

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING
OF THE
ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS**

**Eightieth Session
March 15, 2019**

The Committee on Government Affairs was called to order by Chair Edgar Flores at 8:35 a.m. on Friday, March 15, 2019, in Room 3143 of the Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada. The meeting was videoconferenced to Room 4401 of the Grant Sawyer State Office Building, 555 East Washington Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada. Copies of the minutes, including the Agenda ([Exhibit A](#)), the Attendance Roster ([Exhibit B](#)), and other substantive exhibits, are available and on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau and on the Nevada Legislature's website at www.leg.state.nv.us/App/NELIS/REL/80th2019.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Assemblyman Edgar Flores, Chair
Assemblyman William McCurdy II, Vice Chair
Assemblyman Alex Assefa
Assemblywoman Shannon Bilbray-Axelrod
Assemblyman Richard Carrillo
Assemblywoman Bea Duran
Assemblyman John Ellison
Assemblywoman Michelle Gorelow
Assemblyman Gregory T. Hafen II
Assemblywoman Melissa Hardy
Assemblyman Glen Leavitt
Assemblywoman Susie Martinez
Assemblywoman Connie Munk

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

None

GUEST LEGISLATOR PRESENT:

None

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:

Jered McDonald, Committee Policy Analyst
Connie Jo Smith, Committee Secretary
Ali Medina, Committee Assistant

Minutes ID: 480



OTHERS PRESENT:

Caleb S. Cage, Chief, Division of Emergency Management, Homeland Security
Advisor, Department of Public Safety
Thomas D. Dunn, representing the Professional Fire Fighters of Nevada
Shani J. Coleman, Deputy Director, Office of Administrative Services, City of Las
Vegas
David Cherry, Government Affairs Manager, City of Henderson
Brian McAnallen, representing the City of North Las Vegas; and Nevada
Broadcasters Association
John Steinbeck, Deputy Fire Chief and Emergency Manager, Clark County Fire
Department
Jamie Rodriguez, Government Affairs Manager, Office of the County Manager,
Washoe County
Marcus Conklin, representing the Reno-Sparks Indian Colony

Chair Flores:

[Roll was taken. Committee rules and protocol were explained.] This morning we have two bill presentations, and we also have four items on the work session. We will be taking the work session documents first, starting with [Assembly Bill 11](#).

[Assembly Bill 11](#): Revises provisions governing Veterans Services. (BDR 37-194)

Jered McDonald, Committee Policy Analyst:

We have four bills on the work session today. The first three are from the Department of Veterans Services. [Assembly Bill 11](#) revises provisions governing Veterans Services and was heard in this Committee on March 5. The bill makes various changes to statute including replacing the term "military and naval forces" with "Armed Forces"; relocates and expands the duties of the director to operate cemeteries and veteran homes; requires a veteran service officer to assist veterans with any claim for benefit against the United States or another state; adds domestic partners to the list of eligible family members; requires the director to provide formal state training and certification for veteran service officers; and removes the requirement that the Department pay a portion of the operating costs for an office in a county.

Finally, the bill designates the director as the primary public advocate for veterans in Nevada ([Exhibit C](#)). We have no amendments on this measure.

Chair Flores:

I will entertain a motion to do pass [Assembly Bill 11](#).

ASSEMBLYMAN LEAVITT MOVED TO DO PASS
[ASSEMBLY BILL 11](#).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BILBRAY-AXELROD SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion?

Assemblyman Ellison:

I am going to vote yes on this bill, but I want to go back and talk with the sponsor. I reserve the right to change my vote on the floor.

THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Assemblyman Leavitt will take the floor statement.

Assembly Bill 12: Revises provisions relating to veterans. (BDR 37-195)

Jered McDonald, Committee Policy Analyst:

Assembly Bill 12 revises provisions relating to veterans and was heard in this Committee on March 5. The bill makes various changes to veterans' services including, but not limited to, removing the director's authority to adopt regulations and replaces it with the ability to adopt policies and procedures as necessary to carry out the provision that no veteran is denied eligibility for any program, service, benefit, activity, or facility of a department, division, board, bureau, commission, or agency of this state on the basis of the veteran's status as a discharged veteran who is lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender. The bill also makes changes to the reporting of statistics and the qualifications of the deputy director for health and wellness, and it removes requirements regarding certain meeting locations.

