

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE
ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS
AND
SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
SUBCOMMITTEES ON K-12/HIGHER EDUCATION/CIP**

**Eighty-Third Session
May 1, 2025**

The joint meeting of the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means and Senate Committee on Finance Subcommittees on K-12/Higher Education/CIP was called to order by Chair Shea M. Backus at 8:15 a.m. on Thursday, May 1, 2025, in Room 3137 of the Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada. The meeting was videoconferenced to Room 2 of the Nevada Legislature Hearing Rooms, 7120 Amigo Street, Las Vegas, Nevada. Copies of the minutes, including the Agenda [[Exhibit A](#)], the Attendance Roster [[Exhibit B](#)], and other substantive exhibits, are available and on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau and on the Nevada Legislature's website at www.leg.state.nv.us/App/NELIS/REL/83rd2025. Link to [Executive Budget](#).

ASSEMBLY SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Assemblymember Shea M. Backus, Chair
Assemblymember Sandra Jauregui, Vice Chair
Assemblymember Gregory T. Hafen II
Assemblymember Gregory S. Koenig
Assemblymember Daniele Monroe-Moreno
Assemblymember PK O'Neill
Assemblymember Selena Torres-Fossett
Assemblymember Steve Yeager

SENATE SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Senator Marilyn Dondero Loop, Chair
Senator Nicole J. Cannizzaro
Senator Dina Neal
Senator Carrie Ann Buck
Senator Jeff Stone

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

None

GUEST LEGISLATORS PRESENT:

None



STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:

Diane C. Thornton, Acting Director
Wayne Thorley, Senate Fiscal Analyst
Adam Drost, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst
Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst
Justin Luna, Program Analyst
Natalia Jordan, Committee Manager
Joi Guthrie, Committee Manager
Janice Wright, Committee Secretary
Lisa McAlister, Committee Assistant

OTHERS PRESENT:

Kent M. Ervin, Director of Governmental Relations, Nevada Faculty Alliance
Jaina Moan, External Affairs Director, The Nature Conservancy
Doug Unger, UNLV Chapter President/Government Relations, Nevada Faculty Alliance
Amy Pason, Chair, Government Relations Committee, Nevada Faculty Alliance

Chair Backus:

[Roll was called. Subcommittees' rules and protocols were explained.] We will make recommendations to the full Committees for budget closings for the Legislative Counsel Bureau, State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Office of the State Treasurer, and Department of Administration, Capital Improvement Program. We have bill presentations going on in other committees, and our members may be stepping out at different times.

**LEGISLATIVE BRANCH
LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

Diane C. Thornton, Acting Director:

The Legislative Branch's funding requests and one-shots were discussed in the Subcommittees' hearing on March 21, 2025 [[Exhibit C](#)]. The total funding request for the Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB) is approximately \$233.8 million. As detailed in the presentation in March, improvements to the Legislative Building are critical to ensuring the continuity of government. The most pressing needs involve basic safety, seismic retrofitting, asbestos abatement, and fire safety and suppression. In addition, the building's heating, ventilation, and air conditioning and electrical distribution systems have reached the end of their lives. The phone system is obsolete and no longer serviceable.

Constructing a new office building to replace the current Sedway Office Building is an essential part of serving the needs of the Legislature. It has significant deferred maintenance needs and does not provide adequate space or infrastructure for our modern legislative workforce. We are proposing the construction of a new parking structure to accommodate the needs of staff, legislators, and visitors.

To fund the capital improvement projects, the LCB recommends State General Fund appropriations of approximately \$33.8 million related to capital improvements and operation projects. In addition, the LCB recommends the balance of \$191.9 million to be financed through the issuance of general obligation bonds. The LCB also recommends a one-time General Fund appropriation totaling \$7.1 million over the biennium related to information technology services and operations. The LCB also recommends dues for registration costs to national organizations of approximately \$1.1 million. That concludes my presentation.

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will accept a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF A STATE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATION OF \$33.9 MILLION AND THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TOTALING \$191.9 MILLION FOR TOTAL FINANCING OF \$225.7 MILLION TO FUND THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS OF THE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH, AND APPROVAL OF A GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATION OF \$8.1 MILLION FOR LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS, EQUIPMENT, AND DUES AND REGISTRATION COSTS FOR NATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AS OUTLINED IN [EXHIBIT C](#) FROM DIRECTOR THORNTON, AND THIS APPROPRIATION BE INCLUDED IN THE 2025 APPROPRIATIONS ACT.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion?

Assemblymember Hafen:

I understand this is bonds, and it is important. I would have preferred to have deferred this to the full Committees knowing the Economic Forum numbers are coming out today, I am going to be a soft no vote today, but I want to see the Economic Forum numbers. Hopefully, they are better than anticipated, and it will be easy when we get to the full Committees.

Chair Backus:

Do we have any further discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS BUCK AND STONE AND ASSEMBLYMEMBER HAFEN VOTED NO. ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'NEILL WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

We will move to the next item.

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
STATE DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES
BONDS FOR CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES
VOLUME III, APPENDIX - 8**

Justin Luna, Program Analyst:

I will be walking you through the general obligation bond programs managed by the State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR) [[Exhibit D](#)]. The DCNR administers four resource protection programs funded through general obligation bonds: the Conservation Bond Program, the Lake Tahoe Environmental Improvement Program (EIP), the Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation Grant Program, and the Water Infrastructure Grant Program. The amounts recommended fall within the *General Obligation Debt Capacity and Affordability Report 2025-2027 Biennium* issued by the Office of the State Treasurer. These four programs were heard by the Subcommittees on April 2, 2025.

CONSERVATION BOND PROGRAM

Justin Luna, Program Analyst:

The first bond program is the Conservation Bond Program [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Executive Budget recommends the issuance of \$63.4 million in general obligation bonds for the Conservation Bond Program also known as Conserve Nevada [[Exhibit E](#)]. During a presession hearing of the Legislative Commission's Budget Subcommittee on January 29, 2025, the agency shared a tentative plan for distribution of the recommended \$63.4 million for the Conserve Nevada bond program. That breakdown is in your closing packet for reference. The Conserve Nevada program requires 10 percent matching funds for any approved projects with many of the awarded partners contributing well beyond the match requirement, which multiplies the impact of the projects across the state according to the agency.

The decision for the Subcommittees is in your closing packet. Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of the Governor's recommended issuances of general obligation bonds of \$63.4 million over the 2025-2027 biennium for the State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources Conservation Bond Program?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED ISSUANCES OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF \$63.4 MILLION OVER THE 2025-2027 BIENNIUM FOR THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION BOND PROGRAM.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'NEILL WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

[\[Exhibit F\]](#) was submitted but not discussed and will become part of the record.]

We will move to the next item.

LAKE TAHOE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Justin Luna, Program Analyst:

The Lake Tahoe Environmental Improvement Program (EIP) is managed by the State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR) [\[Exhibit D\]](#). The Executive Budget recommends the issuance of \$23 million in general obligation bonds for the Lake Tahoe EIP. However, the Division of State Lands, DCNR has since requested to reduce the amount of the issuance to \$10.5 million due to a delay in one of the planned projects.

The Division of State Lands is responsible for coordinating the development and implementation of Nevada's share of projects in cooperation with other state agencies. Projects are managed by the Nevada Tahoe Resource Team consisting of staff from the DCNR divisions including the Division of State Lands, Division of State Parks, Division of Forestry, and also the Department of Wildlife.

During the budget hearing, the agency clarified the amount of general obligation bonds needed over the 2025-2027 biennium to fund environmental improvement projects in the Lake Tahoe Basin is \$10.5 million. Senate Bill 83 (1st Reprint) acts as enabling legislation to authorize the issuances. The bill has been rereferred to the Senate Committee on Finance.

The decision for the Subcommittees is in your closing packet. Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of the issuance of general obligation bonds totaling \$10.5 million over the 2025-2027 biennium for the Lake Tahoe Environmental Improvement Program based on the revised plan identified by the Division of State Lands, DCNR contingent upon the passage and approval of enabling legislation?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TOTALING \$10.5 MILLION OVER THE 2025-2027 BIENNIUM FOR THE LAKE TAHOE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM BASED ON THE REVISED PLAN IDENTIFIED BY THE DIVISION OF STATE LANDS, STATE DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES CONTINGENT UPON THE PASSAGE AND APPROVAL OF ENABLING LEGISLATION.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'NEILL WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

We will move to the next item.

CULTURAL CENTERS AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION GRANT PROGRAM

Justin Luna, Program Analyst:

The Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation Grant Program is in your closing packet [\[Exhibit D\]](#). The Executive Budget recommends the issuance of \$6 million in general obligation bonds for the Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation Grant Program. *Nevada Revised Statutes* limits the issuance of general obligation bonds not to exceed \$3 million per year to fund historic preservation projects. During the budget hearing on April 2, 2025, the agency indicated the bond issuances would be allocated through a competitive grant process.

The decision for the Subcommittees is in your closing packet. Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of the Governor's recommended issuances of general obligation bonds of \$3 million in each fiscal year of the 2025-2027 biennium for the Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation Grant Program?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED ISSUANCES OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF \$3 MILLION IN EACH FISCAL YEAR OF THE 2025-2027 BIENNIUM FOR THE CULTURAL CENTERS AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION GRANT PROGRAM.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'NEILL WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

We will move to the next item.

WATER INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT PROGRAM

Justin Luna, Program Analyst:

The final bond program managed by the State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources is in your closing packet [\[Exhibit D\]](#). The Executive Budget recommends the issuance of \$33.9 million in general obligation bonds for the Water Infrastructure Grant Program. These projects are awarded by the Board for Financing Water Projects. During the budget hearing, the agency indicated these funds are often leveraged with federal and local funding to fully finance the planning and construction of large water capital improvement projects.

