF AUTHORITY TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS TO B/A 101-1533 AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR DENIS SECONDED THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR HARRIS ABSTAINED FROM THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Senate Committee on Finance
April 26, 2017
Page 33

CHAIR WOODHOUSE:

That will conclude the budget closing for GOED. Seeing no one who wishes to offer public comment on today's meeting, I adjourn this meeting of the Senate Committee on Finance as of 10:05 a.m.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Colby Nichols,
Committee Secretary

APPROVED BY:

Senator Joyce Woodhouse, Chair

DATE: _____

| EXHIBIT SUMMARY | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|----|---|-----------------------------------|
| Bill | Exhibit / # of pages | | Witness / Entity | Description |
| | A | 2 | | Agenda |
| | B | 2 | | Attendance Roster |
| S.B. 512 | C | 1 | Charlie Donohue / Division of State Lands | Testimony in Support |
| S.B. 137 | D | 4 | Senator Woodhouse | Bill Introductory Remarks |
| S.B. 137 | E | 1 | Tony Wasley / Nevada Department of Wildlife | Testimony Removing Fiscal Note |
| S.B. 137 | F | 1 | Kevin Burns / United Veterans Legislative Council | Testimony in Support |
| | G | 28 | Jeff Ferguson / Fiscal Division, Legislative Council Bureau | Closing List #4 |