Finally, the bill removes language requiring those seeking to volunteer at cemeteries to submit a written offer to the cemetery superintendent which describes the nature of the services ([Exhibit D](#)).

Chair Flores:

I will entertain a motion to do pass Assembly Bill 12.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BILBRAY-AXELROD MOVED TO DO PASS
ASSEMBLY BILL 12.

ASSEMBLYMAN McCURDY SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Assemblywoman Bilbray-Axelrod will take the floor statement.

Assembly Bill 13: Revises provisions concerning veterans' homes and veterans' Adult Day Health Care facilities. (BDR 37-197)

Jered McDonald, Committee Policy Analyst:

Assembly Bill 13 revises provisions concerning veterans' homes and veterans' Adult Day Health Care facilities and was heard in this Committee on March 5. The bill authorizes the director of the Department of Veterans Services to contract with a management company to operate a veterans' home and allows the management company to appoint an administrator. The bill also sets up an account and authorizes the expenditure of money from the Veterans Home Account to establish, manage, maintain, and operate an Adult Day Health Care facility.

Finally, the bill removes language regarding the creation of a veterans' home in southern Nevada. That is there because it has already been built. There were no amendments for this measure ([Exhibit E](#)).

Chair Flores:

I will entertain a motion to do pass Assembly Bill 13.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HARDY MOVED TO DO PASS
ASSEMBLY BILL 13.

ASSEMBLYMAN CARRILLO SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE BILL PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Assemblyman Carrillo will take the floor statement.

Our last bill on the work session is Assembly Bill 240.

Assembly Bill 240: Requires certain counties to meet jointly and prepare reports addressing the orderly management of growth in their region. (BDR S-1043)

Jered McDonald, Committee Policy Analyst:

This bill requires certain counties to meet jointly and prepare reports addressing the orderly management of growth in their region. It was sponsored by Assemblymen Daly, Sprinkle, Peters, Benitez-Thompson, and Kramer and Senators Ratti and Kieckhefer, et alia, and heard in this Committee on March 11.

Assembly Bill 240 requires Carson City and Douglas, Lyon, Storey, and Washoe Counties to each meet jointly at least twice per year and prepare a report at the end of each calendar year between July 1, 2019, and December 31, 2022, that identifies issues relating to and makes recommendations regarding the orderly management of growth in these counties and the

region that these counties comprise. Annual joint reports relating to these meetings must be submitted to the Legislative Commission and comprehensively address all the issues identified and recommendations made by the counties.

We had two amendments discussed during the hearing. The first was from Kathy Clewett with the City of Sparks. She submitted a written amendment that adds incorporated cities to the list of participants and clarifies language regarding the review of land use management.

The bill's sponsor, Assemblyman Daly, had a recommendation that the annual report must also be delivered to the legislators who represent the five counties ([Exhibit F](#)).

Chair Flores:

I would like to entertain a motion to amend and do pass Assembly Bill 240.

ASSEMBLYMAN McCURDY MOVED TO AMEND AND DO PASS
ASSEMBLY BILL 240.

ASSEMBLYMAN LEAVITT SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion?

Assemblyman Ellison:

Did you clarify that they could also add in "unincorporated cities"?

Chair Flores:

Assemblyman Daly is not here to clarify.

Assemblyman Ellison:

I want to make sure that was the right clarification on the amendment.

Chair Flores:

Assemblyman Ellison, it is on page 2 of the Work Session Document, section 1, subsection 2, paragraph (a).

Is there any further discussion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Assemblyman Ellison will take the floor statement.

Committee members, we have completed the work session. We will move to Assembly Bill 206.

**Assembly Bill 206: Revises provisions relating to emergency management.
(BDR 36-864)**

Assemblyman William McCurdy II, Assembly District No. 6:

It is an honor to present in front of you. This is an important piece of legislation that is based on months of work. It is part of a larger group of bills, as you can see from the memorandum provided ([Exhibit G](#)).