The decision for the Subcommittees is in your closing packet. Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of the Governor's recommended issuances of general obligation bonds of \$33.9 million over the 2025-2027 biennium for the Water Infrastructure Grant Program?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED ISSUANCES OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF \$33.9 MILLION OVER THE 2025-2027 BIENNIUM FOR THE WATER INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT PROGRAM.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'NEILL WAS
ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

We will move to the next budget.

ELECTED OFFICIALS
TREASURER - NEVADA STATE INFRASTRUCTURE BANK (101-4672)
BUDGET PAGE APPENDIX -8
GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND CLOSING

Adam Drost, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

I will be walking the Subcommittees through the closing document for the Nevada State Infrastructure Bank, the general obligation bond closing [[Exhibit D](#)]. This was heard by the Subcommittees on February 20, 2025. The Governor recommends \$150 million in general obligation bonds for the Nevada State Infrastructure Bank, which is administered by the Office of the State Treasurer. This would be used to fund two purposes. The first is \$75 million for the Community Infrastructure Grant Program to support rural housing and statewide economic development. An additional \$75 million would be provided for loans and other financial assistance to qualified borrowers to support eligible projects for Bank funding as defined in *Nevada Revised Statutes* Chapter 226.

As background, the 81st Session of the Legislature authorized the issuance of \$75 million in general obligation bonds. Over the 2023-2024 Interim, the Bank issued loans totaling \$74.5 million for various projects. The general obligation bonds recommended by the Governor would be repaid through the state's property tax collections, consistent with the prior bond funding of \$75 million. The Office of the State Treasurer indicates the bond sale would occur in the fall of 2025.

Regarding the bond funding of \$75 million for the Community Infrastructure Grant Program, the Governor recommends this funding be provided for grants or forgivable loans to qualified borrowers to support rural housing projects. The Governor's proposed Economic Development Policy Reform Act, which was introduced yesterday as [Senate Bill 461](#), would provide the framework for this program.

According to the staff from the Office of Economic Development, Office of the Governor (GOED), [S.B. 461](#) would establish a Community Infrastructure Grant Program account to award funding and accrue interest. This would be a nonreverting account. This account would be used to award grants to entities to facilitate the expansion or relocation of businesses within the state and address a critical housing shortage in rural areas. The GOED would not use more than 50 percent of the total funds allocated in the account to provide grants to rural housing projects. Rural is defined as counties whose population is less than 100,000 or an incorporated city whose population is less than 60,000.

Qualified entities would be regional development authorities, local governments seeking financial assistance, as well as entities which have executed an agreement with the local government for the development of a rural housing project. This funding would be used to construct rural housing projects as well as qualified infrastructure projects such as utility infrastructure, transportation infrastructure, or the acquisition of land or site preparation. The GOED must consult with the Housing Division, Department of Business and Industry and local governments to establish an application process with the application detail provided in the bullets in your closing packet. If a project is part of a revenue-producing enterprise, it would not be eligible for this funding.

In coordination with the Nevada State Infrastructure Bank Board of Directors, GOED would monitor project progress, require regular reporting, and conduct audits. The Office indicates it would also have a clawback provision. The GOED indicates the Governor's proposed legislation is intended to provide \$25 million for the Community Infrastructure Grant Program account. That would be the first source of funding to be used for the Program. However, State General Fund appropriations will be considered along with S.B. 461 funding.

The decision for the Subcommittees can be found in your closing packet. Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend the issuance of general obligation bonds of \$75 million for the Nevada State Infrastructure Bank to provide forgivable loans through the Community Infrastructure Grant Program contingent upon the passage and approval of Bill Draft Request 32-1070, which is Senate Bill 461, or other enabling legislation?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions?

Assemblymember Monroe-Moreno:

For clarification, the Nevada State Infrastructure Bank has done some amazing work on projects across the state. We started with \$75 million. Will those dollars be repaid to the Bank?

Adam Drost:

That is correct. The principal and origination loan payments and interest earnings all cycle back to the Bank to be used for future loans.

Assemblymember Monroe-Moreno:

The request before us today would be an additional \$75 million on top of the original \$75 million, and the interest that money would bring back in.

Adam Drost:

Correct. This first tranche of dollars would be used for this program for an additional \$75 million. This would be forgivable loans for this first tranche of dollars, so no repayments generally.

Assemblymember Monroe-Moreno:

We know we have the Economic Forum later today. If we were not to approve this item today, we could still add those dollars in S.B. 461 if we find we need or are able to utilize more bonds and save General Fund dollars. We could address this matter in the bill after the Economic Forum when we have a better idea of where we are.

Senator Cannizzaro:

Obviously, what is being presented to us today is to decide about allocating bonding capacity through the Infrastructure Bank as it relates to Senate Bill 461. There are additional conversations relating to the Governor's Assembly bill pertaining to housing. There are many ongoing discussions about what may happen with those two bills and where we may end up. It is premature at this point to approve this decision not knowing where those bills stand, especially because Senate Bill 461 was just introduced and referred to committee yesterday on the Senate side. It would be prudent for us, piggybacking on Assemblymember Monroe-Moreno's comments in light of the Economic Forum, to not approve this particular item and allow for those conversations to happen with respect to Senate Bill 461 and the Governor's housing bill, to see where we end up as it relates to some of these ongoing proposals, and then be able to make those decisions as we address legislation separately.

Assemblymember Yeager:

I agree with those comments. I do not think this decision should be seen as a no; it really is a deferral until we get more information about how those other two bills the Governor is proposing are going to play out. As Senator Cannizzaro noted, one of the bills was introduced yesterday. Discussions are still ongoing with the Governor on those bills. I also have concerns shared by my colleagues about how exactly forgivable loans from the State Infrastructure Bank would work when we are talking about bonding capacity and what impact that may have on the state. Those questions are being worked on, and they are unresolved at the moment. I agree with the notion we ought to not approve this but continue discussions, and we have other vehicles to do this if we decide this is the right thing to do for the state.

Assemblymember Hafen:

I wanted to disclose I operate a water and sewer company. A section of these loans does deal with the extension of utility infrastructure. However, it is my understanding this is for government agencies, general improvement districts, et cetera, and therefore I will be participating, but I did want to disclose that on the record.

Chair Backus:

Thank you for the disclosure.

Members, are there any comments or questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO NOT RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF \$75 MILLION FOR THE NEVADA STATE INFRASTRUCTURE BANK TO PROVIDE FORGIVABLE LOANS THROUGH THE COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT PROGRAM CONTINGENT UPON THE PASSAGE AND APPROVAL OF BILL DRAFT REQUEST 32-1070, WHICH IS SENATE BILL 461, OR OTHER ENABLING LEGISLATION.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR BUCK VOTED NO. ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'NEILL WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

We will move to the next item.

BOND FUNDING OF \$75 MILLION FOR ELIGIBLE BANK PROJECTS

Adam Drost, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The second item is bond funding of \$75 million for eligible Nevada State Infrastructure Bank projects. The Office of the State Treasurer indicates this remaining \$75 million would be utilized to provide loans and other financial assistance to qualified borrowers to support eligible projects for Bank funding. Pursuant to *Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS) Chapter 226, this could be used for transportation facilities, utility infrastructure, or infrastructure related to economic development among other items. In the 2023-2024 Interim, the Bank provided funding totaling \$74.5 million, which is shown on the table in your closing packet [[Exhibit D](#)]. This is broken down by various project categories including affordable housing, K-12 school facilities, social infrastructure, and utility infrastructure with the percentage of total funding provided on the right column of the table.

Pursuant to NRS 226.790, the Bank is allowed to provide loans without review or approval by the Legislature or the Interim Finance Committee. However, during the budget hearing on February 20, 2025, the Office of the State Treasurer indicated the Subcommittees could provide its prioritization regarding how this funding should be utilized if approved. Fiscal staff has provided the bullets in your closing packet, listing the various categories of funding if the Subcommittees so wish to provide direction to the Office.

The decision for the Subcommittees can be found in your closing packet. Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend the issuance of general obligation bonds of \$75 million over the 2025-2027 biennium for the Nevada State Infrastructure Bank to provide funding for eligible projects as defined in NRS 226.715; and if the issuance of general obligation bonds

is recommended for approval, do the Subcommittees also wish to recommend priorities for the allocation of this funding?

Chair Backus:

Do we have any discussion or questions for Mr. Drost regarding this item?

Assemblymember Hafen:

I want to make the same disclosure. I operate a water and sewer company. It is my understanding these funds are not available. Therefore, I will be voting on the matter.

Chair Backus:

I know this follows in line still with looking at other bills.

Assemblymember Monroe-Moreno:

I agree with you, and this is something that should be discussed later at full Committees. As we look at the list of priorities, I looked over the highlights, and we are studying them. I would like to see a bit more oversight from the Interim Finance Committee as we move forward with it. It is something we should not approve today but readdress at the full Committees. Just a thought.

Senator Cannizzaro:

There are many moving pieces on this. We have been sitting in these Subcommittees and in the full Committees talking about how we are trying to maintain current programming, cutting new and expanding programs, positions, and projects. There needs to be some more conversation, especially knowing the previous discussion on the \$75 million as it relates to Senate Bill 461, and this additional \$75 million. I understand our general obligation bonds would fall into the Nevada State Infrastructure Bank as money that would be allotted to the Infrastructure Bank for currently unknown projects. There are still questions about whether we should allot that money where we sit right this moment. We can use that money to shift bonding capacity for these other projects we are going to talk about today from the other Capital Improvement Program (CIP) that we have been doing and free up State General Fund to allow us to continue current ongoing programs. We will know more today after the Economic Forum about what our revenues look like. It is difficult to say yes to bonds for projects that are yet to be determined when we could use the capacity for current ongoing CIPs, free up some General Fund, and allow us to better stabilize some of the things we have talked about in all of these Subcommittees; either cutting some money from or not expanding where we know there are needs in Nevada.

