

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING
OF THE
ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY**

**Eighty-First Session
May 7, 2021**

The Committee on Judiciary was called to order by Chairman Steve Yeager at 9:09 a.m. on Friday, May 7, 2021, Online and in Room 3138 of the Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada. Copies of the minutes, including the Agenda ([Exhibit A](#)), the Attendance Roster ([Exhibit B](#)), and other substantive exhibits, are available and on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau and on the Nevada Legislature's website at www.leg.state.nv.us/App/NELIS/REL/81st2021.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Assemblyman Steve Yeager, Chairman
Assemblywoman Rochelle T. Nguyen, Vice Chairwoman
Assemblywoman Lesley E. Cohen
Assemblywoman Cecelia González
Assemblywoman Alexis Hansen
Assemblywoman Melissa Hardy
Assemblywoman Heidi Kasama
Assemblywoman Lisa Krasner
Assemblywoman Elaine Marzola
Assemblyman C.H. Miller
Assemblyman P.K. O'Neill
Assemblyman David Orentlicher
Assemblywoman Shondra Summers-Armstrong

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

Assemblywoman Bilbray-Axelrod (excused)
Assemblyman Wheeler (excused)

GUEST LEGISLATORS PRESENT:

None



STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:

Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst
Ashlee Kalina, Assistant Committee Policy Analyst
Bradley A. Wilkinson, Committee Counsel
Bonnie Borda Hoffecker, Committee Manager
Traci Dory, Committee Secretary
Melissa Loomis, Committee Assistant

OTHERS PRESENT:

Annemarie Grant, Private Citizen, Quincy, Massachusetts

Chairman Yeager:

[Roll was called. Committee rules and protocol were explained.] We have a quorum. Welcome to Day 96 of the 81st Session of the Nevada Legislature. We only have a work session today. We sent out a revised agenda letting you know that Senate Bill 95 has been pulled. Look for that next week. We had an amendment come in a bit late to be able to prepare it for today's meeting. We will take the bills in order as listed on the revised work session agenda. You can find the work session documents on Nevada Electronic Legislative Information System (NELIS).

**Senate Bill 8 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions governing guardianship of minors.
(BDR 13-390)**

Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst:

Senate Bill 8 (1st Reprint) was sponsored by the Senate Committee on Judiciary on behalf of the Nevada Supreme Court and was heard in Committee on April 27, 2021 [read from Exhibit C]. This bill establishes provisions for the transfer of jurisdiction of a guardianship of a juvenile from another state to Nevada and for the recognition of a guardianship order for a juvenile that was issued in another state. It also authorizes a guardian appointed in this state to petition to transfer the guardianship to another state and sets forth provisions regarding court orders that must be issued in such matters, including when a guardianship in another state is terminated and whether a guardianship needs to be modified to comply with Nevada law.

The bill also: (1) revises the definition of “home state” for the purposes of determining the home state of a child less than 6 months of age; (2) authorizes a court to appoint a guardian in this state for a minor whose home state is not Nevada but who is physically present in this

state; and (3) authorizes a court to appoint a guardian of the child, the estate, or both if the court has jurisdiction to make an initial child custody decision under the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (Chapter 125A of *Nevada Revised Statutes*). There are no amendments to this measure.

Chairman Yeager:

Are there any questions on Senate Bill 8 (1st Reprint) as detailed in the work session document? [There were none.] I will take a motion to do pass Senate Bill 8 (1st Reprint).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NGUYEN MADE A MOTION TO DO PASS
SENATE BILL 8 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZÁLEZ SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYMEN BILBRAY-AXELROD AND
WHEELER WERE ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign the floor statement for Senate Bill 8 (1st Reprint) to Assemblywoman Cohen. We will move to Senate Bill 19 (1st Reprint).

