BDR S-406
AB 63

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 22, 2023

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2022-23	Fiscal Year 2023-24	Fiscal Year 2024-25	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation (Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 63 / BDR S - 406

City/County: **Lyon County**
Approved by: Josh Foli, Comptroller
Comment: This appears to only require existing staff's travel costs for meetings.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	$0	$500	$0	$0

City/County: **Washoe County**
Approved by: Cadence Matijevich, Government Affairs Liaison
Comment: Washoe County does not anticipate any financial impact as a result of this bill. It is expected that Washoe County's cooperation and collaboration with the Director of the State Department of Transportation in accordance with the provisions of the bill can be accomplished within existing resources.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **City of Reno**
Approved by: Jordan Hosmer-Henner, Urban Economist
Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	$0	$0	$0	$0

City/County: **City of Sparks**
Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Chief Financial Officer
Comment: This bill doesn't mandate cost sharing, but rather allows for cost sharing on a voluntary basis. Therefore, there should be no fiscal impact unless done so voluntarily.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	$0	$0	$0	$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Storey County and City of Fernley.