I would agree with Assemblymember Monroe-Moreno; this is an ongoing discussion. The crux for the Subcommittees is I do not know that I would be comfortable approving this today. We can have a thorough discussion with the full Committees. If we allocate these, we are missing an opportunity to allow for additional General Funds to go to ongoing programs we continue to have conversations about cutting. Maybe today's information from the

Economic Forum is more positive than what may be expected, in which case that makes this decision easier. I am struggling with where we are in terms of approving things in the Subcommittees and then now issuing additional bonds for yet to be determined projects and not freeing up General Fund.

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any further questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO NOT RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF \$75 MILLION OVER THE 2025-2027 BIENNIUM FOR THE NEVADA STATE INFRASTRUCTURE BANK TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR ELIGIBLE PROJECTS AS DEFINED IN *NEVADA REVISED STATUTES* 226.715, AND NOT RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE PRIORITIES FOR THE ALLOCATION OF THE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next budget.

ELECTED OFFICIALS

TREASURER'S OFFICE

TREASURER - BOND INTEREST & REDEMPTION (395-1082)

BUDGET PAGE ELECTED-328

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The Treasurer's Bond Interest and Redemption Fund budget account is in your closing packet [\[Exhibit D\]](#). This budget account is funded primarily through the \$0.17 ad valorem property tax levy. This provides for debt service for general obligation bonds issued by the state. This levy is included in the legislation for the Capital Improvement Program (CIP). This budget account was heard by the Subcommittees on February 20, 2025. There are no Major Closing Issues, and there are seven Other Closing Items. Other Closing Item 6 is a base budget technical adjustment, and this adjustment would be to align the property tax revenues recommended in the Governor's budget with the projected property tax revenues included in the Treasurer's *General Obligation Debt Capacity and Affordability Report 2025-2027 Biennium*. That technical adjustment would result in an increase of \$5.5 million in fiscal year (FY) 2026 and \$22.2 million in FY 2027. This technical adjustment presented

does not have any impact on the identified affordability to issue general obligation bonds in the upcoming biennium.

Other Closing Item 7 of note is a request by the Office of the State Treasurer to continue language that has been included in the past in the 2025 CIP Bill. This would allow for work program revisions to be requested and approved through the Office of Finance, Office of the Governor for this budget account without requiring approval of the Interim Finance Committee for necessary budgetary revisions for the purpose of bond repayment costs. This similar language was included in section 22 of Assembly Bill 1 of the 34th Special Session. This request appears reasonable to Fiscal staff.

Fiscal staff recommends Other Closing Items 1 through 5 be closed as recommended by the Governor and Other Closing Item 6 be closed with a noted technical adjustment to base budget revenue. Fiscal staff also recommends the inclusion of language in the Capital Improvement Program bill as noted under Other Closing Item 7 and requests authority for Fiscal staff to make other technical adjustments as necessary.

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND OTHER CLOSING ITEMS 1 THROUGH 5 BE CLOSED AS RECOMMENDED BY THE GOVERNOR, OTHER CLOSING ITEM 6 BE CLOSED WITH A NOTED TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENT TO BASE BUDGET REVENUE, RECOMMEND THE INCLUSION OF LANGUAGE IN THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM BILL AS NOTED UNDER OTHER CLOSING ITEM 7, AND AUTHORIZE THE FISCAL ANALYSIS DIVISION STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

**DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The recommended 2025 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) has 72 projects recommended. These were all heard in various budget hearings on February 20, 2025, March 18, 2025, and April 2, 2025. This document provides a high-level summary of the projects included in the Governor's recommended 2025 CIP [[Exhibit D](#)]. Based on testimony provided during the joint meetings of the Subcommittees on K-12/Higher Education/CIP hearings on February 20, 2025, March 18, 2025, and April 2, 2025, any resulting change in the project funding, and/or scope of work for individual projects is identified on Attachment A [[Exhibit G](#)]. That is a separate legal-size document which outlines every project, the funding source, and a brief description of the project.

The closing document has been structured to address and provide general information followed by details of individual project recommendations. These are grouped by project type, which are the construction projects, the planning projects, maintenance projects, statewide projects, and additional details. Further explanation of the changes will be addressed during the closing discussion of each type of CIP project.

The first item in your closing packet for discussion is the CIP funding changes that have occurred since the time the Subcommittees heard these various projects. The Office of Finance, Office of the Governor (GFO) submitted budget amendments on February 3, 2025, and March 18, 2025, to the Governor's recommended 2025 CIP as well as a request to revise a project cost estimate and to increase the square footage for a facility recommended in CIP project 25-P06. This was received on April 11, 2025. The amendments including the cost assessment sheets for the added and revised projects are provided as Attachment C and Attachment D for reference at the end of the closing packet [[Exhibit D](#)].

Funding recommendations for consideration by the Subcommittees and the recommended 2025 CIP are summarized in Attachment B, and they have been adjusted for the various changes submitted through the budget amendments [[Exhibit D](#)]. Turning to that attachment, we can walk through the changes that have occurred since the Subcommittees heard these projects. The first item is the Governor's recommended 2025 CIP was initially recommended at \$1.128 billion. With the additional adjustments and changes submitted through the GFO, the revised recommendation is now at \$1.157 billion. That is an increase of \$29.2 million.

In terms of the funding source changes, the first one is the elimination of \$1.4 million in unexpended State General Fund appropriations from earlier CIPs to be reallocated to the 2025 CIP as originally recommended by the Governor. This was due to updated projections. Through a budget amendment submitted on February 3, 2025, there is an additional \$7.5 million in General Fund appropriations recommended to support the expansion of CIP project 25-C26. This would be to purchase three intermediate-care facility buildings to

relocate patients from the Department of Health and Human Services West Charleston Boulevard campus in Las Vegas.

The next modification is a reduction of \$27,390 in General Fund appropriations. This is CIP project 25-P06 as well as the aforementioned scope modification to increase the planned facility square footage in this project. This request was received on April 11, 2025. There was an additional elimination of \$23.9 million in bond proceeds from earlier CIPs originally recommended in the Governor's 2025 CIP. This is also due to updated projections.

Lastly, there was an additional project submitted as a budget amendment on March 18, 2025, and this is CIP project 25-C29. This would add \$16 million in general obligation bonds and \$5.7 million in federal funds for a total cost of \$21.7 million to add CIP project 25-C29. The net change was \$29.2 million. However, there is a funding shortfall with these changes with the elimination of reallocated bond proceeds and former unexpended General Fund appropriations. That leaves a shortfall at this time of \$25.3 million to fund the 72 projects recommended in the Governor's revised 2025 CIP. This reconciliation is provided for the Subcommittees on Attachment B [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor's Finance Office indicated it was working with the Office of the State Treasurer to analyze the availability of additional bond affordability to fund the shortfall. However, as of today, we have not received a response from the Governor's Finance Office regarding a budget amendment to address the \$25.3 million funding shortfall.

Depending on the CIP projects recommended for approval by the Subcommittees, there may or may not be sufficient resources to fund the 2025 CIP as revised. However, at this time, we are unable to tell until we consider all of the recommendations of the Subcommittees. In your closing packet [[Exhibit D](#)], there are options for consideration. Once we determine what the recommendations are, if there is a shortfall, we will bring that information back to the full Committees. Your closing packet identifies the new project discussed earlier and added to a budget amendment.

The budget amendment submitted on March 18, 2025, also requested approval to cancel CIP project 23-C33. This is the Southern Nevada Small Arms Range for the Nevada Army National Guard. This was initially funded with general obligation bonds of \$17 million. The reason for this cancellation was there was an update to army regulations, and the location of the selected site for the proposed Small Arms Range was not aligned to the new standard. As such, the Office of the Military is working to secure federal funds to develop an arms range at the Hawthorne Army Depot.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of the cancellation of CIP Project 23-C33 as recommended in the budget amendment submitted on March 18, 2025?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE CANCELLATION OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 23-C33 AS RECOMMENDED IN THE BUDGET AMENDMENT SUBMITTED ON MARCH 18, 2025.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

2019 AND 2021 PROJECT EXTENSIONS

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The next item in your closing packet [[Exhibit D](#)] is the request for project extensions. This was first mentioned at the April 2, 2025, budget hearing, and there are 20 projects—2 from the 2019 CIP and 18 from the 2021 CIP. If a project is not completed or it is anticipated it will not be completed within the four years, the Legislature can grant an extension for individual projects, which is facilitated through the biennial CIP legislation. Typically, these project extensions are granted for a two-year period. Reasonable extension requests include delays in project completion, dependencies on outside entities, time to complete a warranty period, and other unforeseen circumstances. The bulleted list identifies the projects that have been requested for an extension for a two-year period. Fiscal staff has reviewed these items, and it appears a reasonable request to Fiscal staff.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of language in the CIP legislation that would approve the two-year project extensions requested by the State Public Works Division, Department of Administration for the identified 2 projects legislatively approved in the 2019 CIP and the 18 projects legislatively approved in the 2021 CIP?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF LANGUAGE IN THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM LEGISLATION THAT WOULD APPROVE THE TWO-YEAR PROJECT EXTENSIONS REQUESTED BY THE STATE PUBLIC WORKS DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION FOR THE IDENTIFIED 2 PROJECTS LEGISLATIVELY APPROVED IN THE 2019 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AND THE 18 PROJECTS

LEGISLATIVELY APPROVED IN THE 2021 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

Julie Waller:

We will now turn to the CIP projects recommended in the Governor's recommended 2025 CIP [[Exhibit D](#)]. The first types of projects are the construction projects. There are 20 construction projects totaling \$832.8 million, of which 2 of the largest projects total \$605 million. The major construction projects are presented with an individual closing decision for the Subcommittees' consideration. Following these individual discussions is a grouping of other construction projects which, if desired, can be considered and have a collective motion taken for closing recommendations.