Senate Bill 19 (1st Reprint): Establishes provisions authorizing certain entities to obtain information relating to the records of criminal history of certain persons responsible for the safety and well-being of children, elderly persons or persons with disabilities. (BDR 14-336)

Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst:

Senate Bill 19 (1st Reprint) was sponsored by the Senate Committee on Judiciary on behalf of the Records, Communications and Compliance Division of the Department of Public Safety and was heard in this Committee on April 21, 2021 [read from [Exhibit D](#)]. This bill establishes provisions in accordance with federal law that allow certain qualified entities to obtain information on the records of criminal history of employees, volunteers, applicants, and other covered individuals of the entity who have access to children, elderly persons, or disabled persons. Such a qualified entity must create an account with the Central Repository for Nevada Records of Criminal History, provide any person subject to these requirements written notification of his or her rights, and obtain a signed waiver prior to conducting a records screening. The person's fingerprints must be submitted to the Central Repository and forwarded to the Federal Bureau of Investigation as part of this process. Qualified entities must determine, after receiving information under these provisions, whether the person is fit

to have access to vulnerable persons. The bill contains certain liability protections for the qualified entity and the state, including its political subdivisions, agencies, and employees, regarding this process, and authorizes the Central Repository to audit any qualified entity that submits a request for screening for compliance with all applicable state and federal laws. There are no amendments for this measure.

Chairman Yeager:

Are there any questions on Senate Bill 19 (1st Reprint)?

Assemblyman O'Neill:

Is there anyone on the line from the Department of Public Safety (DPS)? I have a question on the fees. How much of that goes to the state, and how much of it goes to the federal government for the expense of processing fingerprints?

Chairman Yeager:

It does not appear that we have anyone from the Records, Communications and Compliance Division on the Zoom meeting.

Assemblyman O'Neill:

I will call them and talk to them.

Chairman Yeager:

Just so everyone knows, we do not have floor session today, so these bills will not be reported to the floor until Monday. The earliest this could get a vote on the floor would be Tuesday or Wednesday, so there is time to get those questions answered. Are there additional questions on Senate Bill 19 (1st Reprint)? [There were none.] I will take a motion to do pass Senate Bill 19 (1st Reprint).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NGUYEN MADE A MOTION TO DO PASS
SENATE BILL 19 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MARZOLA SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there discussion on the motion?

Assemblywoman Hansen:

I will vote for the measure in Committee, but I would like to have some questions answered. I will reserve my right to change my vote on the floor of the Assembly.

Assemblywoman Hardy:

I will do the same. I will vote yes out of Committee [and reserve the right to change my vote on the floor of the Assembly].

Assemblyman O'Neill:

I received a text from DPS. They apologize for not being online. I will get with them afterwards. For now, I will be a yes, and reserve my right to change my vote on the floor.

Chairman Yeager:

Are there any further remarks on Senate Bill 19 (1st Reprint)? I have a "ditto" from Assemblywoman Kasama. I do not see any further discussion. The motion is to do pass Senate Bill 19 (1st Reprint).

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN KRASNER VOTED NO.
ASSEMBLYMEN BILBRAY-AXELROD AND WHEELER WERE
ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign the floor statement to Assemblywoman Marzola.

We will go next to Senate Bill 32.

Senate Bill 32: Makes various changes relating to offenders with substance use or co-occurring disorders. (BDR 16-327)

Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst:

Senate Bill 32 was sponsored by the Senate Committee on Judiciary on behalf of the Department of Corrections and was heard in Committee on April 15, 2021 [read from [Exhibit E](#)]. This bill eliminates the requirement that the director of the Department of Corrections establish therapeutic communities for offenders with substance use disorders. Instead, the director is required to establish treatment programs for offenders with substance use or co-occurring disorders. Additionally, the bill: (1) authorizes, rather than requires, the director to segregate offenders who are assigned to treatment programs from those who are not assigned to them; (2) authorizes offenders assigned to such programs to be taken outside a correctional institution or facility for treatment; and (3) revises the required period of participation in a program of treatment or aftercare from one year to a minimum of five months for treatment and a minimum of three months for aftercare, as appropriate. There are no amendments for this measure.

Chairman Yeager:

Are there any questions on Senate Bill 32 as detailed in the work session document? [There were none.] I will take a motion to do pass Senate Bill 32.

ASSEMBLYMAN O'NEILL MOVED TO DO PASS SENATE BILL 32.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NGUYEN SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYMEN BILBRAY-AXELROD AND WHEELER WERE ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign the floor statement to Assemblyman Orentlicher.

We will go next to Senate Bill 42.