The year 2017 was a remarkable year for emergencies and disasters in the state of Nevada. Northern Nevada experienced back-to-back flooding events in January and February. A near-record fire season followed in the spring and summer. As you all know, Las Vegas was shocked by the largest mass shooting in U.S. history, a tragedy that took 58 lives and affected the entire state. It was an unprecedented year by every measure, and one that touched the lives of many thousands of residents and visitors across our state. Following this extraordinary series of events, public safety leaders and leaders in all areas and disciplines across the state were faced with a challenging question. In preparing for the future, should we see the events of 2017 as an anomaly or as representing a new normal in our state? Although we cannot tell the future, responsible public safety leaders across the state had to work to be prepared for similar emergencies and disasters in the future and to learn as many lessons as possible from the recent past. Throughout this year of challenges, we learned a lot. We learned what works well in our state with respect to preparedness and response. We learned what systems needed to be improved, and perhaps the most important, we learned how resilient Nevada and Nevadans are in the face of such challenges. In fact, following the incredible year in 2017, resilience became the watchword of the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security.

I am honored to serve as a member of the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security. This body was established after the September 11, 2001, attacks, and it is responsible for providing oversight on statewide homeland security grant funding, policy, and operations. The Commission was instrumental in providing direction for our emergency management and homeland security efforts. After 2017, the Commission directed the Division of Emergency Management of the Department of Public Safety to address the lessons learned.

First, the Commission voted to approve a directive to the Division, one that required that it align its efforts with statewide resilience. Additionally, the Commission improved a requirement to develop a statewide resilience strategy and policy proposals for implementing it. The strategy focused on streamlining the Division's public body structure, streamlining its grant structure, and helping establish efficient systems for building a more resilient Nevada. Additionally, the Division developed a number of legislative recommendations to implement this strategy. This Commission approved this strategy and the legislative recommendations in August 2018.

Assembly Bill 206 is one of nine bills put forward this session as part of the overall effort to implement this strategy. As with the other bills that are part of this effort, A.B. 206 focuses on ensuring that Nevada is prepared. It requires that essential preparedness plans for state

agencies are written and that they are updated annually. These are plans for disaster mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery, as well as plans for disaster behavioral health. In an effort to further streamline the Division of Emergency Management's public body structure, this bill also combines the duties and responsibilities of two agency boards that oversee various aspects of search and rescue activities at the state level.

The provisions included in this bill are important, and they complement the other eight bills put forward this session. Together, these bills will help the Division of Emergency Management to implement this important and transformational strategy for emergency management and homeland security in our state. More important, these bills will help make Nevada a more resilient state when faced with emergencies and disasters in the future like those we faced in 2017.

I thank you for the opportunity to present here today on this important bill. I am joined by Caleb Cage from the Division of Emergency Management, who can provide further testimony. Together we are prepared to answer any questions you may have.

**Caleb S. Cage, Chief, Division of Emergency Management, Homeland Security Advisor,
Department of Public Safety:**

Assemblyman McCurdy provided an overview of the background and the bill itself. There is nothing really I can add to that at this time except to offer to answer any questions you have.

Chair Flores:

I am sure there will be a lot of technical questions that you will be able to help us walk through.

Assemblyman Leavitt:

I think this is an important bill. Communication, coordination, and emergency management are vitally important, and I hope this spurs some dialogue to make sure that all the municipalities are working together along with the Department of Transportation and both regional transportation commissions (RTCs). I know there is some coordination happening now, but any way that we can improve the communication and coordination between entities is vitally important. I just hope that these committees that are being organized are made up of all municipalities and the RTCs are brought to the table. They can help in the effort, not only with your emergency management plans, but to help update their own plans as well.

Assemblyman McCurdy:

Moving forward, we definitely will make sure to keep that in mind, and as you stated, it is important that we make sure we are prepared in every way.

Assemblywoman Bilbray-Axelrod:

Will there be any coordination between entities? Will the plans look the same, for lack of a better term?

Caleb Cage:

Currently *Nevada Revised Statutes* Chapter 414, and I do not have the exact language, requires us to develop plans in coordination with local jurisdictions throughout the state. It is the intent of this language that these plans continue to do that. The short answer is yes.

Assemblyman Ellison:

Could you give me an example of what you can see that you can maybe reach out and make some changes, if you see fit, to the different counties? Do you see anything that you are going to make any changes in at all?

