Amendment No. 740

| Senate Amendment to Assembly Bill No. 132 First Reprint | (BDR 53-29) | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Proposed by: Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor | | | | | | | | |
| Amends: Summary: No Title: Yes Preamble: No Joint Sponsorship: No | Digest: Yes | | | | | | | |

| ASSEMBLY | 'AC' | ΓΙΟΝ | Initial and Date | | SENATE ACTIO |)N Init | ial and Date |
|--------------|------|------|------------------|---|--------------|---------|--------------|
| Adopted | | Lost | | | Adopted | Lost | |
| Concurred In | | Not | | l | Concurred In | Not _ | |
| Receded | | Not | | l | Receded | Not | |

EXPLANATION: Matter in (1) *blue bold italics* is new language in the original bill; (2) variations of <u>green bold underlining</u> is language proposed to be added in this amendment; (3) <u>red strikethrough</u> is deleted language in the original bill; (4) <u>purple double strikethrough</u> is language proposed to be deleted in this amendment; (5) <u>orange double underlining</u> is deleted language in the original bill proposed to be retained in this amendment.

SJQ/AAK : Date: 5/17/2019

A.B. No. 132—Revises provisions governing employment practices. (BDR 53-29)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

20

21

ASSEMBLY BILL No. 132—ASSEMBLYMEN NEAL, MCCURDY AND FLORES

FEBRUARY 13, 2019

Referred to Committee on Commerce and Labor

SUMMARY—Revises provisions governing employment practices. (BDR 53-29)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: Increases or Newly Provides for Term of Imprisonment in County or City Jail or Detention Facility.

Effect on the State: Yes.

~

EXPLANATION - Matter in **bolded italics** is new; matter between brackets [formitted material] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to employment; prohibiting the denial of employment because of the presence of marijuana in a screening test taken by a prospective employee with certain exceptions; authorizing an employee to rebut the results of a screening test under certain circumstances; Fereating-a-presumption that the ability of an employee to perform his or her job and that the safety of other employees is not adversely affected if an employee has certain levels of certain prohibited substances in his or her blood; providing penalties;] and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Existing law establishes various unlawful employment practices. (Chapter 613 of NRS)

Section 2 of this bill prohibits, with certain exceptions, an employer from denying employment to a prospective employee because the prospective employee has submitted to a drug screening test and the test indicates the presence of marijuana. Section 2 further provides, however, that it is [not unlawfull [lawful for an employer to condition the employment of a prospective employee who does not hold a valid registry identification card to engage in the medical use of marijuana on the prospective employee's abstention from use of marijuana while performing his or her duties of employment. Finally, section 2 provides that if an employer requires an employee to submit to a screening test within his or her first 30 days of employment, the employer is required to accept [as conclusive] and give appropriate consideration to the results of an additional screening test to which the employee submitted at his or her own expense.

Existing law makes it an unlawful employment practice to fail or refuse to hire, discharge or otherwise discriminate against an employee because the employee engages in the lawful use of any product outside the premises of the employer during the employee's nonworking hours, if that use does not adversely affect the employee's ability to perform his or her job or the safety of other employees. (NRS 613.333) Section 3.5 of this bill specifies that this provision of existing law applies to the use of marijuana.

Existing law prohibits a person from driving or being in actual physical control of a vehicle on a highway or on premises to which the public has access if the person has an amount of marijuana or marijuana metabolite in his or her blood that is equal to or greater

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39 40

41

42

43

than 2 nanograms per milliliter or 5 nanograms per milliliter, respectively. (NRS 484C.110) Section 3.5 creates a presumption that the ability of an employee to perform his or her job and that the safety of other employees is not adversely affected if the employee has less than such amounts of marijuana or marijuana metabolite in his or her blood.]

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. (Deleted by amendment.)

Sec. 2. Chapter 613 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to read as follows:

Except as otherwise specifically provided by law:

- 1. It is unlawful for any employer in this State to fail or refuse to hire a prospective employee because the prospective employee submitted to a screening test and the results of the screening test indicate the presence of marijuana.
- 2. The provisions of subsection 1 do not apply if the prospective employee is applying for a position:
 - (a) As a firefighter, as defined in NRS 450B.071;
 - (b) As an emergency medical technician, as defined in NRS 450B.065;
- (c) That requires an employee to operate a motor vehicle and for which federal or state law requires the employee to submit to screening tests; or
- (d) That, in the determination of the employer, could adversely affect the safety of others.
- It is [not unlawful] <u>lawful</u> for an employer in this State to require <u>, as a</u> condition of employment, a prospective employee who does not hold a valid registry identification card to engage in the medical use of marijuana pursuant to chapter 453A of NRS], as defined in NRS 453A.140, to abstain from using marijuana while carrying out the duties of his or her employment. [as a condition of employment.
- 4. If an employer requires an employee to submit to a screening test within the first 30 days of employment, the employee shall have the right to submit to an additional screening test, at his or her own expense, to rebut the results of the initial screening test. The employer shall accept and give appropriate consideration to the results of such a screening test.
 - The provisions of this section do not apply:
- (a) To the extent that they are inconsistent or otherwise in conflict with the provisions of an employment contract or collective bargaining agreement.
- (b) To the extent that they are inconsistent or otherwise in conflict with the provisions of federal law.
 - (c) To a position of employment funded by a federal grant.
- As used in this section, "screening test" means a test of a person's blood, urine, hair or saliva to detect the general presence of a controlled substance or any other drug.
 - **Sec. 3.** (Deleted by amendment.)

 - Sec. 3.5. [NRS 613.333 is hereby amended to read as follows: 613.333 1. It is an unlawful employment practice for an employer to
 - (a) Fail or refuse to hire a prospective employee; or
- (b) Discharge or otherwise discriminate against any employee concerning the employee's compensation, terms, conditions or privileges of employment,
- because the employee engages in the lawful use in this state of any outside the premises of the employer during the employee's nonworking hours, if

- that use does not adversely affect the employee's ability to perform his or her job or the safety of other employees. 3 2. For the purposes of subsection 1: 4 (a) It is presumed that the ability of an employee to perform his or her job 5 and that the safety of other employees is not adversely affected if, during the 6 working hours of the employee, the employee has an amount of a prohibited 7 substance in his or her blood that is less than the amount set forth in subsection 4 8 of NRS 484C.110. 9 (b) The consumption of marijuana, as defined in NRS 453.096, in a manner 10 that complies with the laws of this State is deemed to be the lawful use of a 11 product. 12 3. An employee who is discharged or otherwise discriminated against in 13 violation of subsection 1 or a prospective employee who is denied employment because of a violation of subsection 1 may bring a civil action against the employer 14 15 who violates the provisions of subsection 1 and obtain: 16 (a) Any wages and benefits lost as a result of the violation; 17 (b) An order of reinstatement without loss of position, seniority or benefits; 18 (c) An order directing the employer to offer employment to the prospective 19 employee: and 20 (d) Damages equal to the amount of the lost wages and benefits. 21 13.1 4. The court shall award reasonable costs, including court costs and 22 's fees to the prevailing party in an action brought pursuant to this section. The remedy provided for in this section is the exclusive remedy for an 23 24 action brought pursuant to this section. 25 6. The provisions of this section do not apply: 26 (a) To the extent that they are inconsistent or otherwise in conflict with the provisions of an employment contract or collective bargaining agreement. 27 (b) To the extent that they are inconsistent or otherwise in conflict with the 28 29 provisions of federal law.
 - amendment.)
 Sec. 4. This act becomes effective on [July] January 1, [2019.] 2020.

(c) To a position of employment funded by a federal grant. (Deleted by

30

31

32