Senate Bill 42: Revises provisions relating to certain court rules and decisions. (BDR 1-389)

Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst:

Senate Bill 42 was sponsored by the Senate Committee on Judiciary on behalf of the Nevada Supreme Court and was heard in Committee on April 14, 2021 [read from [Exhibit F](#)]. This bill removes the requirement that the rules of the Nevada Supreme Court and district courts be included in the *Nevada Revised Statutes* and requires instead that the Supreme Court print these documents and distribute them in either pamphlet or electronic format according to statutory requirements. The bill also transfers from the State Printing Office within the Legal Division of the Legislative Counsel Bureau to the Supreme Court the responsibility for printing and distributing the Advance Opinions of the Court and the Nevada Reports in the same manner. Funds generated by the sale of these documents are to be deposited in the State General Fund for the exclusive use of the Nevada Supreme Court. The bill also eliminates a statutory requirement for the Nevada Supreme Court to train district court judges on complex medical malpractice issues. There are no amendments.

Chairman Yeager:

Are there any questions on Senate Bill 42 as detailed in the work session document? [There were none.] I am looking for a motion to do pass.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN KASAMA MADE A MOTION TO DO PASS
SENATE BILL 42.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SUMMERS-ARMSTRONG SECONDED THE
MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYMEN BILBRAY-AXELROD AND
WHEELER WERE ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign the floor statement to Assemblywoman Krasner.

Members, if you are looking at an older agenda, you might see Senate Bill 95, but that will be on next week's agenda. We will take Senate Bill 108 (1st Reprint) next.

**Senate Bill 108 (1st Reprint): Establishes provisions relating to juvenile justice.
(BDR 5-549)**

Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst:

Senate Bill 108 (1st Reprint) was sponsored by the Senate Committee on Judiciary on behalf of the Nevada Youth Legislature and was heard in Committee on April 23, 2021 [read from [Exhibit G](#)]. This bill requires that instruction relating to implicit bias and cultural competency be provided to any person who is employed in the juvenile justice system and who has routine contact with juveniles in their work, including employees of regional facilities for treatment and rehabilitation. The impact of trauma and adverse childhood experiences on decision-making and behavior must be included in the training. Regulations governing the implementation of such training must be developed by the Division of Child and Family Services of the Department of Health and Human Services, and responsibility for providing the training rests with an individual's employer. The Nevada Supreme Court is authorized to provide by rule for training for any magistrate, judge, master, or employee in the juvenile court system who routinely interacts with system-involved juveniles. There are no amendments to this measure.

Chairman Yeager:

Are there any questions on Senate Bill 108 (1st Reprint) as detailed in the work session document? [There were none.] I will take a motion to do pass.

ASSEMBLYMAN MILLER MADE A MOTION TO DO PASS
SENATE BILL 108 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SUMMERS-ARMSTRONG SECONDED THE
MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion?

Assemblywoman Hansen:

I was not here when we had the hearing on Senate Bill 108 (1st Reprint), so I watched the hearing. I will vote yes to move this out of Committee. I wanted to put on the record that we do this already, but I am grateful for the new piece, the adverse childhood experience trauma portion. Sometimes the narrative was concerning because there is great work that is done in the juvenile justice system in our state. Those individuals are very committed. I think sometimes in these hearings some broad strokes can be made. I took offense at that and want to get on the record that I know all of us on this Committee know nothing is perfect and we are looking to do our best to help systems be reformed, but in doing so, I hope we also have an appreciation for all those individuals who are committed to the youth of Nevada. I will vote yes in Committee.

Chairman Yeager:

Thank you, Assemblywoman Hansen, that was very well stated. I think we agree that most, if not nearly all, of the folks in the system are doing the best they can with what they have. I think the hope here is that with some additional training to make some of these matters a little clearer, we can improve, but we certainly share your appreciation for those who day in and day out try to do the best they can with their juvenile population.

Is there any further discussion on Senate Bill 108 (1st Reprint)? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYMEN BILBRAY-AXELROD AND
WHEELER WERE ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign the floor statement on Senate Bill 108 (1st Reprint) to Assemblywoman González.

We have a few more bills. We will go next to Senate Bill 148 (1st Reprint).

Senate Bill 148 (1st Reprint): Establishes provisions regarding the reporting of hate crimes. (BDR 15-715)

Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst:

Senate Bill 148 (1st Reprint) was sponsored by Senator Dallas Harris and was heard in Committee on April 23, 2021 [read from [Exhibit H](#)]. This bill requires state and local law enforcement agencies in Nevada to submit information regarding hate crimes to the Central Repository for Nevada Records of Criminal History monthly. The Central Repository is to

ensure the information is provided to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for inclusion in the annual Hate Crime Statistics report and that the information is made publicly available. Any data acquired under the provisions of this bill may be used only for research or statistical purposes and must not contain any of a victim's identifying information. The bill also requires the director of the Department of Public Safety to adopt guidelines regarding the manner in which this data is to be reported to the Central Repository. There are no amendments.