Caleb Cage:

Currently we have a mandate to provide very general emergency management planning. Many of these plans—the mitigation plan, the response plan, and the recovery plan—are already developed state plans. This bill changes the language and requires those plans in particular, as well as the preparedness plan. It is required to be annually reviewed and updated—that is the value of those plan changes. Those plans provide models to county governments and tribal governments, which the counties then provide coordination and work with city jurisdictions in order to develop their own plans. It is not a change that has a mandate to the local government. It provides an update of the way we work as a state so that our local partners and tribal partners can change their models if necessary in order to align with us, but definitely in a way that continues to work for them going forward. The major benefit of this is the addition of the overall preparedness plan which has to do with planning, training, and exercise. This is something we do regularly—this is something we have plans for. The mandate in this bill would require us to have a specific plan for that, and that plan being available would then be offered to local jurisdictions in order to coordinate and collaborate with them.

Assemblyman Ellison:

I know different counties do mock training throughout the year, including simulated airplane crashes, diesel blowups in tunnels, all kinds of stuff. I do not know if other counties still do that. I am interested in finding out if some of the roadways across Nevada are emergency routes. Until recently, I did not know that, for instance, U.S. Route 93 to Idaho from Elko County is an emergency route—that is good to know and good information to get out to the public. If there is an emergency route, which way do we get out? It looks like the mock training for disasters really works. I do not know if you would like to comment on that.

Assemblyman McCurdy:

You are absolutely correct. We currently hold mock-up preparedness situations. More recently, we had one over the summer in Clark County that was well-coordinated. All the agencies came together. It was a simulated situation where they practice preparedness plans and how to deal with certain situations. Everyone was involved, from fire to SWAT and other entities. I know that Chief Cage can follow up on that as well. It is happening.

Caleb Cage:

Part of our overall role at the state is to coordinate exercises with our county and tribal partners, as well as the cities in the state. The local jurisdictions identify what their needs are for training and coordinate those and develop that training and exercise schedule. Based off that, we will work with them in order to make sure we are bringing state resources to that, making sure we are training on specific state requirements like mutual aid coordination or the financial components of emergency response, and so on. We also develop statewide training. In November of this year, we are working on a statewide exercise for a significant disaster, and that will take place in the north, south, and rurals as well. We try to do that once a year.

Chair Flores:

Committee members, are there any additional questions? [There were none.] I will invite those wishing to speak in support of Assembly Bill 206 to please come forward.

Thomas D. Dunn, representing the Professional Fire Fighters of Nevada:

Our members are—I hate to use the term—on the front line, but we are in fire stations, we are in your communities every day, 365 days a year, 24 hours a day. We really appreciate this Committee hearing this bill today. We are here in support of our partners at the Division of Emergency Management, and as you can see in some of the testimony you heard earlier, our job is not getting easier; it is getting more difficult. The jungle we currently operate in is changing. When I was hired into the fire service 20 years ago, we did not have active shooter drills. We did not have the large destructive wildland fires that we have today. We have to recognize that the work environment that we are currently in is changing, and we appreciate the Committee for hearing this bill. One thing I definitely want to touch on is that this bill is the identification of behavioral health needs for first responders. That is something that is a continuing need in our career field, and we appreciate the identification in this bill today.

Shani J. Coleman, Deputy Director, Office of Administrative Services, City of Las Vegas:

We, too, support this bill. The City of Las Vegas has a very robust emergency management department. We have a secure facility, and just this week, we engaged the Federal Emergency Management Agency and had federal training for emergency management. We recognize the importance of this. We, too, regularly do drills—active shooter drills, and all types of drills. We feel this is something that is very important and support the bill.

David Cherry, Government Affairs Manager, City of Henderson:

We, too, recognize that coordination and ongoing preparation are essential when emergencies arise, whether they be natural disasters or the tragedies like the October 1 mass casualty event that we hope we never experience again. Our Henderson police and firefighters and our emergency managers recognize that planning is the key to ensuring that we can respond effectively in times of turmoil and play a role in both regional and statewide response efforts. As we have seen, the need for mental and behavioral health services for victims and their families and loved ones and our first responders exists long after the immediate danger has ceased. This legislation will strengthen the ability of our communities to address those

affected by traumatic events and to plan for natural disasters and emergencies. We thank the bill sponsor for bringing this.