25-C01, SOUTHERN NEVADA FORENSIC FACILITY, LAS VEGAS

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The first project is 25-C01 Southern Nevada Forensic Facility in Las Vegas [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$381.8 million in general obligation bonds to construct a 300-bed psychiatric facility for forensic patients on the existing Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) campus on West Charleston Boulevard, Las Vegas. This would be a continuation of CIP project 23-P06, which was the advance planning for this project.

The new forensic facility would serve individuals awaiting adjudication who need treatment to competency and would also support long-term commitments. The Division of Public and Behavioral Health, DHHS is statutorily required to provide forensic psychiatric hospital services pursuant to *Nevada Revised Statutes* Chapter 178. Case law requires patients be admitted to this service within seven days of a court ordering the service. The existing facilities providing this service—Lakes Crossing Center in Sparks and the Stein Forensic Facility in Las Vegas—are at or beyond capacity.

The Division of Public and Behavioral Health is currently sanctioned daily fines imposed by the 8th Judicial Court for the Division's inability to meet its statutory obligation. The Division must pay \$500 a day for each criminal defendant whose transfer to a psychiatric facility is delayed beyond seven days. If approved, the State Public Works Division, Department of Administration anticipates the design would be completed in September 2025. Construction could commence in August 2026, and the project would be anticipated to be

completed in March 2029 with an opening of August 2029. During the budget hearing, the agency confirmed the approval of CIP project 25-C01 would be contingent upon the approval of the next CIP project 25-C02 in order to provide the land needed to build the new forensic facility.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-C01 as reflected on the closing document [[Exhibit D](#)] contingent upon the Subcommittees' recommended approval of CIP project 25-C02?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-C01 AS REFLECTED ON THE CLOSING DOCUMENT CONTINGENT UPON THE SUBCOMMITTEES' RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF CIP PROJECT 25-C02.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

25-C02, DESERT REGIONAL CENTER FACILITIES, LAS VEGAS

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The next project for consideration is CIP project 25-C02 Desert Regional Center Facilities in Las Vegas [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$38 million in general obligation bonds to design and construct replacement buildings at the Desert Regional Center in Las Vegas. The project would replace aging buildings that have reached their end of useful life and provide the land necessary to support the construction of the new 300-bed forensic facility project formerly discussed. This project would include the demolition of buildings 1300, 1304, and 1391, and would allow the new forensic facility to be built as a low-rise structure, which would lower the overall cost of the project. The replacement buildings would include an administrative building, an intermediate care facility, and a therapy building. Providing these new buildings would alleviate the safety and maintenance concerns and support updated therapy and resident models.

Following the budget hearing, the State Public Works Division clarified the timeline for the CIP project 25-C01 and offered a corrected timeline for this project. Accordingly, the

corrected timeline for this project would be design completion in April 2025, construction commencement in September 2025, and completion in September 2026 if approved. Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-C02 as reflected on the closing document [[Exhibit D](#)]?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-C02 AS REFLECTED ON THE CLOSING DOCUMENT.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

25-C03, NORTH LAS VEGAS STATE VETERANS HOME

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The next project is 25-C03, North Las Vegas State Veterans Home [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends total funding of \$223.2 million consisting of \$78.1 million in general obligation bonds and \$145.1 million in federal funds to construct a 128-bed, 156,700 square foot, single occupancy, skilled nursing facility for veterans in North Las Vegas. The State Public Works Division, Department of Administration indicates the need for 649 beds in skilled nursing facilities in Nevada, and currently there are 276 beds in operation. This leaves an unmet need of 373 beds. The construction of this project would add 128 beds to the total number of operational beds for veterans. The 82nd Legislature approved \$15.5 million to complete the advance planning through construction documents for a 128-bed, single occupancy, skilled nursing facility. The recommended construction project for the facility does not include the funding for furniture, fixtures, and equipment. The agency indicates this would be requested in the 2027 CIP.

This project includes authority of \$145.1 million in federal funds anticipated to be awarded by the federal government. The Division confirms no work would be started until the federal grant is awarded. During the budget hearing, a question came up as to whether a State General Fund advance would be required for this project. Follow-up information indicates since both the bond and the federal funding sources would be available when construction commences, the Department confirms there would be no need for a General Fund advance.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-C03 as reflected on the closing document [[Exhibit D](#)]?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-C03 AS REFLECTED ON THE CLOSING DOCUMENT.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

25-C05, ADDITIONAL FUNDS FOR HOBART RESERVOIR DAM REHABILITATION, MARLETTE LAKE WATER SYSTEM

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The next project is 25-C05, and this is a recommendation for additional funds for the Hobart Reservoir Dam Rehabilitation at the Marlette Lake Water System [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$4.6 million in general obligation bonds to provide additional funding to complete Capital Improvement Program (CIP) project 21-C06, Hobart Reservoir Dam Rehabilitation to construct structural and functional upgrades to the Hobart Reservoir Dam. This would include a seismic evaluation upgrade to protect against seismic events and the replacement of discharge piping and outlet valves.

Due to a delay in receiving grant approval and extensive permitting required by the federal grant, construction has been delayed to the summer of 2026. As a result, the cost to complete the project has increased. The State Public Works Division, Department of Administration anticipates completing the required project permitting in the summer of 2025. On April 7, 2025, the Division notified Fiscal staff the \$10 million Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) grant for CIP project 21-C06 was canceled. According to the Division, FEMA is ending the Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities Program and canceling all applications from fiscal years (FY) 2020 to FY 2023 if grant funds have not been distributed to states.

As of April 29, 2025, the Office of Finance, Office of the Governor has not provided any communication or submitted a budget amendment to address the loss of the \$10 million in federal grant funds for CIP project 21-C06. As such, it is unclear what the status is of project 21-C06 and the need for project 25-C05. The Subcommittees may wish to ask the Governor's

Finance Office or the State Public Works Division to provide a status update on the funding shortfall to assist the Subcommittees in determining whether to recommend approval of additional state funding for the Hobart Dam Reservoir Rehabilitation project through CIP project 25-C05. This is a critical project. The last upgrades to this dam were in the 1950s when there was a breach, and there were a couple of fatalities.

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-C05 WITH \$4.6 MILLION PLUS \$10 MILLION TO REPLACE THE MONEY LOST FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND ASK FISCAL STAFF TO CONTINUE TO MONITOR AND LOOK AT THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY ACCOUNTS AND THE \$10 MILLION.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion?

Assemblymember Hafen:

The additional \$10 million is going to be from general obligation bonds and not from the General Fund, is that correct?

Chair Backus:

Yes. The intent is we are going to use bonding to cover the \$10 million FEMA pulled from this project. If funds ever become available from FEMA, then hopefully those funds could go to this project, but it looks like it is slim on that. But that is the intent. Is there any other discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NEAL WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

We will move to the next item.

25-C12, YOUTH MENTAL HEALTH INTAKE BUILDING. SUMMIT VIEW YOUTH CENTER, LAS VEGAS

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The next project is 25-C12, Youth Mental Health Intake Building at Summit View Youth Center in Las Vegas [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$5.5 million in general obligation bonds to design and construct a youth intake building and three support buildings

for the Summit View Youth Center in Las Vegas. The new intake building would perform a screening and assessment function but not a treatment function. During the budget hearing, the Division of Child and Family Services, Department of Health and Human Services clarified the new intake building would not provide an additional treatment facility for mentally disordered, disturbed, or youth with intellectual disabilities as described in the CIP project cost estimate sheet. The intake building would be for youth who are adjudicated to the Summit View Youth Center. On April 30, 2025, the Subcommittees on Human Services did not approve funding for staff and operating expenses for the intake center. It is unclear whether this facility would be needed during this biennium.

The decision for the Subcommittees is do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-C12 as reflected in the closing document [[Exhibit D](#)]?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO NOT RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CIP PROJECT 25-C12.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

25-C14, SEISMIC STABILIZATION AND REHABILITATION OF OLD GYM, STEWART FACILITY, CARSON CITY

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C14 is the seismic stabilization and rehabilitation of the Stewart Old Gym at the Stewart Indian School facility in Carson City [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$16.8 million in general obligation bonds to construct the structural seismic stabilization and architectural interior rehabilitation for the Old Gym at the Stewart facility. The Stewart Old Gym is an unreinforced stone structure and is susceptible to damage or collapse from earthquakes. The project would provide significant structural upgrades for improved life safety. This would be a continuation of a couple of prior CIP projects; project 17-C09, which was a roof replacement and roof seismic stabilization as well as CIP project 23-P09, which was the advance planning for this construction project.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-C14 as reflected on the closing document [[Exhibit D](#)]?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-C14 AS REFLECTED ON THE CLOSING DOCUMENT.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

25-C26, STATE OFFICE BUILDINGS PURCHASE AND IMPROVEMENTS, LAS VEGAS

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The next project is Capital Improvement Program (CIP) project 25-C26, state office buildings purchase and improvements in Las Vegas [[Exhibit D](#)]. As originally recommended, the Governor's 2025 CIP includes \$71.2 million in general obligation bonds for the purchase and improvements of five office buildings for state office use in Las Vegas. On February 3, 2025, a budget amendment was submitted to recommend an additional \$7.5 million in State General Fund appropriations to purchase three additional buildings to provide an intermediate-care facility with 36 beds for youth needing specialized care. The five buildings originally recommended in this project to be purchased, and excluding the three buildings in the budget amendment, are near the state office buildings on the McCarran Campus in Las Vegas. The purchase price of these buildings has been confirmed at \$52.5 million and includes estimated closing costs of approximately \$570,000 for a total estimated purchase price of \$53.1 million. The negotiated purchase price—according to the appraisal we received a couple of days ago—is approximately \$659,000 under the appraised value. But with additional closing costs added to the project, there is no potential cost savings from this project.

The State Public Works Division, Department of Administration indicates the five buildings would be purchased in September 2025. In addition to the purchase of these five buildings, this project would fund \$5.7 million for building improvements and approximately \$9 million for furniture, fixtures, and equipment.

