Amendment No. 858

Senate A	(BDR 53-29)						
Proposed by: Senator Dondero Loop							
Amends:	Summary: No	Title: No	Preamble: No	Joint Sponsorship: No	Digest: Yes		

ASSEMBLY	'AC'	ΓΙΟΝ	Initial and Date		SENATE ACTIO)N Init	ial and Date
Adopted		Lost			Adopted	Lost	
Concurred In		Not		l	Concurred In	Not _	
Receded		Not		l	Receded	Not	

EXPLANATION: Matter in (1) *blue bold italics* is new language in the original bill; (2) variations of <u>green bold underlining</u> is language proposed to be added in this amendment; (3) <u>red strikethrough</u> is deleted language in the original bill; (4) <u>purple double strikethrough</u> is language proposed to be deleted in this amendment; (5) <u>orange double underlining</u> is deleted language in the original bill proposed to be retained in this amendment.

SJQ/AAK Date: 5/21/2019

A.B. No. 132—Revises provisions governing employment practices. (BDR 53-29)

ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 132–ASSEMBLYMEN NEAL, MCCURDY AND FLORES

FEBRUARY 13, 2019

Referred to Committee on Commerce and Labor

SUMMARY—Revises provisions governing employment practices. (BDR 53-29)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: Increases or Newly Provides for Term of Imprisonment in County or City Jail or Detention Facility.

Effect on the State: Yes.

~

EXPLANATION - Matter in **bolded italics** is new; matter between brackets [formitted material] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to employment; prohibiting the denial of employment because of the presence of marijuana in a screening test taken by a prospective employee with certain exceptions; authorizing an employee to rebut the results of a screening test under certain circumstances; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Existing law establishes various unlawful employment practices. (Chapter 613 of NRS) Section 2 of this bill prohibits, with certain exceptions, an employer from denying employment to a prospective employee because the prospective employee has submitted to a drug screening test and the test indicates the presence of marijuana. Section 2 further provides 1, however, that it is lawful for an employer to condition the employment of a prospective employee who does not hold a valid registry identification card to engage in the medical use of marijuana on the prospective employee's abstention from use of marijuana while performing his or her duties of employment. Finally, section 2 provides that if an employer requires an employee to submit to a screening test within his or her first 30 days of employment, the employer is required to accept and give appropriate consideration to the results of an additional screening test to which the employee submitted at his or her own expense.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. (Deleted by amendment.)

Sec. 2. Chapter 613 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to read as follows:

Except as otherwise specifically provided by law:

1. It is unlawful for any employer in this State to fail or refuse to hire a prospective employee because the prospective employee submitted to a screening test and the results of the screening test indicate the presence of marijuana.

- 2. The provisions of subsection 1 do not apply if the prospective employee is 2 applying for a position:
 - (a) As a firefighter, as defined in NRS 450B.071:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

- (b) As an emergency medical technician, as defined in NRS 450B.065;
- (c) That requires an employee to operate a motor vehicle and for which federal or state law requires the employee to submit to screening tests; or
- (d) That, in the determination of the employer, could adversely affect the safety of others.
- 3. Ht is lawful for an employer in this State to require, as a condition of employment, a prospective employee who does not hold a valid registry identification card, as defined in NRS 453A.140, to abstain from using marijuana while carrying out the duties of his or her employment.
- 4. If an employer requires an employee to submit to a screening test within the first 30 days of employment, the employee shall have the right to submit to an additional screening test, at his or her own expense, to rebut the results of the initial screening test. The employer shall accept and give appropriate consideration to the results of such a screening test.
 - [5.] 4. The provisions of this section do not apply:
- (a) To the extent that they are inconsistent or otherwise in conflict with the provisions of an employment contract or collective bargaining agreement.
- (b) To the extent that they are inconsistent or otherwise in conflict with the provisions of federal law.
 - (c) To a position of employment funded by a federal grant.
- [6.] 5. As used in this section, "screening test" means a test of a person's blood, urine, hair or saliva to detect the general presence of a controlled substance or any other drug.
 - Sec. 3. (Deleted by amendment.)
 Sec. 3.5. (Deleted by amendment
 - (Deleted by amendment.)
 - **Sec. 4.** This act becomes effective on January 1, 2020.