Brian McAnallen, representing the City of North Las Vegas; and Nevada Broadcasters Association:

We are also here to support A.B. 206 and appreciate everything that the agency and department have done. I will not mention all of these, but as the memorandum dated March 8 ([Exhibit G](#)) points out to you, there are nine bills, all part of a package. We think the department has done a phenomenal job at trying to restructure and move our state forward where we need to be so we are ready for every incident and every situation that we hope we are never in. The City of North Las Vegas is an active partner with the state. We appreciate their efforts here and look forward to continuing to work with the state. We ask you to pass these bills and move our state forward.

I am also here on behalf of the Nevada Broadcasters Association, which is also viewed as a first responder and part of the Governor's Commission on Homeland Security. It has been a part of designing and reorganizing how we move forward in a coordinated effort, and I just want to go on the record to let you know that the Nevada Broadcasters Association supports these bills as well.

John Steinbeck, Deputy Fire Chief and Emergency Manager, Clark County Fire Department:

We are in support of A.B. 206. I would like to echo the comments that have already been heard that there is great cooperation in planning, training, and exercises between the state and local governments, specifically in southern Nevada that relationship does exist, but I believe that the items being put forth in this bill are going to make that even more robust and make us more prepared.

I also echo the statements of the need for behavioral health, specifically following the October 1 tragedy. Clark County is in support of this bill.

Chair Flores:

Is there anyone else in Las Vegas who would like to testify in support of A.B. 206? [There was no one.] We have no one else in Carson City, so we will move to those wishing to speak in opposition to Assembly Bill 206. [There was no one.] Is there anyone wishing to speak in the neutral position? [There was no one.] We will ask Assemblyman McCurdy to return with any closing remarks.

Assemblyman McCurdy:

As you heard, there is a lot of good work being done. I cannot thank Chief Cage enough for all of his hard work. We are looking forward to continuing to improve our state as it relates to preparedness and resilience. We are going to keep going.

Chair Flores:

I will close the hearing on Assembly Bill 206 and open the hearing for Assembly Bill 71.

Assembly Bill 71: Makes various changes concerning expenditures related to disasters and emergencies. (BDR 31-349)

Caleb S. Cage, Chief, Division of Emergency Management, Homeland Security Advisor, Department of Public Safety:

I am joined in Las Vegas by Deputy Chief John Steinbeck, the emergency manager for Clark County Fire Department. I want to take a brief moment on the previous bill, Assembly Bill 206. I want to thank Assemblyman McCurdy for his leadership and support in helping us develop this vision that you referenced in your remarks and helping us shepherd these bills through this process. You have been a critical and crucial member of what we are trying to do, and I am greatly appreciative of that. Because you provided those remarks, I am going to truncate my remarks because Assembly Bill 71 and A.B. 206 reflect two parts of an overall legislative effort which was referenced previously.

The Statewide Resilience Strategy (Exhibit H) that was referenced by Assemblyman McCurdy required the Division of Emergency Management to conduct a couple of different streamlining efforts. The first, component 1, was to organize our public body structure. The second, component 2, was to organize our grant structure. Component 3 was to look for ways to improve overall, based on lessons learned. One of the lessons we learned from the floods in 2017, the near-record fire season, as well as the tragedy of October 1, was the need to have more flexibility with the disaster accounts that the state oversees: the Disaster Relief Account, which is supported by the Division of Emergency Management but administered by the Interim Finance Committee; the Emergency Assistance Account, which is administered by the Division of Emergency Management; as well as other accounts. This bill, A.B. 71, allows the state, through the Office of the Attorney General, to enter into an agreement with tribal governments in the state in order to allow tribal governments to apply for resources through the Disaster Relief Account. As we saw in the floods in January and February 2017, the emergencies knew no boundaries. Emergencies that affected Washoe County, especially eastern Washoe County, had a tremendous impact on the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe and the Reno-Sparks Indian Colony and others. Currently these tribal governments do not have the ability to seek reimbursement through the state administered Disaster Relief Account.

The second thing that we noticed during this time—although we knew of it at the time, but we noticed through the lessons learned—was the state's inability to support private business owners and private homeowners as we have been able to do in the past during an emergency or disaster. There are federal programs. The state is highly unlikely to qualify for these because of the amount of damages that must be sustained. Having a structured program in the state of Nevada to support private property owners and owner-occupied homes that have sustained damages during a declared emergency or disaster is something that we would like to see brought back. This was something that was established in 2005 and removed in 2011, I believe.