In regard to the budget amendment to CIP project 25-C26, the \$7.5 million in General Fund appropriations would fund the permanent relocation of youth from the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) West Charleston Boulevard campus to three purchased

buildings, which would provide intermediate-care beds for youth needing specialized care. The Executive Branch indicated if this project is approved, it would purchase the buildings from the Campus for Hope Foundation. The plan would be to have the building ready for occupancy by June 30, 2027. Given the lack of information and details provided regarding the three buildings to be purchased from the Campus for Hope Foundation, if the Subcommittees wish to approve the additional funding recommended through the budget amendment, it may wish to approve \$7.5 million as a separate and distinct CIP project rather than consolidating this additional funding into CIP project 25-C26.

Taking a step back, I would note the \$7.5 million in General Fund appropriations in the budget amendment was submitted without any documentation supporting the purchase price of these three buildings. By separating the two different scopes being recommended, this may allow for increased transparency and tracking of funds for the recommended purposes.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-C26 as revised through the February 2, 2025, budget amendment which adds \$7.5 million in General Fund appropriations to purchase three yet-to-be-constructed buildings as reflected on the closing document?

Or

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-C26 as originally recommended by the Governor that excludes the three yet-to-be-purchased or constructed buildings?

And

If option one is recommended, do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of the budget amendment which adds \$7.5 million in General Fund appropriations to purchase three yet-to-be-constructed buildings as a separate CIP project?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MOVED TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-C26 AS REVISED THROUGH THE FEBRUARY 2, 2025, BUDGET AMENDMENT WHICH ADDS \$7.5 MILLION IN STATE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS TO PURCHASE THREE YET-TO-BE-CONSTRUCTED BUILDINGS AS REFLECTED ON THE CLOSING DOCUMENT AND RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE BUDGET AMENDMENT WHICH ADDS \$7.5 MILLION IN STATE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS TO PURCHASE THREE YET-TO-BE-CONSTRUCTED BUILDINGS AS A SEPARATE CIP PROJECT.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

25-C27, STATE OFFICE BUILDING PURCHASE, RENO

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C27 is the state office building purchase in Reno [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$24.2 million in general obligation bonds to purchase an office building for state use at 10375 Professional Circle Drive in Reno. The building is 79,248 square feet and was built in 2008, and as of June 15, 2024, is occupied by the Aging and Disability Services Division and the Division of Public and Behavioral Health, Department of Health and Human Services. If this project is approved, the Divisions advise the planned purchase would occur between July 15, 2025, and September 30, 2025. At the time of the hearing on this project, Fiscal staff had not received an appraisal to evaluate the purchase price of the building. However, that appraisal was received a couple of days ago, and the appraised value is at \$20 million excluding the cost of closing. Therefore, the Subcommittees could potentially consider a revision to this project and revise the purchase price to include estimated closing costs for a total of \$20.2 million, which would reduce the recommended purchase price approximately \$4 million as originally recommended in the Governor's 2025 Capital Improvement Program.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-C27 as reflected on the closing document or do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of this project at a revised cost of \$20.2 million resulting in a reduction of \$4 million in general obligation bonds?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-C27 AT A REVISED COST OF \$20.2 MILLION RESULTING IN A REDUCTION OF \$4 MILLION IN GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

25-C29, CH-47 STORAGE HANGAR AND SITEWORK, HARRY REID TRAINING CENTER, NEVADA AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The next project is a new project added through a budget amendment [[Exhibit D](#)]. This is Capital Improvement Program (CIP) project 25-C29, a CH-47 storage hangar and sitework at the Harry Reid Training Center for the Nevada Air National Guard in Stead. The Governor recommends adding \$21.7 million—consisting of \$16 million in general obligation bonds and \$5.7 million in federal funds—to construct a 27,750 square foot aircraft storage hangar including site work for the Army Aviation Facility at the Harry Reid Training Center. The project scope would also include the demolition and repairs to the existing apron.

After the CIP hearing on April 2, 2025, the agency indicated it would have four hangars available for aircraft storage after the completion of CIP project 25-C29 if approved, leaving two CH-47 Chinook helicopters uncovered on the parking apron. There are a total of seven CH-47 Chinook helicopters and five Blackhawk helicopters at this facility. The approval of this project would leave two remaining Chinook helicopters uncovered on the parking apron.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-C29 funded with \$16 million in general obligation bonds and \$5.7 million in federal funds as added to the Governor's recommended 2025 CIP through the March 18, 2025, budget amendment and as reflected on the closing document?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-C29 FUNDED WITH \$16 MILLION IN GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS AND \$5.7 MILLION IN FEDERAL FUNDS AS ADDED TO THE GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED 2025 CIP THROUGH THE MARCH 18, 2025, BUDGET AMENDMENT AND AS REFLECTED ON THE CLOSING DOCUMENT.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

OTHER CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The next item is other construction projects [[Exhibit D](#)]. I would point out on Attachment A [[Exhibit G](#)], there are certain projects shaded in gray, and these projects have been heard during previous hearings. Based on information provided during the budget hearings and the follow-up information provided to Fiscal staff, there are no Major Closing Issues identified with this subset of projects. Unless members would like to pull any of the shaded projects for discussion, Fiscal staff would recommend a single motion be taken for consideration on these projects at the end of this section. I will briefly walk through the projects shaded on Attachment A for these construction projects.

25-C06 PARKING APRON EXPANSION, NEVADA AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C06 is a parking apron expansion for the Nevada Air National Guard [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$9.2 million, consisting of \$235,660 in general obligation bonds and \$8.9 million in federal funds to construct a concrete aircraft parking apron expansion at the Nevada Air National Guard facility leased from the Reno-Tahoe International Airport.

25-C08, CEMETERY COMMITTAL BUILDING UTILITY EXTENSION SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING, NORTHERN NEVADA VETERANS MEMORIAL CEMETERY

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C08 is a cemetery committal building utility extension supplemental funding at the Northern Nevada Veterans Memorial Cemetery [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends

\$2.7 million in general obligation bonds to provide supplemental funding to support the continuation of project 23-C13 committal building at Northern Nevada Veterans Memorial Cemetery in Fernley. As background, this project was initially approved by the 2023 Legislature with \$3.5 million in general obligation bonds to design and construct a committal building at this cemetery in Fernley. Project 25-C08 would provide additional funding for power and utility extensions not identified in the development of the initial CIP construction project for the committal building. If approved, the State Public Works Division, Department of Administration advises construction would begin in June 2026, and the project would be completed in October 2026.

25-C10, RECREATIONAL YARDS UPGRADE, ELY STATE PRISON

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C10 is the recreational yards upgrade at the Ely State Prison [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$2.8 million in general obligation bonds to design and construct four security-grade, fenced recreational yards with vehicle and pedestrian gates at housing units 1 through 8, and the addition of three basketball courts. The existing recreational yards are shared by multiple housing units at a time. For security purposes, there is a need to separate the offender population into a more manageable number of offenders, which would also improve the safety of the correctional officers, staff, and other offenders.

As of September 11, 2024, the Ely State Prison was changed to a medium-security institution. During the hearing, the agency confirmed the change in the security level of the institution was factored into the development of the project, and therefore no cost saving modifications should be incorporated into the proposed budget.

25-C11, RECREATIONAL YARD ENCLOSURE REPLACEMENT, HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C11 is a recreational yard enclosure replacement at the High Desert State Prison [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$6.1 million in general obligation bonds to demolish 32 existing chain-link recreation yard cages and construct 40 expanded metal recreational yard enclosures. The existing recreational yard enclosures can be compromised by offenders, which causes safety and security issues. The Division indicates there are a total of 71 recreational yard enclosures. If approved, the Division advises the design of this project would begin in December 2025 and construction would begin in August 2026. The project is anticipated to be completed in January 2027.

**25-C17, CENTRAL SERVICES AND RECORDS DIVISION RENOVATION,
DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, CARSON CITY**

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C17 is a renovation of the Central Services and Records Division, Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) in Carson City. The Governor recommends \$4.9 million in general obligation bonds with debt service to be repaid by the State Highway Fund to design and construct an interior renovation to the DMV Central Services and Records Division in Carson City. The project would also repair flooring on the second level of the building that poses a significant safety hazard.

**25-C18, RENOVATE CUSTOMER COUNTER CASEWORK, DEPARTMENT OF
MOTOR VEHICLES, DECATUR, LAS VEGAS**

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C18 is to renovate customer counter casework at the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) at the Decatur location in Las Vegas [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$1.9 million in general obligation bonds with debt service to be repaid by the State Highway Fund to renovate the customer counters, replace millwork, and add a new desk station for inspectors at the Decatur DMV office in southern Nevada. The justification indicates the cabinets are damaged, and the countertops are broken and chipped posing a safety hazard to customers and employees. The Division indicates construction would be phased in coordination with DMV to allow for full operation during construction.

**25-C-19, RECREATIONAL YARD ENCLOSURES, LOVELOCK CORRECTIONAL
CENTER**

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C19 is for recreational yard enclosures at the Lovelock Correctional Center [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$5.7 million in general obligation bonds to design and construct 28 recreational yard enclosures at the Lovelock Correctional Center. The existing chain-link recreational yard enclosures are being compromised by offenders and need to be retrofitted with expanded metal mesh. The Lovelock Correctional Center houses an average of 1,600 offenders. The Division notes there are 14 existing recreational yard enclosures at this facility.