Chairman Yeager:

Are there any questions on Senate Bill 148 (1st Reprint) as detailed in the work session document? [There were none.] I am looking for a motion to do pass.

ASSEMBLYMAN MILLER MADE A MOTION TO DO PASS
SENATE BILL 148 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZÁLEZ SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on Senate Bill 148 (1st Reprint)?

Assemblywoman Hansen:

This is another bill I did not get to hear. It was heard the same day as the previous one. I watched the hearing. I am a fan of Senator Harris. I will vote yes in Committee. The bill seems duplicative to have this. We did the panic defense bill, which I supported last session [Senate Bill 97 of the 80th Session]. I will vote yes in Committee [but reserve the right to change my vote on the floor of the Assembly]. Thank you for this chance to put that on the record.

Chairman Yeager:

Is there further discussion on Senate Bill 148 (1st Reprint)? [There was none.] The motion is to do pass Senate Bill 148 (1st Reprint).

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYMEN BILBRAY-AXELROD AND
WHEELER WERE ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign the floor statement to Assemblyman Miller.

That takes us to Senate Bill 161.

Senate Bill 161: Eliminates the Advisory Committee to Study Laws Concerning Sex Offender Registration and transfers its duties to the Advisory Commission on the Administration of Justice. (BDR 14-514)

Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst:

Senate Bill 161 was sponsored by the Senate Committee on Judiciary on behalf of the Sunset Subcommittee of the Legislative Commission and was heard in Committee on April 15, 2021 [read from [Exhibit I](#)]. This bill eliminates the Advisory Committee to Study Laws Concerning Sex Offender Registration and transfers its duties to the Advisory Commission on the Administration of Justice. Any funds remaining in the Committee's account are also transferred to the Commission. There are no amendments.

Chairman Yeager:

Are there any questions on Senate Bill 161 as detailed in the work session document? [There were none.] We are looking for a motion to do pass.

ASSEMBLYMAN O'NEILL MOVED TO DO PASS SENATE BILL 161.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NGUYEN SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYMEN BILBRAY-AXELROD AND WHEELER WERE ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign the floor statement on Senate Bill 161 to Assemblyman O'Neill.

We will take Senate Bill 332 (1st Reprint) next.

Senate Bill 332 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions relating to structured settlements. (BDR 3-960)

Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst:

Senate Bill 332 (1st Reprint) was sponsored Senator Melanie Scheible and was heard in Committee on April 28, 2021 [read from [Exhibit J](#)]. This bill replaces current statutory provisions governing structured settlements wherein a payee transfers the right to receive payments for damages from a tort claim or workers' compensation claim to a structured settlement purchase company. These companies are required to register with the Consumer Affairs Division of the Department of Business and Industry and obtain a surety bond or other guarantee in the amount of \$50,000. The bill also sets forth prohibitions against certain behavior by these companies and their employees and provides a private right of action to payees in the event a person engages in prohibited activities. The bill sets out filings a company must make and findings a court must make before a transfer can occur. The bill also sets forth the rights of interested parties after a transfer has occurred and protections for payees. The bill applies only to transfer agreements entered into on or after October 1, 2021. There is one amendment to this bill. Jack Kelly, National Association of Settlement Purchasers, proposed an amendment that makes certain technical changes. The amendment is on the following pages for your review.

Chairman Yeager:

Committee, as you will note, we have Mr. Kelly on the Zoom with us. This is probably the first time you are seeing the amendment. I believe it makes technical changes to the bill. Mr. Kelly is present if anybody has questions on Senate Bill 332 (1st Reprint) as detailed in the work session document. Does anyone have questions? [There were none.] At this time, I will take a motion to amend and do pass Senate Bill 332 (1st Reprint).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NGUYEN MADE A MOTION TO AMEND AND DO PASS SENATE BILL 332 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN KASAMA SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.] The motion is to amend and do pass.

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYMEN BILBRAY-AXELROD AND WHEELER WERE ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will take the floor statement on Senate Bill 332 (1st Reprint). Thank you for being here with us, Mr. Kelly.

That takes us to our last bill on today's work session, Senate Bill 400.