The third component of this is regarding the current Emergency Assistance Account, which is the account overseen by the Division. It is only able to receive an annual distribution of interest from the Disaster Relief Account. When that money runs out during an emergency,

we have to go through a number of efforts in order to get more emergency funding. This would allow for the Emergency Assistance Account to receive funding during an emergency or disaster. There is an issue with this one that was pointed out to us recently by the Office of Finance in the Office of the Governor, which involves the Finance Office in section 4 dealing with the State General Fund accounts that were named in order to provide funding to the Emergency Assistance Account. We are going to continue to work with the Governor's Finance Office on this and make sure we get the language correct. Hopefully, it is the will of this Committee to bring this back as a work session item. We will be recommending an amendment at that time.

Chief Steinbeck and I are happy to answer any questions.

Chair Flores:

I understand there is an issue with section 4, but I did not quite understand what the issue is. Could you please restate that?

Caleb Cage:

The issue that we are trying to address in the amendment that was referenced, currently section 4, subsection 3, of the bill says that if there is an advance from the State General Fund to the Emergency Assistance Account and that cannot be repaid, the shortfall is a contingency from the State General Fund. We believe, based on information from the Governor's Finance Office, that that is not the best way to pursue and to close the option of that not being repaid to the State General Fund and potentially even changing the account from the State General Fund to another emergency fund.

Chair Flores:

Committee members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I would like to invite those wishing to speak in support of Assembly Bill 71 to please come forward.

Thomas D. Dunn, representing the Professional Fire Fighters of Nevada:

We are in support of A.B. 71. Our members provide mutual and automatic aid assistance to several of the tribal organizations within the state of Nevada. As we have talked about previously today, our disasters are growing larger throughout the state and not getting any smaller and so we believe that this disaster fund is good for the entire Nevada community.

Jamie Rodriguez, Government Affairs Manager, Office of the County Manager, Washoe County:

We are here in support of the bill. We appreciate it being brought forward. I will not reiterate the examples that Mr. Cage gave regarding the emergencies that happened in Washoe County and how a bill like this, if it happened again, would be of assistance.

Marcus Conklin, representing the Reno-Sparks Indian Colony:

We, too, are in support of A.B. 71 and would also like to commend the chief and his division for working so closely with tribes on these issues. It is not the first time, and we really appreciate the collaboration.

Chair Flores:

Is there anyone else wishing to speak in support of Assembly Bill 71? My understanding is in Las Vegas, Mr. Steinbeck is there simply to answer any questions, correct?

John Steinbeck, Deputy Fire Chief and Emergency Manager, Clark County Fire Department:

Clark County is in support of this bill.

Chair Flores:

Understood. With that I think I do not see anybody else wishing to speak in support. I would like to invite those wishing to speak in opposition to Assembly Bill 71 to please come forward. [There was no one.] Is there anyone wishing to speak in the neutral position for A.B. 71? [There was no one.] If I could just have any closing remarks you may have.

Caleb Cage:

I appreciate the opportunity to present this and all the support we have had from staff and, of course, the Vice Chair as well. We look forward to continuing to work with you going forward.

Chair Flores:

With nothing else on the agenda, I would like to invite those wishing to speak in public comment to please come forward. [There was no one.] Committee members, is there any discussion? [There was none.]

There being no further business, this meeting is adjourned [at 9:15 a.m.].

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Connie Jo Smith
Committee Secretary

APPROVED BY:

Assemblyman Edgar Flores, Chair

DATE: _____

EXHIBITS

[Exhibit A](#) is the Agenda.

[Exhibit B](#) is the Attendance Roster.

[Exhibit C](#) is a Work Session Document dated March 15, 2019, regarding [Assembly Bill 11](#), presented by Jered McDonald, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit D](#) is a Work Session Document dated March 15, 2019, regarding [Assembly Bill 12](#), presented by Jered McDonald, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit E](#) is a Work Session Document dated March 15, 2019, regarding [Assembly Bill 13](#), presented by Jered McDonald, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit F](#) is a Work Session Document dated March 15, 2019, regarding [Assembly Bill 240](#), presented by Jered McDonald, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel.

[Exhibit G](#) is a document titled Memorandum, dated March 8, 2019, submitted by the Nevada Department of Public Safety, Division of Emergency Management, Homeland Security, presented by Caleb Cage, Chief, Division of Emergency Management, to Chair Edgar Flores, Assembly Government Affairs Committee.