**25-C20, EVIDENCE IMPOUND LOT EXPANSION, TRAINING DIVISION,
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY**

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C20 is the evidence impound lot expansion for the Training Division, Department of Public Safety [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$1.2 million in State Highway Fund appropriations to design and construct a 15,600 square foot expansion to the existing

evidence impound lot located at the southeast corner of the parking lot of the Training Division, Department of Public Safety in Carson City. This evidence impound lot expansion would include security fencing, lighting, security cameras, a commercial carport, and a work shed. The evidence impound lot is utilized by the Nevada State Police to store vehicles involved in fatal or felony serious bodily harm accidents that have at-fault drivers charged with a crime related to the accident. This particular impound lot receives vehicles that meet these criteria to be held as evidence from Carson City, Churchill, Douglas, Lyon, Mineral, Pershing, Storey, and Washoe Counties. The current evidence impound lot is at its maximum capacity of 106 vehicles, and the number of vehicles impounded is increasing. A temporary lot has been added. However, because of the length of time the Department of Public Safety retains vehicles, this project is being recommended to construct an additional lot. If approved, the State Public Works Division, Department of Administration advises the design would begin in October 2025; construction would begin in August 2026, and the project would be completed in November 2026.

25-C21, EXTERIOR QUAD LIGHTING, STEWART FACILITY

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C21 is for exterior quad lighting at the Stewart facility [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends State General Fund appropriations of \$427,880 to design and construct pole mounted light-emitting diode area lighting, poles, concrete bases, conduit, wire, and trenching at building 6 on the Stewart Campus facility in Carson City.

25-C22, NATURAL HISTORY EXHIBIT, NEVADA STATE MUSEUM

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C22 is for a natural history exhibit at the Nevada State Museum in Carson City. The Governor recommends State General Fund appropriations of \$2.3 million for design and construction of a new 1,530 square foot natural history exhibit on the second floor of the Nevada State Museum in Carson City. The State Public Works Division, Department of Administration notes the second-floor south gallery of the museum is currently classified as storage and, as such, lacks a mechanical design suitable for occupancy. If approved, the Division advises the design of this project would begin in October 2025; construction would begin in March 2026; and the project is anticipated to be completed in August 2027. When asked if the museum anticipates any disruptions to the operation during construction, the agency indicated no disruptions would occur as the second-floor south gallery has been vacant for 30 years and had been previously used for exhibit storage.

25-C23, CONSTRUCT NORTH STREET PARKING LOT, NORTHERN NEVADA ADULT MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-C23 is to construct a parking lot at North Street at the Northern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services (NNAMHS) in Sparks [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends \$1.1 million in general obligation bonds to design and construct a 100-space parking lot along North Street in Sparks. This is a dirt area along North Street within the NNAMHS campus where Building 9 previously stood. It is currently being used by employees and clients for parking. However, during winter weather, proper snow removal is not possible, and such areas are unusable during the winter.

That concludes the other construction projects.

The decision for the Subcommittees is in your closing packet. Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP projects 25-C06, 25-C08, 25-C10, 25-C11, and 25-C17 through 25-C23 as reflected on the closing document [[Exhibit D](#)]?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECTS 25-C06, 25-C08, 25-C10, 25-C11, AND 25-C17 THROUGH 25-C23 AS REFLECTED ON THE CLOSING DOCUMENT.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

PLANNING PROJECTS

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

We will now move to the planning projects recommended by the Governor in your closing packet [[Exhibit D](#)]. There are a total of nine recommended planning projects for a total of \$20.6 million. Those are all reflected on Attachment A [[Exhibit G](#)]. No projects have been identified for further discussion.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of Capital Improvement Program projects 25-P01 through 25-P05 and 25-P07 through 25-P09 as reflected on the closing document [[Exhibit D](#)]?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS 25-P01 THROUGH 25-P05 AND 25-P07 THROUGH 25-P09 AS REFLECTED ON THE CLOSING DOCUMENT.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

25-P06, ADVANCED PLANNING: CIVIL SERVICE TEAM FACILITY, NEVADA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-P06 is Advanced Planning for the Civil Service Team Facility, Nevada Army National Guard. We pulled this one planning project from Attachment A [[Exhibit G](#)] for further discussion, and this relates to the request to modify this project [[Exhibit D](#)]. This project was originally recommended by the Governor for \$928,200 consisting of \$338,200 in State General Fund appropriations and \$590,000 in federal funds. This would provide design through construction documents for an 8,240 square foot civil service facility on state property west of the Northern Nevada Correctional Center. The Civil Service Team currently leases office space and an adjacent garage and gated parking lot in Carson City. However, this leased building is surrounded by residential neighborhoods and is not secure. If approved, the design of this project will begin December 2025 and would be completed in March 2027. The 2027 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) request for construction is an estimated \$10.8 million based on the 8,240 square-foot size of the facility.

On April 11, 2025, the Office of Finance, Office of the Governor submitted a request to modify CIP project 25-P06, which would reduce the recommended General Fund appropriations by \$27,390 from \$338,200 as originally recommended to \$310,810 and increase the square footage of the planned facility from the 8,240 square feet to 26,143 square feet as requested.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-P06 with \$310,810 in General Fund appropriations and \$590,000 in federal funds and the increase in the square footage of the planned facility from 8,240 square feet to 26,143 square feet as revised by the

request received from the Governor's Finance Office on April 11, 2025, as reflected on the closing document?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-P06 WITH \$310,810 IN GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS AND \$590,000 IN FEDERAL FUNDS AND THE INCREASE IN THE SQUARE FOOTAGE OF THE PLANNED FACILITY FROM 8,240 SQUARE FEET TO 26,143 SQUARE FEET AS REVISED BY THE REQUEST RECEIVED FROM THE GOVERNOR'S FINANCE OFFICE ON APRIL 11, 2025, AS REFLECTED ON THE CLOSING DOCUMENT.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

MAINTENANCE PROJECTS

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The maintenance projects recommended by the Governor in the 2025 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) are listed in your closing packet [[Exhibit D](#)]. There are 28 projects recommended for a total cost of \$244.3 million, and they are reflected on the closing document table Attachment A [[Exhibit G](#)]. There are certain projects shaded in gray and based on the Subcommittees' review of these projects during the hearings and follow-up information provided to Fiscal staff, there are no Major Closing Issues identified with this subset of projects. Unless members would like to pull the shaded projects for discussion, Fiscal staff would recommend a single motion be taken for consideration on these projects.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP projects 25-M01 and 25-M05 through 25-M27 as reflected on the closing document [[Exhibit D](#)]?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECTS 25-M01 AND 25-M05 THROUGH 25-M27 AS REFLECTED ON THE CLOSING DOCUMENT.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

25-M02, FACILITY MAINTENANCE, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The next maintenance projects identified for discussion are four maintenance projects developed under the consolidated project approach, which includes the ability to address emergencies or contingencies as they may arise and the potential to fund Priority 2 projects with any realized savings from Priority 1 projects [[Exhibit D](#)]. Project 25-M02 is a project for facility maintenance for the Department of Health and Human Services. The Governor recommends State General Fund appropriations of \$22.5 million for the estimated cost of 17 individually identified projects to address the Department's facility maintenance needs at locations statewide.

Your closing packet includes a table listing the 17 individual subprojects identified in this maintenance project. I would point to shaded line 17, subproject 25-M02-17. This is a line item budgeted for emergent maintenance for the Department of Health and Human Services. This project includes \$570,312 for emergent maintenance projects. However, under the consolidated maintenance approach, emergent projects would be funded with any available savings. The State Public Works Division, Department of Administration indicated this line item has been included and is considered contingency funding to address maintenance needs as they arise. However, the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) project costs already include an approximate 10 percent contingency on every subproject, and as previously noted, the premise of this approach for maintenance projects is to have the flexibility to address emergent projects through savings on completed projects or through the reprioritization of projects to address emergencies as they arise. Accordingly, it would appear consolidated maintenance projects should not specifically include funding for emergent projects.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-M02 as reflected on the closing document or do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-M02 with the elimination of \$570,312 included in subproject 25-M02-17 for emergent

maintenance projects given that project savings from Priority 1 projects may be used for emergent projects or Priority 2 projects?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT 25-M02 WITH THE ELIMINATION OF \$570,312 INCLUDED IN SUBPROJECT 25-M02-17 FOR EMERGENT MAINTENANCE PROJECTS GIVEN THAT PROJECT SAVINGS FROM PRIORITY 1 PROJECTS MAY BE USED FOR EMERGENT PROJECTS OR PRIORITY 2 PROJECTS.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

25-M03, FACILITY MAINTENANCE, DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The next item is Capital Improvement Program (CIP) project 25-M03, facility maintenance of the Department of Administration [[Exhibit D](#)]. This is also a maintenance project under the consolidated funding approach. The Governor recommends State General Fund appropriations of \$18.9 million for the estimated costs of 11 individually identified projects to address the Department of Administration's facility maintenance needs at locations statewide.

In your closing packet [[Exhibit D](#)] there are 11 Priority 1 subprojects for CIP project 25-M03. At the bottom of the table, subproject 11 has been shaded gray for additional discussion. This is a similar situation as the former project where this project is budgeting \$570,312 for emergent maintenance. As was previously noted, the consolidated project funding approach provides flexibility to use project savings to address emergent needs.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-M03 as reflected on the closing document?

Or

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-M03 with the elimination of the \$570,312 included in subproject 25-M03-11 for emergent maintenance projects given that project savings from Priority 1 projects may be used for emergent projects or Priority 2 projects?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-M03 WITH THE ELIMINATION OF THE \$570,312 INCLUDED IN SUBPROJECT 25-M03-11 FOR EMERGENT MAINTENANCE PROJECTS GIVEN THAT PROJECT SAVINGS FROM PRIORITY 1 PROJECTS MAY BE USED FOR EMERGENT PROJECTS OR PRIORITY 2 PROJECTS.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

25-M03h, FACILITY MAINTENANCE, DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Project 25-M03h is Facility Maintenance, Department of Administration [[Exhibit D](#)]. This is a maintenance project for facilities throughout the state and the Department of Administration maintenance needs funded from the State Highway Fund. The Governor recommends Highway Fund appropriations of \$4.4 million for the estimated cost of four individually identified projects, which includes project 25-M03h-04 with emergent maintenance of \$114,062. There is similar logic behind this; the consolidated funding approach should not budget for emergent needs as there is flexibility to use project savings to address these circumstances.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-M03h as reflected on the closing document?