Senate Bill 400: Makes various changes to certain unlawful acts relating to consumer protection. (BDR 51-1101)

Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst:

Senate Bill 400 was sponsored by the Senate Committee on Finance on behalf of the Office of Finance in the Office of the Governor and was heard in Committee on April 16, 2021 [read from Exhibit K]. This bill revises the penalties for certain unlawful acts relating to weights and measures, public weighing, petroleum products, and advertisements of motor vehicle fuel and petroleum products. The State Sealer of Consumer Equitability is required to adopt regulations establishing a schedule of civil penalties for the commission of certain unlawful acts relating to petroleum products. Finally, the bill establishes procedures for an administrative hearing if requested by a person who is subject to such a civil penalty. There are no amendments.

Chairman Yeager:

This was one of my favorite hearings in the Assembly Committee on Judiciary because it was such a different topic area than we are used to hearing in terms of weights and measures and petroleum and all of that. Hopefully, that refreshes everyone's memory. Does anyone have questions about Senate Bill 400 as enumerated on the work session document? [There was no one.] I am looking for a motion to do pass Senate Bill 400.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NGUYEN MADE A MOTION TO DO PASS
SENATE BILL 400.

ASSEMBLYMAN MILLER SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYMEN BILBRAY-AXELROD AND
WHEELER WERE ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign the floor statement to Assemblywoman Hansen.

That takes us through the work session document, bringing us to the final item on our agenda—public comment. We reserve up to 30 minutes for public comment at the end of each meeting. Public commenters will have two minutes to provide public comment. Public comment is a time to raise matters of a general nature within the jurisdiction of the Assembly Committee on Judiciary.

Annemarie Grant, Private Citizen, Quincy, Massachusetts:

My brother, Thomas Purdy, was 38 years old when he was murdered by Reno police and the Washoe County Sheriff's Office during a mental health crisis. Yesterday I talked about Stanley Gibson, who was a veteran. I spoke about Kenneth Stafford. He also was a veteran, and he was killed July 11, 2013, by Sparks and Reno police. Erik Scott was a veteran. He was killed July 10, 2010, by Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD). Rex Wilson was a veteran. He was killed October 12, 2016, by LVMPD. Owen Earl Barton was also a veteran who served in the Vietnam War. He was killed on January 16, 2020. What I would like to mention about Owen's family is that they were not impacted by police violence once, but twice. Owen's daughter's 13-year-old autistic son, Linden Cameron, was shot by the Salt Lake City Police Department just seven months after her father was killed in Nevada by police.

I want to thank you all for your hard work. I will keep it short today. Have a nice weekend.

Chairman Yeager:

We hope you have a nice weekend as well. Are there any other callers for public comment? [There were none.] I will now close public comment.

Is there anything else from Committee members this morning? I do not see anything else from Committee members. As I noted yesterday, we have heard all the bills that we currently have in the Assembly Committee on Judiciary. There is a possibility we could get more bills next week, but we do not have a floor session today, so I can pretty safely say we will not have a Judiciary Committee meeting on Monday. Tuesday is looking pretty doubtful. We will have one or two meetings next week for work sessions as there are still some bills we need to process. If we are waiting on amendments for your bills, please get those to us as quickly as possible. A week from today [May 14, 2021] is our deadline to get

bills out of the second house committee. I am sure we will meet at least on Friday, and probably once more during the week. Stay tuned to your email to know when our next meeting will be. My guess is it will be on Wednesday [May 12, 2021], but we will see what happens.

I hope everyone has a great weekend. Happy early Mother's Day to everyone. We will see you back in this Committee sometime next week. This meeting is adjourned [at 9:36 a.m.].

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Traci Dory
Recording Secretary

Joan Waldock
Transcribing Secretary

APPROVED BY:

Assemblyman Steve Yeager, Chairman

DATE: _____

EXHIBITS

[Exhibit A](#) is the Agenda.

[Exhibit B](#) is the Attendance Roster.

[Exhibit C](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 8 \(1st Reprint\)](#), presented by Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit D](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 19 \(1st Reprint\)](#), presented by Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit E](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 32](#), presented by Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit F](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 42](#), presented by Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit G](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 108 \(1st Reprint\)](#), presented by Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit H](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 148 \(1st Reprint\)](#), presented by Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit I](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 161](#), presented by Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit J](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 332 \(1st Reprint\)](#), presented by Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit K](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 400](#), presented by Diane C. Thornton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.