Or

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-M03h with the elimination of \$114,062 included in subproject 25-M03h-04 for emergent maintenance projects given that Priority 1 savings may be used for emergent projects or Priority 2 projects?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-M03H WITH THE ELIMINATION OF \$114,062 INCLUDED IN SUBPROJECT 25-M03h-04 FOR EMERGENT MAINTENANCE PROJECTS GIVEN THAT PRIORITY 1 SAVINGS MAY BE USED FOR EMERGENT PROJECTS OR PRIORITY 2 PROJECTS.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

25-M04, FACILITY MAINTENANCE, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

The last of the maintenance items is Capital Improvement Program (CIP) project 25-M04 facility maintenance, Department of Corrections [[Exhibit D](#)]. The Governor recommends State General Fund appropriations of \$35.7 million for the estimated costs of ten individually identified projects to address the Department of Corrections' maintenance needs at its facilities throughout the state.

This project is recommended as an expansion of the consolidated approach for CIP maintenance. This was a new project recommended by the Legislature in the 81st Session for the Department of Health and Human Services and in the 82nd Session for the Department of Administration. Through a Legislative Counsel Bureau audit, the recommendation was to include a facility maintenance project for the Department of Corrections under the consolidated funding approach. The table in your closing packet

identifies the ten subprojects and includes a line item for subproject 10 at a cost of \$1.13 million to fund emergent maintenance projects.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP project 25-M04 as reflected on the closing document?

Or

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of 25-M04 with the elimination of \$1.1 million included in subproject 25-M04-10 for emergent maintenance projects given that project savings from Priority 1 projects may be used for emergent projects or Priority 2 projects?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-M04 WITH THE ELIMINATION OF \$1.1 MILLION INCLUDING SUBPROJECT 25-M04-10 FOR EMERGENT MAINTENANCE PROJECTS GIVEN THAT PROJECT SAVINGS FROM PRIORITY 1 PROJECTS MAY BE USED FOR EMERGENT PROJECTS OR PRIORITY 2 PROJECTS.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR BUCK WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

We will move to the next item.

STATEWIDE PROJECTS

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

There are 15 statewide projects for a total cost of \$59.6 million [[Exhibit D](#)], and 13 of the statewide projects have been traditionally funded in the Capital Improvement Program (CIP). There are two new statewide projects. One is the Statewide Elevator Program and an additional project for a Statewide Move Program. The 14 statewide projects are shaded gray in Attachment A [[Exhibit G](#)] and have not been identified for additional discussion.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP projects 25-S01 through 25-S07h and 25-S09?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECTS 25-S01 THROUGH 25-S07h AND 25-S09.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR BUCK WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

We will move to the next item.

25-S10, STATEWIDE MOVE PROGRAM

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

Capital Improvement Program (CIP) project 25-S10 is the Statewide Move Program. This was the single statewide project identified for further discussion. The Governor recommends State General Fund appropriations of \$1.8 million for a new Statewide Move Program to allow the State Public Works Division, Department of Administration staff to coordinate state office moves. The Division advises it foresees continuing the Statewide Move Program for the next several biennia. The project justification indicates the state agencies lack resources to effectively coordinate state office space moves without Division assistance.

Relocating state agencies into new spaces is a logistically demanding process requiring dedicated, highly technical employees to meet the agencies' needs. The Division currently meets these needs with professional level project management staff who are otherwise assigned to CIP projects. In testimony provided during the CIP hearing on February 20, 2025, the Division indicated several positions in the Division assisted state agencies with office moves during the 2023-2024 Interim. Further information provided after the budget hearing indicated 16 professional positions funded by fees collected and charged to CIP projects within the Engineering and Planning budget account 1562 provided assistance for the state agency office moves. However, the agency noted it is unable to quantify the hours these positions incurred assisting agency office moves as it is unable to quantify the hours these positions incurred outside of CIP or agency projects. There is no mechanism to track the time.

The Division clarified the \$50 million appropriated in Senate Bill 456 of the 82nd Session was available to assist state agencies to transition from remote work back to the office. This funding was used to assist agencies with the cost of agency moves. However, these funds

were not used to reimburse the Division for staff time expended to assist these agencies. The 16 professional staff positions were directed to rededicate a portion of their work hours from managing CIP projects to instead assist agencies with office moves, and the staff were charging these hours to CIP projects funded with various funding sources when the positions were not working on those CIP projects. This practice appears to be an inappropriate use of funds within the Engineering and Planning budget account.

Further, 16 professional staff who would normally be managing and working on CIP projects were redirected to provide assistance with agency office moves. The Division was asked how the reduction in resources dedicated to managing the CIP projects was impacted, and how that impacted the implementation of the CIP. In response, the Division stated the reduction in resources dedicated to CIP projects was commensurate with the time spent working on agency moves during the interim. However, the Division is unable to quantify the time spent by professional staff to assist with state agency office moves.

As previously noted in this closing document, the Division is requesting 20 active CIP projects otherwise set to expire at the end of fiscal year (FY) 2025 be extended for an additional two years. It is unclear whether any of those project extension requests are being made in part due to the redirection of Division resources away from CIP project management and oversight.

In regard to the positions that would be supported through the funding recommended in this project, the Division was asked to clarify the number and type of positions. In response, the Division indicated there would be a total of four positions including one construction project coordinator 3 and three construction project coordinator 1 positions. Initially, the recommendation was for five positions, but the Division now indicates the project will support four positions if approved.

During the 2023-2024 Interim, the Division reclassified four vacant building construction inspector 3 positions to construction project coordinator positions. These were approved in October 2024 and did not require Interim Finance Committee approval as both position types are in the same occupational group. In your closing packet [[Exhibit D](#)] is a list of the duties outlined for these two position types. According to the State Public Works Division, Department of Administration, the Division's plan for these four positions when CIP project 25-S10 expires at the end of four years would be to transition support to the completion of other CIP projects funded by assessments to CIP projects.

In lieu of providing State General Fund appropriations through CIP project 25-S10 to support agency office moves, the Subcommittees may wish to direct the State Public Works Division to request reimbursement for staff time expended on agency office moves through the one-time funding specifically appropriated in Senate Bill 456 of the 82nd Session, or some other legislation for costs associated with agencies return to the office, if approved. Senate Bill 452 requests an extension of the reversion of those unexpended funds from

Senate Bill 456 of the 82nd Session. Alternatively, if Division staff is providing time and effort for the relocation of a state agency, the State Public Works Division could charge that state agency directly for services provided, which would be similar to and in alignment with how the Building and Grounds Section of the Division charges agencies to complete tenant improvements. Any staff time expended by positions supported through assessments to CIP projects on agency office moves should not be a charge against a CIP project unrelated to an agency office move.

The Subcommittees may wish to recommend the issuance of a letter of intent to the State Public Works Division to direct the agency to track time and effort of staff allocated to agency office moves to request reimbursement for such staff time from appropriated one-time funding or any other legislation that appropriates funding for such purposes and to implement a process to directly charge state agencies for time and effort of providing services to facilitate office moves. Further, the letter of intent should clarify the State Public Works Division should not continue the practice of funding staff time and effort unrelated to CIP or agency capital projects for agency moving efforts with fees and assessments collected from various funding sources as direct charges to unrelated CIP or agency projects.

The motion for the Subcommittees is in your closing packet [[Exhibit D](#)]. Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of CIP Project 25-S10 funded with \$1.8 million in General Fund appropriations, as recommended by the Governor?

And

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend the issuance of a letter of intent to direct the State Public Works Division to track staff time allocated to agency office moves, to request reimbursement for such staff time from any approved one-time funding specifically appropriated to assist with agencies' return to the office or office moves, to implement and begin a process to directly charge state agencies for time and effort of providing services to facilitate office moves, and to not charge this staff time against fees or assessments collected from charges to a CIP or agency project unrelated to an agency office move?

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MOVED TO RECOMMEND NOT APPROVING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT 25-S10 FUNDED WITH \$1.8 MILLION IN GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS RECOMMENDED BY THE GOVERNOR, AND TO RECOMMEND THE ISSUANCE OF A LETTER OF INTENT TO DIRECT THE STATE PUBLIC WORKS DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION TO TRACK STAFF TIME ALLOCATED TO AGENCY OFFICE MOVES, TO REQUEST REIMBURSEMENT FOR SUCH STAFF TIME FROM ANY

APPROVED ONE-TIME FUNDING SPECIFICALLY APPROPRIATED TO ASSIST WITH AGENCIES' RETURN TO THE OFFICE OR OFFICE MOVES, TO IMPLEMENT AND BEGIN A PROCESS TO DIRECTLY CHARGE STATE AGENCIES FOR TIME AND EFFORT OF PROVIDING SERVICES TO FACILITATE OFFICE MOVES, AND TO NOT CHARGE THIS STAFF TIME AGAINST FEES OR ASSESSMENTS COLLECTED FROM CHARGES TO A CIP OR AGENCY PROJECT UNRELATED TO AN AGENCY OFFICE MOVE.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

We will move to the next item.

FINANCING OPTION FOR THE REVISED 2025 CIP

Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst:

That concludes our discussion of the individual Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects recommended by the Governor. In your closing packet [[Exhibit D](#)], there is a discussion of a financing option for the revised 2025 CIP. As indicated in the Office of the State Treasurer's *General Obligation Debt Capacity and Affordability Report 2025-2027 Biennium*, the State Treasurer has identified \$276.3 million of bond affordability not recommended by the Governor for the 2025 CIP, which could be considered by the Legislature to support additional programs or capital improvement projects.

The Governor's proposed 2025 CIP, as revised, is recommended to be funded through various funding sources including general obligation bonds, federal funds, agency funds, and State General Fund appropriations as well as State Highway Fund appropriations. As such, the Subcommittees may wish to consider financing projects with an estimated life of 20 years or more, which are currently recommended to be financed with General Fund appropriations, with general obligation bonds up to and including the remaining general obligation bond authority available for the 2025-2027 biennium, considering the action taken by the Subcommittees in closing the CIP for the Legislative Branch, which was not included in the Governor's recommended 2025 CIP. The Subcommittees could then choose to offset General Fund appropriations in a commensurate amount, which would result in General Fund appropriations that could be redirected to support other legislative priorities or be budget savings.

The State Public Works Division, Department of Administration has identified several CIP projects recommended in the Governor's revised 2025 CIP that have a useful life of

20 years or more, which are currently recommended to be funded with General Fund appropriations. Fiscal staff has outlined these projects identified in the table in your closing packet [[Exhibit D](#)], and they are based on project number and order from which the Subcommittees could choose to revise the recommended funding source from General Fund appropriations to general obligation bonds in order to generate savings. The total General Fund appropriation for these projects recommended to be funded with General Fund that have a useful life of 20 years or more is approximately \$147.2 million.

The options for the Subcommittees to consider are listed in your closing packet. The Subcommittees may wish to consider the following option related to the recommended funding sources for a portion of the support of the revised 2025 CIP. The dollar amounts listed in the table are subject to change dependent upon closing actions of the Subcommittees in approving, modifying, or not approving individual projects as presented on the closing table.

Do the Subcommittees wish to recommend approval of additional state general obligation bonds not to exceed \$147.2 million to support a portion of the costs of the revised 2025 CIP?

If the Subcommittees make this recommendation, it would result in General Fund savings of \$147.2 million if all projects recommended by the Governor, inclusive of the amendments, are recommended for approval. If the Subcommittees recommend approval of the issuance of up to \$147.2 million in state general obligation bonds to support the revised 2025 CIP, Fiscal staff would request authority to make adjustments to individual project state funding sources, including the projects identified above, and would present the funding source changes as part of the Subcommittees' recommendations to the full Committees joint meeting to account for all Subcommittees' recommendations and to identify the final General Fund savings that would be realized.

Chair Backus:

Members, are there any questions? [There were none.] I will entertain a motion.

SENATOR DONDERO LOOP MADE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL STATE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS NOT TO EXCEED \$147.2 MILLION TO SUPPORT A PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE REVISED 2025 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. THIS WOULD RESULT IN GENERAL FUND SAVINGS OF \$147.2 MILLION IF ALL PROJECTS RECOMMENDED BY THE GOVERNOR, INCLUSIVE OF THE AMENDMENTS, ARE RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL. THE SUBCOMMITTEES RECOMMEND AUTHORIZING FISCAL ANALYSIS DIVISION STAFF TO MAKE ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY TO INDIVIDUAL PROJECT STATE FUNDING SOURCES, INCLUDING THE PROJECTS IDENTIFIED ABOVE, AND PRESENT THE FUNDING

SOURCE CHANGES AS PART OF THE SUBCOMMITTEES' RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE FULL COMMITTEES JOINT MEETING TO ACCOUNT FOR ALL SUBCOMMITTEES' RECOMMENDATIONS AND TO IDENTIFY THE FINAL GENERAL FUND SAVINGS THAT WOULD BE REALIZED.

ASSEMBLYMEMBER JAUREGUI SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion?

Assemblymember Hafen:

In looking at the list, there are items that concern me that may not have a useful life of 20 years. I will go ahead and support this. But I want to spend some more time looking at this further, because I have concerns we may be paying for this after the actual useful life.

Chair Backus:

Is there any further discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

I want to thank our staff for all of these items they went through, for the documents they prepared, and for their detailed explanations. It is appreciated. With that, we are going to the last item on our agenda, which is public comment.

Kent M. Ervin, Director of Governmental Relations, Nevada Faculty Alliance:

The Nevada Faculty Alliance is the independent voice of Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE) professional employees. The revenue forecast from today's Economic Forum is likely to be dire. Despite the sunshine outside, it is looking like a rainy day. You are making difficult decisions. Nevertheless, it is my job literally to ask that budget issues not be fixed on the backs of state employees. The Governor's recommended budget had new spending and some bad math. Fundamentally, the Governor's recommended budget failed to protect the state workforce. State employees are Nevadans working to provide essential services for Nevadans, and they contribute to the state economy. The NSHE professional employees do not have collective bargaining in statutes so effectively, we cannot negotiate over compensation. Hence, we are here begging.

Inflation is running at 2.5 percent to 3 percent and may rise because of new federal taxes on imported goods. With the 1.75 percent increase in retirement contributions for regular employees, take home pay will decline even before considering inflation. That needs to be fixed.

For the NSHE budget meeting tomorrow, our priorities are everything still in option 3 from the work session and:

1. Addressing campus security.
2. Addressing wraparound student services identified as a need by the interim funding study committee.
3. Addressing the budget holes created by the funding of historic catch-up cost-of-living adjustments in the last session.
4. COLAs [cost-of-living adjustments] for the next biennium to keep from losing those gains.
5. In addition, the nursing education expansion from last session is being implemented and needs to be continued.

Jaina Moan, External Affairs Director, The Nature Conservancy:

I am here on behalf of The Nature Conservancy in Nevada. I wanted to come here and say thank you for recommending approval of the conservation bonds that the State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources put forward. This funding has done much to support nature, communities, and culture in our state. We look forward to seeing it put to good use in the next biennium. Thank you for your support, your service on the Subcommittees, and your time.

Doug Unger, UNLV Chapter President/Government Relations, Nevada Faculty Alliance:

Amid the unforeseen, chaotic uncertainty afflicting the State General Fund budget affecting every citizen of our state, these Subcommittees must make difficult, unenviable decisions. Please know how much I and our Nevada Faculty Alliance members respect and appreciate the care and discernment with which you make these hard choices.

Notwithstanding, as you set the Nevada System of Higher Education budget, I implore you to please consider the Governor's recommended one-shot funding, and possibly even more, to improve campus safety. Following the December 6, 2023, mass shooting on the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) campus that murdered three faculty, those of us working on campus safety and security issues have learned a lot. Active shooters now assail a school, college, or university in our nation with a regularity like seasonal catastrophes. Nevada's campuses are not yet as safe as they should be from this violence. The UNLV has done what it can—reported are expenditures of between \$4 million and \$5 million—but it is not enough.

Our NSHE schools cannot do it on our own; not when federal cuts are at least \$40 million so far and still counting. We need camera systems with centralized monitoring; digital upgrades; increased numbers of campus police; renovations to buildings and public spaces; and doors to classrooms that lock from the inside, so our teachers do not risk their lives by having to step out into the halls to lock doors behind them. God forbid we should suffer such violence again, but I fear it is only a matter of time. Please consider campus safety funding the urgent capital improvement that it is, and one that can save lives. [\[Exhibit H\]](#) was also provided.]

Amy Pason, Chair, Government Relations Committee, Nevada Faculty Alliance:

We do not envy you the hard budget decisions you have to make this session, especially with the unprecedented and unpredictable economic environment in which we find ourselves. You would not envy the hard decisions faculty are making in the departments of our NSHE campuses as we are preparing for more hiring freezes on top of the loss of grant-funded workers. As reported today, the University of Nevada, Reno (UNR) and University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) stand to lose about \$39 million and counting in grant-funded money. That is money that pays for workers including our graduate assistant stipends.

In my own department, we are deciding which classes are absolutely essential to offer to not impede our student graduation, which means reducing elective course options. We are trying to weigh whether we can continue offering concurrent enrollment courses to support our Nevada high schoolers when we do not have enough faculty to teach our on-campus college students. How much can we expect our majors to take courses online from adjunct instructors who live in other states because we have been holding full-time faculty positions open since the COVID-19 budget cuts? How much can we continue to advise students by faculty in our department who know our students best when we also contemplate faculty teaching overloads to ensure courses are taught.

Some departments are contemplating whether we should limit enrollment in graduate programs or discontinue some degree paths altogether if we cannot offer the courses students would need to complete those programs. If NSHE's budget relies in part on student enrollment, can we weather reduced enrollments when we have reduced offerings and services?

I am finishing my 15th year teaching at UNR. I have been serving our students through more bad budget years than good. We know the state budget is often balanced at the expense of higher education. But in this bad budget year, cutting costs will be at the expense of our students and in ways from which I do not believe we will be able to recover. Thank you for your consideration of the NSHE budgets tomorrow.

Remainder of page intentionally left blank; signature page to follow.

Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
Senate Committee on Finance
Subcommittees on K-12/Higher Education/CIP
May 1, 2025
Page 48

Chair Backus:

Do we have any other public comment? [There was none.]

There being no further business before the Subcommittees, this meeting is adjourned
[at 10:16 a.m.].

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Janice Wright
Committee Secretary

APPROVED BY:

Assemblymember Shea M. Backus, Chair

DATE: _____

Senator Marilyn Dondero Loop, Chair

DATE: _____

EXHIBITS

| Bill | Exhibit | Witness / Agency | Description |
|-------------|----------------|--|--|
| | A | | Agenda |
| | B | | Attendance Roster |
| | C | Diane C. Thornton, Acting Director, Legislative Counsel Bureau | Nevada Legislative Counsel Bureau 2025-2027 Biennium Budget Capital Improvement Projects Funding Plan |
| | D | Justin Luna, Program Analyst, Adam Drost, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst, and Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau | K-12/Higher Education/CIP Joint Committee Closing List #5, dated May 1, 2025 |
| | E | Justin Luna, Program Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau | Conservation Bond Program Project List |
| | F | Jan Young, Regional Vice President, Ducks Unlimited Board of Directors | Email in support of Conserve Nevada grants dated April 27, 2025 |
| | G | Julie Waller, Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau | 2025 Capital Improvement Program for the 2025-2027 Biennium Attachment A |
| | H | Doug Unger, UNLV Chapter President/Government Relations, Nevada Faculty Alliance | Public Comment dated May 1, 2025, in support of NSHE budget for campus safety |